FORM 10-Q

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended **December 23, 2012.**

OR

 []
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT of 1934

 For the transition period from
 to

Commission file number 0-3189

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

11-3166443 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Jericho Plaza, Second Floor – Wing A, Jericho, New York 11753

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(516) 338-8500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes <u>X</u> No ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer ____ Non-accelerated filer ____

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer <u>X</u> Smaller reporting company ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes _____ No _X

At February 1, 2013, an aggregate of 4,430,440 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value of \$.01, were outstanding.

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

		Page <u>Number</u>
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements.	3
	Consolidated Financial Statements Consolidated Balance Sheets – December 23, 2012 (Unaudited) an March 25, 2012	3
	Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Unaudited) - Thirteen and thirty-nine weeks Ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011	4
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) - Thirteen and thirty-nine weeks Ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011	5
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) – Thirty-nine weeks Ended December 23, 2012	6
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) – Thirty-nine weeks Ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	16
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	24
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	25
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	26
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	26
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	27
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	27
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.	27
Item 5.	Other Information.	27
Item 6.	Exhibits.	28
SIGNATURES		29
Exhibit Index		30

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS December 23, 2012 and March 25, 2012 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

	Dec	ember 23, 2012		
ASSETS	((Unaudited)	Ma	arch 25, 2012
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	14,300	\$	6,029
Marketable securities		12,416		14,710
Restricted cash (Note E)		5,754		5,419
Accounts and other receivables, net		6,816		6,535
Inventories		987		1,125
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		952		1,394
Deferred income taxes	_	338	_	338
Total current assets		41,563		35,550
Property and equipment, net		5,296		6,179
Long-term investment (Note G)		500		-
Goodwill		95		95
Intangible assets		1,353		1,353
Deferred income taxes		759		878
Other assets		459	_	465
	¢	50.025	¢	14 530
	\$	50,025	\$	44,520
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$	2,511	\$	3,355
Litigation accrual (Note L)	Ψ	5,754	Ψ	5,419
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		4,321		4,664
Deferred franchise fees		238		123
Total current liabilities		12,824		13,561
		2.224		2 4 2 2
Other liabilities	_	2,226		2,122
Total liabilities		15,050		15,683
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note L)				
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 8,942,384 and 8,855,263 shares issued; and 4,430,440 and				
4,363,777 shares outstanding at December 23, 2012 and March 25, 2012, respectively		89		89
Additional paid-in capital		54,332		53,396
Retained earnings		31,081		25,168
Accumulated other comprehensive income		378		497
		85,880		79,150
Treasury stock, at cost, 4,511,944 and 4,491,486 shares at December 23, 2012 and March 25, 2012, respectively.		(50,905)		(50,313)
Total stockholders' equity		34,975		28,837
	\$	50,025	\$	44,520

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-3-

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

Thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Thirteen weeks ended			nded	Thirty-nine weeks ended					
	Dec	ember 23, 2012		December 25, 2011		December 23, 2012		December 25, 2011		
REVENUES										
Sales	\$	11,862	\$	11,508	\$	45,875	\$	41,681		
Franchise fees and royalties		1,309		1,410		4,247		4,265		
License royalties		1,753		1,634		6,104		5,307		
Interest income		90		124		290		436		
Other income		11		124		51		126		
Total revenues		15,025		14,800		56,567		51,815		
COSTS AND EXPENSES										
Cost of sales		9,818		9,497		35,716		33,042		
Restaurant operating expenses		462		701		2,390		2,440		
Depreciation and amortization		215		246		756		718		
General and administrative expenses		2,688		2,285		7,609		6,995		
Interest expense		112		112		333		335		
Total costs and expenses		13,295		12,841		46,804	_	43,530		
Earnings before provision for income taxes		1,730		1,959		9,763		8,285		
Provision for income taxes		668		748		3,850		3,209		
Net income	\$	1,062	\$	1,211	\$	5,913	\$	5,076		
PER SHARE INFORMATION										
Basic income per share:										
Net income	\$.24	\$.24	\$	1.35	\$	1.01		
Diluted income per share:										
Net income	\$.23	\$.24	\$	1.29	\$.98		
Weighted average shares used in computing income per share										
Basic		4,414,000		4,964,000		4,396,000		5,022,000		
Diluted		4,612,000		5,113,000	_	4,582,000		5,159,000		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-4-

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 (in thousands)

(Unaudited)

Thirteen w	eeks ende	ed	Thirty-nine weeks ended					
		Dec. 25, 2011		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dec. 25, 2011		
\$ 1,062	\$	1,211	\$	5,913	\$	5,076		
 (55)		(27)		(119)		59		
(55)		(27)		(119)		59		
\$ 1,007	\$	1,184	\$	5,794	\$	5,135		
	Dec. 23, 2012 \$ 1,062 (55) (55)	Dec. 23, 2012 \$ 1,062 \$ (55) (55)	2012 2011 \$ 1,062 \$ 1,211 (55) (27) (55) (27)	Dec. 23, 2012 Dec. 25, 2011 Dec. 25, 2011 \$ 1,062 \$ 1,211 \$ (55) (27) (27) (27) (27)	Dec. 23, 2012 Dec. 25, 2011 Dec. 23, 2012 \$ 1,062 \$ 1,211 \$ 5,913 (55) (27) (119) (55) (27) (119)	Dec. 23, 2012 Dec. 25, 2011 Dec. 23, 2012 \$ 1,062 1,211 \$ 5,913 (55) (27) (119) (55) (27) (119)		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.



CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012

(in thousands, except share amounts) (Unaudited)

	Common Shares	 nmon ock	I	lditional Paid-in Capital	 etained arnings	 ccumulated Other nprehensive Income	Treasury St Shares	at Cost Amount	Stoc	Total kholders' Equity
Balance, March 25, 2012	8,855,263	\$ 89	\$	53,396	\$ 25,168	\$ 497	4,491,486	\$ (50,313)	\$	28,837
Shares issued in connection with share based compensation plans	87,121			388						388
Withholding tax on net share settlement exercise of employee stock options				(698)						(698)
Income tax benefit on stock option exercises				749						749
Share-based compensation				497						497
Repurchase of common stock							20,458	(592)		(592)
Unrealized loss on available for sale securities, net of deferred income tax benefit of \$75						(119)				(119)
Net income		 -		-	 5,913	 		 -		5,913
Balance, December 23, 2012	8,942,384	\$ 89	\$	54,332	\$ 31,081	\$ 378	4,511,944	\$ (50,905)	\$	34,975

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

-6-

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011

(in thousands) (Unaudited)

		ember 23, 2012	December 25, 2011		
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income	\$	5,913	\$ 5,076		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities					
Depreciation and amortization		756	718		
Provision for doubtful accounts		25	-		
Amortization of bond premium		100	154		
Share-based compensation		497	218		
Deferred income taxes		194	1,410		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
Accounts and other receivables, net		(306)	(687)		
Inventories		138	312		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		442	157		
Other assets		6	(58)		
Accrued litigation		335	335		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities		(1,390)	(1,314)		
Advances of insurance proceeds (Note M)		203	-		
Deferred franchise fees		115	(95)		
Other liabilities		104	216		
Net cash provided by operating activities		7,132	6,442		
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Proceeds from sale and maturities of available for sale securities		2,000	3,050		
Purchase of long-term investment		(500)	5,050		
Purchase of property and equipment		(322)	(636)		
Insurance proceeds received for property and equipment (Note M)		449	(050)		
Change in restricted cash		(335)	(335)		
Proceeds received on sale of note receivable		(335)			
		-	900		
Payments received on note receivable		-	21		
Net cash provided by investing activities		1,292	3,000		
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Income tax benefit on stock option exercises		749	15		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		388	8		
Payments of withholding tax on net share settlement exercise of employee stock options		(698)	-		
Repurchase of common stock		(592)	(2,573)		
Net cash used in financing activities		(153)	(2,550)		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		8,271	6,892		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		6,029	8,940		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	14,300	\$ 15,832		
Cash paid during the year for:					
Interest	\$	-	\$ -		
Income taxes	\$	2,576	\$ 1,359		
חוכטוור נוגרס	φ	2,370	ψ 1,339		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-7-

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 23, 2012 (Unaudited)

NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Nathan's Famous, Inc. and subsidiaries (collectively "Nathan's," the "Company," "we," "us" or "our") as of and for the thirteen and thirty-nine week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The unaudited financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. However, our results of operations are seasonal in nature, and the results of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for any other interim period or the full fiscal year.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Management believes that the disclosures included in the accompanying interim financial statements and footnotes are adequate to make the information not misleading, but should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Nathan's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012.

A summary of the Company's significant accounting policies is identified in Note B of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012. There have been no changes to the Company's significant accounting policies subsequent to March 25, 2012.

NOTE B - RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET ADOPTED

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, ("FASB") issued new accounting guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. The new guidance provides the entity with the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived asset is less than its carrying value. If it is not, then no further analysis is required otherwise then the previously required quantitative testing is required. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, which for Nathan's will be the first quarter of its fiscal 2014. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this new guidance to have a material impact on the results of operations or financial position.

NOTE C - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Nathan's follows a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to measure fair value. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of "observable inputs." The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability on the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

· Level 1 - inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for an identical asset or liability in an active market

• Level 2 - inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for a similar asset or liability in an active market, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability

· Level 3 - inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability

-8-

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 23, 2012 and March 25, 2012 based upon the valuation hierarchy (in thousands):

December 23, 2012	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2 Level 3		Carrying Value
Marketable securities	\$	- \$ 12,416	<u> </u>	\$ 12,416
Total assets at fair value	\$	- \$ 12,416		\$ 12,416
March 25, 2012	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Carrying Value
Marketable securities	\$	- \$ 14,710	\$	\$ 14,710
Total assets at fair value	\$	- \$ 14,710	\$	\$ 14,710

Nathan's marketable securities, which consist primarily of municipal bonds, are not actively traded. The valuation of such bonds is based upon quoted market prices for similar bonds currently trading in an active market or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

It was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the long-term investment representing 2.5% of the equity ownership of a privately-owned company; that investment is carried at its original cost of \$500,000 in the statement of financial position. At December 31, 2011, the total assets reported by the privately-owned company were \$668,000, total equity was \$443,000, revenues were \$215,000 and net loss was \$573,000.

The carrying amounts of cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of the instruments.

Certain non-financial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the assets and liabilities are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when evidence of impairment exists. At December 23, 2012, no fair value adjustment or material fair value measurements were required for non-financial assets.

NOTE D – MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase and reassesses the appropriateness of the classification at each reporting date. At December 23, 2012 and March 25, 2012, all marketable securities held by the Company have been classified as available-for-sale and, as a result, are stated at fair value, based upon quoted market prices for similar assets as determined in active markets or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable for substantially the full-term of the asset, with unrealized gains and losses included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities are determined on a specific identification basis. Interest income is recorded when it is earned and deemed realizable by the Company.

The cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair market value for marketable securities, which consist entirely of municipal bonds that are classified as available-for-sale securities, are as follows (in thousands):

	 Cost	 Gross Unrealized Gains	1	Gross Unrealized Losses	 Fair Market Value
December 23, 2012	\$ 11,797	\$ 619	\$	-	\$ 12,416
March 25, 2012	\$ 13,897	\$ 814	\$	1	\$ 14,710



The municipal bonds held at December 23, 2012, mature at various dates between April 2013 and October 2019. The following represents the bond maturities by period (in thousands):

		Less than			After	
Fair value of Municipal Bonds	 Total	 1 Year	 1-5 Years	 5 – 10 Years	 10 Years	
						-
December 23, 2012	\$ 12,416	\$ 2,958	\$ 8,210	\$ 1,248	\$	-

The change in net unrealized (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities for the thirteen week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 of (\$55), and (\$27), respectively, which are net of deferred income tax (benefit) expense, of (\$35) and (\$18), respectively, have been included as a component of comprehensive income. The change in net unrealized (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities for the thirty-nine week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 of (\$119), and \$59, respectively, which are net of deferred income tax (benefit) expense, of (\$75) and \$40, respectively, have been included as a component of comprehensive income. Accumulated other comprehensive income is comprised entirely of the net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities as of December 23, 2012 and March 25, 2012.

NOTE E – RESTRICTED CASH

We have been engaged in litigation with SMG, Inc. ("SMG", as further described in Note L.2) related to a License Agreement with SMG dated as of February 28, 1994, as amended (the "License Agreement") and, in connection with that litigation, damages of \$4,910,000 inclusive of pre-judgment interest, were assessed against Nathan's (the "Judgment"). Nathan's appealed both of the court's findings with respect to SMG's claims relating to the sale of Nathan's proprietary seasonings to SMG and the amount of the Judgment (See Note L.2).

In connection with this appeal, Nathan's was required to provide security for the damages, and has entered into a Blocked Deposit Account Control Agreement ("Blocked Account Agreement") with SMG and Citibank, N.A. (the "Bank").

Nathan's has also entered into a Security Agreement with SMG (the "Security Agreement"), pursuant to which, Nathan's has granted SMG a security interest in the amounts on deposit in the Blocked Account at the Bank (the "Account") in order to secure Nathan's' obligation to pay the Judgment, together with post-judgment interest on such amount and costs incurred in connection with such amounts.

Pursuant to the Blocked Account Agreement, at December 23, 2012, Nathan's had deposited a total of \$5,754,000 into the Account which includes an amount equal to the postjudgment interest (calculated at 9% per annum) and has classified the amount of the Judgment along with the post-judgment interest as restricted cash in the accompanying balance sheet. Pursuant to the Blocked Account Agreement, Nathan's will have no right to withdraw amounts from the Account, until: (1) the Bank receives written notice from SMG (a "Release Notice") that (a) the Judgment, plus all applicable post-judgment interest, has been satisfied, (b) the Judgment has been reversed or the Judgment has been vacated and the matter remanded and that any subsequent motions or appeals have been resolved, (c) Nathan's and SMG have entered a fully-executed settlement agreement resolving the Judgment, or (d) SMG has withdrawn its "Disposition Notice" (as defined below) or (2) the Bank has received a Disposition Notice and has acted in accordance with the Disposition Notice.

SMG has agreed to deliver a Release Notice to the Bank within five (5) business days following any of the events described in clauses (1), (a), (b) or (c) above, and is entitled to provide written notice (a "Disposition Notice") to the Bank to distribute the amounts in the Account if either (i) the Judgment is affirmed and all appeals are exhausted, and the amount of the Judgment plus all applicable post-judgment interest is not satisfied by Nathan's and paid to SMG within thirty (30) days of such affirmance or (ii) an Event of Default occurs under the Security Agreement.

NOTE F - ACCOUNTS AND OTHER RECEIVABLES, NET

Accounts and other receivables, net, consist of the following (in thousands):

	ec. 23, 012	March 25, 2012		
Branded product sales	\$ 4,220	\$	4,246	
Franchise and license royalties	2,520		2,093	
Other	211		334	
	6,951		6,673	
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	 135		138	
Accounts and other receivables, net	\$ 6,816	\$	6,535	

Accounts receivable are due within 30 days and are stated at amounts due from Branded Product Program customers, franchisees, retail licensees and product manufacturers, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Accounts that are outstanding longer than the contractual payment terms are considered past due. The Company does not recognize franchise and license royalties that are not deemed to be realizable. The Company individually reviews each past due account and determines its allowance for doubtful accounts by considering a number of factors, including the length of time accounts receivable are past due, the Company's previous loss history, the customer's current and expected future ability to pay its obligation to the Company, the condition of the general economy and the industry as a whole. Based on management's assessment, the Company provides for estimated uncollectable amounts through a charge to earnings. After the Company has used reasonable collection efforts it writes off accounts receivable through a charge to the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Changes in the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 23, 2012 and the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	 Dec. 23, 2012		
Beginning balance	\$ 138	\$	62
Bad debt expense	25		86
Accounts written off	(28)		(10)
Ending balance	\$ 135	\$	138

NOTE G - LONG-TERM INVESTMENT

In September 2012, Nathan's purchased 351,550 shares of Series A Preferred Stock in a privately-owned corporation for \$500,000. Nathan's investment currently represents a 2.5% equity ownership in the entity and Nathan's does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee. The shares have voting rights on the same basis as the common shareholders and have certain dividend rights, if declared. Nathan's accounts for this investment pursuant to the cost method and recognizes income from dividends distributed by the investee to the extent that dividends are distributed from net accumulated earnings of the investee. Each reporting period, management reviews the carrying value of this investment and considers whether indicators of impairment exist. If an impairment indicator exists, management evaluates the fair value of our investment to determine if an, other than temporary impairment in value has occurred. We are required to recognize an impairment on the investment if such impairment is considered to be other than temporary. We have not recognized any impairments during the thirteen and thirty-nine week periods ended December 23, 2012.

NOTE H -INCOME PER SHARE

Basic income per common share is calculated by dividing income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and excludes any dilutive effect of stock options. Diluted income per common share gives effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the period. Dilutive common shares used in the computation of diluted income per common share result from the assumed exercise of stock options and unvested restricted stock, as determined using the treasury stock method.

The following chart provides a reconciliation of information used in calculating the per-share amounts for the thirteen and thirty-nine-week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011, respectively.

Thirteen weeks

							Net Ir	ncom	2
	 Net Ir	icome		Number of	Per Share				
	 2012	2011		2012	2011	2012			2011
	 (in tho	ısands	5)	(in thous	ands)				
Basic EPS									
Basic calculation	\$ 1,062	\$	1,211	4,414	4,964	\$	0.24	\$	0.24
Effect of dilutive employee stock options and unvested restricted stock	 			198	149		(0.01)		_
Diluted EPS									
Diluted calculation	\$ 1,062	\$	1,211	4,612	5,113	\$	0.23	\$	0.24

Thirty-nine weeks

								Net In	icom	e
	Net Income			e	Number o	Number of Shares				2
		2012		2011	2012	2012 2011		2012		2011
		(in tho	(in thousands)		(in thous	n thousands)				
Basic EPS										
Basic calculation	\$	5,913	\$	5,076	4,396	5,022	\$	1.35	\$	1.01
Effect of dilutive employee stock options and unvested					100	107		(0.00)		(0.02)
restricted stock	_	-		-	186	137		(0.06)		(0.03)
Diluted EPS										
Diluted calculation	\$	5,913	\$	5,076	4,582	5,159	\$	1.29	\$	0.98

NT . **T**

There were no options to purchase shares of common stock for the thirteen and thirty-nine week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

NOTE I – INCOME TAXES

The income tax provisions for the thirty-nine week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 reflect effective tax rates of 39.4% and 38.7%, respectively, which have been reduced from statutory rates by 1.2% and 2.0%, respectively, for the differing effects of tax exempt interest income.

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 23, 2012 was \$327,000, all of which would impact Nathan's effective tax rate, if recognized. As of December 23, 2012, Nathan's had \$365,000 of accrued interest and penalties in connection with unrecognized tax benefits.

During the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013, Nathan's will seek to settle additional uncertain tax positions with the tax authorities. As a result, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits, including the related accrued interest and penalties, could be reduced by up to \$35,000, which would favorably impact Nathan's effective tax rate, although no assurances can be given in this regard.

Nathan's estimates that its annual tax rate for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013 will be in the range of approximately 38.5% to 40.0%. The final annual tax rate is subject to many variables, including the effect of tax-exempt interest earned, among other factors, and therefore cannot be determined until the end of the fiscal year; therefore, the actual tax rate could differ from our current estimates.

The City of New York ("NYC") has completed its examination of our tax returns for the tax years ended March 2008 through March 2010. In July 2012, Nathan's and NYC agreed to and settled the audit findings for an amount approximating the originally proposed findings, which had previously been accrued in Nathan's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012. Nathan's tax returns in the State of Florida and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for the fiscal years ended March 2008, March 2009 and March 2010 have also been reviewed. The State of Florida and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts have completed their reviews, which we settled for approximately \$13,000 in the aggregate.

NOTE J - SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Total share-based compensation during the thirteen-week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 was \$385,000 and \$56,000, respectively. Total share-based compensation during the thirty-nine week periods ended December 23, 2012 and December 25, 2011 was \$497,000 and \$218,000, respectively. Total share-based compensation is included in general and administrative expense in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Earnings. As of December 23, 2012, there was \$1,681,000 of unamortized compensation expense related to unvested stock options and restricted stock. We expect to recognize this expense over approximately two years and eight months, which represents the weighted average remaining requisite service periods for such awards.

On September 14, 2010, the Company adopted the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan ("the 2010 Plan") which initially provided for the issuance of up to 150,000 shares pursuant to the 2010 Plan together with 171,000 shares that had not been issued under the 2001 Stock Option Plan (the "2001 Plan") and the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2002 Plan") plus any shares subject to any outstanding options or restricted stock grants under the 2001 Plan and the 2002 Plan that subsequently expire unexercised or are otherwise forfeited up to a maximum of an additional 100,000 shares. On September 13, 2012, the Company amended the 2010 Plan increasing the number of shares available for issuance by 250,000 shares. As of December 23, 2012, options to purchase 343,500 shares of common stock or up to 244,844 shares of restricted stock may be granted pursuant the 2010 Plan, as amended.



During the thirty-nine week period ended December 23, 2012, the Company granted 50,000 shares of restricted stock at a fair value of \$29.29 per share representing the closing price on the date of grant, which will be fully vested four years from the date of grant. Upon grant, 10,000 shares immediately vested and the restrictions on the remaining 40,000 shares lapse ratably over a four-year period as follows: 10,000 shares on November 1, 2013, 10,000 shares on November 1, 2014, 10,000 shares on November 1, 2015 and 10,000 shares on November 1, 2016.

The Company did not grant any options during the thirty-nine week period ended December 23, 2012. During the thirty-nine week period ended December 25, 2011, the Company granted options to purchase 177,500 shares at an exercise price of \$17.75 per share, all of which expire five years from the date of grant. These stock options vest ratably over a four-year period as follows: 25% on June 6, 2012, 25% on June 6, 2013, 25% on June 6, 2014 and the balance on June 6, 2015.

The weighted-average option fair values, as determined using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, and the assumptions used to estimate these values for stock options granted during the thirty-nine weeks ended December 25, 2011, are as follows:

Weighted-average option fair values	\$ 5.039
Expected life (years)	5.0
Interest rate	1.60%
Volatility	28.90%
Dividend yield	0%

The expected dividend yield is based on historical and projected dividend yields. The Company estimates expected volatility based primarily on historical monthly price changes of the Company's stock equal to the expected life of the option. The risk free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of the grant. The expected option term is the number of years the Company estimates the options will be outstanding prior to exercise based on expected employee exercise behavior. The Company recognizes compensation cost for unvested stock awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Compensation cost charged to expense under all stock based incentive awards is as follows (in thousands):

	Thirteen weeks ended				Thirty-nine	weeks	veeks ended	
	December 23, 2012		December 25, 2011		December 23, 2012		December 25, 2011	
Stock options	\$ 56	\$	56	\$	168	\$	218	
Restricted stock	 329		-		329		-	
Total compensation cost	\$ 385	\$	56	\$	497	\$	218	

Stock options outstanding:

Transactions with respect to stock options for the thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 are as follows:

	Shares	 Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life	 Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at March 25, 2012	622,000	\$ 13.21	3.05	\$ 4,849,000
Granted	-	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-	-
Exercised	(155,000)	\$ 13.13	-	-
Options outstanding at December 23, 2012	467,000	\$ 13.24	2.99	\$ 9,344,000
Options exercisable at December 23, 2012	333,875	\$ 11.44	2.81	\$ 7,281,000

Restricted stock:

Transactions with respect to restricted stock for the thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 are as follows:

		Weighted-
		Average
		Grant-date
		Fair value
	Shares	 Per share
Unvested restricted stock at March 25, 2012	-	\$ -
Granted	50,000	\$ 29.29
Vested	(10,000)	\$ 29.29
Unvested restricted stock at December 23, 2012	40,000	\$ 29.29

NOTE K - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

During the period from October 2001 through December 23, 2012, Nathan's purchased a total of 4,511,944 shares of its common stock at a cost of approximately \$50,905,000 pursuant to various stock repurchase plans previously authorized by the Board of Directors. During the thirty-nine week period ended December 23, 2012, we repurchased 20,458 shares at a cost of \$592,000.

As of December 23, 2012, an aggregate of 387,015 shares can still be purchased under Nathan's existing stock buy-back program.

Purchases may be made from time to time, depending on market conditions, in open market or privately-negotiated transactions, at prices deemed appropriate by management. There is no set time limit on the repurchases to be made under these stock-repurchase plans.

At December 23, 2012, the Company has reserved 5,280,940 shares of common stock for issuance upon exercise of the Common Stock Purchase Rights approved by the Board of Directors on June 4, 2008.

NOTE L - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

1. Commitments

At March 25, 2012, the Company had an outstanding purchase commitment to acquire hot dogs at a cost of approximately \$4,900,000 from its primary hot dog manufacturer. During the second quarter fiscal 2013, Nathan's completed this purchase commitment and has entered into two new purchase commitments. Nathan's has committed to purchase approximately \$4.3 million of hot dogs during the January – March 2013 period. Additionally, Nathan's has entered into a commitment to purchase a similar amount of hot dogs during the April – June 2013 period. The value of this commitment will be finalized after the manufacturing process has been completed. Nathan's may continue to enter into additional purchase commitments in the future as favorable market conditions become available.

2. Contingencies

The Company and its subsidiaries are from time to time involved in ordinary and routine litigation. Management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include money damages and, in such event, could result in a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs or is implemented.

The Company is also involved in the following legal proceeding:

The Company is party to a License Agreement with SMG, Inc. ("SMG") dated as of February 28, 1994, as amended (the "License Agreement") pursuant to which: (i) SMG acts as the Company's exclusive licensee for the manufacture, distribution, marketing and sale of packaged Nathan's Famous frankfurter product at supermarkets, club stores and other retail outlets in the United States; and (ii) the Company has the right, but not the obligation, to require SMG to produce frankfurters for the Nathan's Famous restaurant system and Branded Product Program.

-14-

On July 31, 2007, the Company provided notice to SMG that the Company has elected to terminate the License Agreement, effective July 31, 2008 (the "Termination Date"), due to SMG's breach of certain provisions of the License Agreement. SMG has disputed that a breach has occurred and has commenced, together with certain of its affiliates, an action in state court in Illinois seeking, among other things, a declaratory judgment that SMG did not breach the License Agreement. The Company filed its own action on August 2, 2007, in New York State court seeking a declaratory judgment that SMG has breached the License Agreement and that the Company has properly terminated the License Agreement. On January 23, 2008, the New York court granted SMG's motion to dismiss the Company's case in New York on the basis that the dispute was already the subject of a pending lawsuit in Illinois. The Company answered SMG's complaint in Illinois and asserted its own counterclaims which seek, among other things, a declaratory judgment that SMG did breach the License Agreement and that the Company has properly terminated the License Agreement. On July 31, 2008, SMG and Nathan's entered into a Stipulation pursuant to which Nathan's agreed that it would not effectuate the termination of the License Agreement on the grounds alleged in the present litigation until such litigation has been successfully adjudicated, and SMG agreed that in such event, Nathan's shall have the option to require SMG to continue to perform under the License Agreement for an additional period of up to six months to ensure an orderly transition of the business to a new licensee/supplier. On June 30, 2009, SMG and Nathan's each filed motions for summary judgment. Both motions for summary judgment were ultimately denied on February 25, 2010. On January 28, 2010, SMG filed a motion for leave to file a Second Amended Complaint and Amended Answer, which sought to assert new claims and affirmative defenses based on Nathan's alleged breach of the parties' License Agreement in connection with the manner in which Nathan's profits from the sale of its proprietary seasonings to SMG. On February 25, 2010, the court granted SMG's motion for leave, and its Second Amended Complaint and Amended Answer were filed with the court. On March 29, 2010, Nathan's filed an answer to SMG's Second Amended Complaint, which denied substantially all of the allegations in the complaint. On September 17, 2010, SMG filed a motion for summary judgment with respect to the claims relating to the sale of Nathan's proprietary seasonings to SMG. On October 5, 2010, Nathan's filed an opposition to SMG's motion for summary judgment, and itself cross-moved for summary judgment. A trial on the claims relating to Nathan's termination of the License Agreement took place between October 6 and October 13, 2010. Oral argument on the claims relating to the sale of Nathan's proprietary seasonings took place prior to the start of the trial. On October 13, 2010, an Order was entered with the Court denying Nathan's cross-motion and granting SMG's motion for summary judgment with respect to SMG's claims relating to the sale of Nathan's proprietary seasonings to SMG. On December 17, 2010, the Court ruled that Nathan's was not entitled to terminate the License Agreement. On January 19, 2011, the parties submitted an agreed upon order which, among other things, assessed damages against Nathan's of approximately \$4.9 million inclusive of pre-judgment interest, which has been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The final judgment was entered on February 4, 2011. On March 4, 2011, Nathan's filed a notice of appeal seeking to appeal the final judgment. In order to secure the final judgment pending an appeal, on March 31, 2011, Nathan's entered into a Security Agreement with SMG and Blocked Deposit Account Agreement with SMG and Citibank, N.A., as described in Note E. On April 7, 2011, the Court entered a stipulation and order which granted a stay of enforcement of the Judgment.

Nathan's filed an appellate brief with the Appellate Court of Illinois, First Judicial District, on August 8, 2011. In response, SMG filed an opposition appellate brief on October 21, 2011. Nathan's filed a reply brief on November 14, 2011. On December 11, 2012, the Court heard oral arguments. On January 25, 2013, the Appellate Court affirmed the trial court's ruling. Nathan's is currently evaluating its options to further appeal this decision.

3. Guaranty

On December 1, 2009, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company executed a Guaranty of Lease (the "Guaranty") in connection with its re-franchising of a restaurant located in West Nyack, New York. The Guaranty could be called upon in the event of a default by the tenant/franchisee. The Guaranty extends through the fifth Lease Year, as defined in the lease, and shall not exceed an amount equal to the highest amount of the annual minimum rent, percentage rent and any additional rent payable pursuant to the lease and reasonable attorney's fees and other costs. We have recorded a liability of approximately \$227,000 in connection with the Guaranty, which does not include potential real estate tax increases and attorney's fees and other costs as these amounts are not reasonably determinable at this time. In connection with Nathan's franchise agreement, Nathan's has received a personal guaranty from the franchisee for all obligations under the Guaranty. To date, Nathan's has not been required to make any payments pursuant to the Guaranty.

NOTE M – HURRICANE SANDY

On October 29, 2012, Hurricane Sandy struck the Northeastern United States, which forced the closing of all of the Company-owned restaurants. Seventy-eight franchised restaurants, including 18 Branded Menu locations, were closed for varying periods of time, two of which remain closed. Our flagship Coney Island restaurant and our new Boardwalk restaurant have been closed since the storm. Our Company-owned restaurant in Oceanside, New York was closed for approximately two weeks. The new Coney Island Boardwalk restaurant sustained minor damage and is anticipated to re-open in March 2013. The Coney Island restaurant incurred significant damage. We are seeking to re-open the restaurant during the Spring of 2013. We believe that we maintain adequate insurance coverage between the flood and property insurance policies to cover the cost of reparations and recover lost profits and ongoing costs incurred under our business interruption insurance policy. To date, we have received an advance of \$1 million from our insurer against which we have charged all ongoing costs which we expect to be recoverable under our business interruption insurance along with the value of the assets that have been destroyed. Through December 23, 2012, we incurred actual losses of approximately \$797,000 and expect to incur additional losses in future periods, of approximately \$100,000 per month relating to ongoing operational costs and clean-up until the restaurants reopen. As of December 23, 2012, the remaining unutilized insurance advance of approximately \$203,000 is included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the accompanying balance sheet.

-15-

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements in this Form 10-Q quarterly report may be "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements that express our intentions, beliefs, expectations, strategies, predictions or any other statements relating to our future activities or other future events or conditions. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about our business based, in part, on assumptions made by management. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. These risks and uncertainties, many of which are not within our control, include but are not limited to: the adverse effect that increasing commodity costs have on our profitability and operating results, including the prolonged Midwest drought which has resulted in record high corn prices; the pending litigation with the primary supplier of hot dogs to our Branded Product Program may result in a disruption in that supply or increased costs, which would adversely affect our operating results; the outcome of any appeal of the court's ruling in such litigation; the timing of any such cash payment under the court ruling in such litigation and the tax impact of the ruling; the status of our Coney Island restaurants and two franchised restaurants due to Hurricane Sandy; current economic conditions could result in decreased consumer spending on discretionary products, such as fast food; as well as those risks discussed in the Company's Form 10-K annual report for the year ended March 25, 2012, and in other documents which we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in the forward-looking statements. We generally identify forward-looking statements with the words "believe," "intend," "plan," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "will," "sho

Introduction

As used in this Report, the terms "we", "us", "our", "Nathan's" or the "Company" mean Nathan's Famous, Inc. and its subsidiaries (unless the context indicates a different meaning).

On October 29, 2012, Hurricane Sandy struck the Northeastern United States, which forced the closing of all of the Company-owned restaurants. Seventy-eight franchised restaurants, including 18 Branded Menu locations, were closed for varying periods of time, two of which remain closed. Our flagship Coney Island restaurant and our new Boardwalk restaurant have been closed since the storm. Our Company-owned restaurant in Oceanside, New York was closed for approximately two weeks. The new Coney Island Boardwalk restaurant sustained minor damage and is anticipated to re-open in March 2013. The Coney Island restaurant incurred significant damage. We are seeking to re-open the restaurant during the Spring of 2013. We believe that we maintain adequate insurance coverage between the flood and property insurance policies to cover the cost of reparations and recover lost profits and ongoing costs incurred under our business interruption insurance policy. To date, we have received an advance of \$1 million from our insurer against which we have charged all ongoing costs which we expect to be recoverable under our business interruption insurance along with the value of the assets that have been destroyed. Through December 23, 2012, we incurred actual losses of approximately \$797,000 and expect to incur additional losses in future periods, of approximately \$100,000 per month relating to ongoing operational costs and clean-up until the restaurants reopen. As of December 23, 2012, the remaining unutilized insurance advance of approximately \$203,000 is included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the accompanying balance sheet.

Additionally, on November 25, 2012, we closed the Company-owned restaurant in Yonkers, New York, which was demolished as a part of a redevelopment of the property which will include a new Nathan's Company-owned restaurant that we anticipate opening in December 2013. As a result of the above, Nathan's Management Discussion and Analysis will not include a discussion of its comparable Company-owned restaurant sales. Additionally, the analysis of the comparable franchised restaurant sales will be presented based on the sales that have been reported.

We are engaged primarily in the marketing of the "Nathan's Famous" brand and the sale of products bearing the "Nathan's Famous" trademarks through several different channels of distribution. Historically, our business has been the operation and franchising of quick-service restaurants featuring Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French-fried potatoes, and a variety of other menu offerings. Our Company-owned and franchised units operate under the name "Nathan's Famous," the name first used at our original Coney Island restaurant opened in 1916. Nathan's product licensing program began in 1978 by selling packaged hot dogs and other meat products to retail customers through supermarkets or grocery-type retailers for off-site consumption. During fiscal 1998, we introduced our Branded Product Program, which currently enables foodservice retailers and others to sell some of Nathan's proprietary products outside of the realm of a traditional franchise relationship. In conjunction with this program, purchasers of Nathan's products are granted a limited use of the Nathan's Famous trademark with respect to the sale of the purchased products, including Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, certain other proprietary food items and paper goods. During fiscal 2008, we launched our Branded Menu Program, which is a limited franchise program, under which foodservice operators may sell a greater variety of Nathan's Famous menu items than under the Branded Product Program.

-16-

Our revenues are generated primarily from selling products under Nathan's Branded Product Program, operating Company-owned restaurants, franchising the Nathan's restaurant concept (including the Branded Menu Program) and licensing agreements for the sale of Nathan's products within supermarkets and club stores, the sale of Nathan's products directly to other foodservice operators and the manufacture of certain proprietary spices by third parties.

In addition to plans for expansion through our Branded Product Program, franchising and licensing, Nathan's continues to seek to co-brand within its restaurant system. Nathan's is also the owner of the Arthur Treacher's brand. At December 23, 2012, the Arthur Treacher's brand was being sold within 55 Nathan's restaurants.

At December 23, 2012, our restaurant system consisted of 308 Nathan's franchised units, including 124 Branded Menu units, and five Company-owned units (two of which are temporarily closed due to Hurricane Sandy and one restaurant closed for redevelopment), located in 27 states, the Cayman Islands and seven foreign countries. At December 25, 2011, our restaurant system consisted of 297 Nathan's franchised units, including 119 Branded Menu units, and five Company-owned units (including one seasonal unit), located in 27 states, the Cayman Islands and six foreign countries.

As described in Risk Factors and other sections in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 25, 2012, our future results could be impacted by many developments. In addition, subsequent to the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 25, 2012 we entered into a definitive and binding letter agreement with John Morrell & Co, a subsidiary of Smithfield Foods, Inc. to become Nathan's exclusive licensee to manufacture and sell hot dogs, sausage and corned beef at retail. Our future operating results could be favorably impacted by the terms and conditions of this agreement as compared to the terms and conditions of our agreement with SMG which is scheduled to expire on March 1, 2014, although there can be no assurance thereof. There are also certain risks associated with entering into the agreement with John Morrell & Co including whether we will be able to sustain our business at the same or higher quality and consistency that is expected by our customers and whether we can successfully implement an orderly transition of the business to John Morrell & Co. In addition, our future operating results could be impacted by the record high corn prices, as a result of the drought in the Midwest, which could significantly increase the cost of beef.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

As discussed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012, the discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in those financial statements. These judgments can be subjective and complex, and consequently, actual results could differ from those estimates. Our most critical accounting policies and estimates relate to revenue recognition; impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets; impairment of long-lived assets; share-based compensation and income taxes (including uncertain tax positions). Since March 25, 2012, there have been no changes in our critical accounting policies or significant changes to the assumptions and estimates related to them.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, ("FASB") issued new accounting guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. The new guidance provides the entity with the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived asset is less than its carrying value. If it is not, then no further analysis is required otherwise then the previously required quantitative testing is required. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, which for Nathan's will be the first quarter of its fiscal 2014. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this new guidance to have a material impact on the results of operations or financial position.

Results of Operations

Thirteen weeks ended December 23, 2012 compared to thirteen weeks ended December 25, 2011

<u>Revenues</u>

Total sales increased by 3.1% to \$11,862,000 for the thirteen weeks ended December 23, 2012 ("third quarter fiscal 2013") as compared to \$11,508,000 for the thirteen weeks ended December 25, 2011 ("third quarter fiscal 2012"). Foodservice sales from the Branded Product and Branded Menu Programs increased by 11.3% to \$10,173,000 for the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to sales of \$9,137,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in the volume of products ordered totaling 11.4%. Total Company-owned restaurant sales decreased by \$486,000 to \$1,681,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013 compared to \$2,167,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2012. This decrease was primarily attributed to the closures caused by Hurricane Sandy and the closure of our Yonkers, New York, restaurant for redevelopment. We estimate that the closures of our Coney Island and Oceanside restaurants due to the storm reduced sales by approximately \$368,000 and \$66,000, respectively. Additionally, we estimate that the closing of our Yonkers restaurant for redevelopment in November 2012, further reduced sales by approximately \$131,000 as compared to the third quarter fiscal 2012. During the third quarter fiscal 2013, other sales were approximately \$196,000 lower than the third quarter fiscal 2012 primarily because Nathan's terminated our agreement with the QVC television network in March 2012.



Franchise fees and royalties were \$1,309,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$1,410,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Total royalties were \$1,125,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$1,164,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu program were \$213,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu program are not based upon a percentage of restaurant sales but are based upon product purchases. Traditional franchise royalties were \$912,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$925,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Franchise restaurant sales were \$20,488,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$20,605,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. We estimate that we lost approximately \$385,000 of sales due to closures resulting from Hurricane Sandy. Only one of these restaurants affected has not reopened. Comparable domestic franchise sales (consisting of 123 Nathan's outlets, excluding sales under the Branded Menu Program, operating for 15 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal quarter) were \$15,264,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012, a decrease of 3.7%. We believe that a significant portion of this decline is attributable to the franchised restaurants in the Northeast that were closed due to Hurricane Sandy. Franchise sales within our free standing locations and travel venues decreased by approximately 7.5% and 4.4%, respectively compared to the third quarter fiscal 2012. Franchise sales in entertainment environments, including malls increased by approximately 4.6% compared to the third quarter fiscal 2012.

At December 23, 2012, 303 domestic and international franchised or Branded Menu Program franchise outlets were operating as compared to 297 domestic and international franchised or Branded Menu Program franchise outlets at December 25, 2011. Total franchise fee income was \$184,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013, including a \$61,000 cancellation fee, compared to \$246,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012, including a \$49,000 cancellation fee. Domestic franchise fee income was \$26,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. International franchise fee income was \$97,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013, compared to \$69,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013, six new franchised outlets opened, including our first location in Turkey and three Branded Menu Program outlets, including one unit operated by K-mart. During the third quarter fiscal 2012, 21 new franchised outlets opened, including one location in Canada and one location in the Dominican Republic and 18 Branded Menu Program outlets, including 16 units operated by K-mart.

License royalties were \$1,753,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$1,634,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Total royalties earned on sales of hot dogs from our retail and foodservice license agreements increased 7.6% to \$1,339,000 from \$1,245,000 primarily due to higher royalties from a change in packaging on certain products sold by SMG in the third quarter fiscal 2013. Royalties earned from SMG, primarily from the retail sale of hot dogs, were \$982,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2012. Royalties earned from our foodservice licensee, substantially from sales of hot dogs to Sam's Club and Kroger's, were \$357,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$368,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013, we earned royalties of \$22,000 from a new agreement for the sale of salty snacks. Royalties earned from all other licensing agreements for the manufacture and sale of Nathan's products were \$392,000, during the third quarter fiscal 2013, as compared to \$389,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013, as compared to \$389,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013, as compared to \$389,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013, as compared to \$389,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013, as compared to \$389,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2012.

Interest income was \$90,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$124,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012, primarily due to lower interest income on our cash and cash equivalents as a result of the reduced amount of marketable securities. As additional marketable securities mature or are called by the issuer and we are unable to earn similar returns upon reinvestment, we would anticipate lower investment income in the future.

Other income was \$11,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$124,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. In November 2011, Nathan's received \$125,000 in full satisfaction of Nathan's rights under the irrevocable direction entered into in connection with its sale of Miami Subs. (Refer to Note G of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012).

Costs and Expenses

Overall, our cost of sales increased by \$321,000 to \$9,818,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$9,497,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Our gross profit (representing the difference between sales and cost of sales) was \$2,044,000 or 17.2% of sales during the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$2,011,000 or 17.5% of sales during the third quarter fiscal 2012. The margin was negatively affected by the forgone sales at our Company-owned restaurants which were closed after Hurricane Sandy which was partly offset by the impact of sales price increases that have been implemented in order to offset the higher cost of hot dogs for our Branded Product Program.

Cost of sales in the Branded Product Program increased by approximately \$853,000 during the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to the third quarter fiscal 2012, primarily as a result of the higher sales volume and the approximately 0.4% increased cost of our hot dogs. Although Nathan's has attempted to limit the impact of commodity price increases through the use of purchase commitments, all of Nathan's hot dogs were purchased at prevailing market prices during the third quarter fiscal 2013 and the third quarter fiscal 2012. The cost of beef could further increase due to the record high corn prices as a result of the drought in the Midwest. If the cost of beef and beef trimmings increases and we are unable to pass on these higher costs through price increases or otherwise reduce any increase in our costs through the use of purchase commitments, our margins will be adversely impacted.

With respect to Company-owned restaurants, our cost of sales during the third quarter fiscal 2013 was \$1,096,000 or 65.2% of restaurant sales, as compared to \$1,450,000 or 66.9% of restaurant sales in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Other cost of sales declined by \$178,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013, primarily because of the termination of our agreement with the QVC television network in March 2012.

Restaurant operating expenses were \$462,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$701,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. The decline in restaurant operating costs was primarily due to the ongoing expenses at our Coney Island restaurants which have been offset against an advance received from our insurance company and the savings from the closure of our Yonkers restaurant in November 2012.

Depreciation and amortization was \$215,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$246,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. This decrease is primarily attributable to the discontinuance of depreciation on the assets that were destroyed at our Coney Island restaurant which was partly offset by higher depreciation on newly-added consigned equipment by our Branded Product Program.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$403,000 or 17.6% to \$2,688,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 as compared to \$2,285,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased compensation costs of \$498,000, including higher share-based compensation of approximately \$330,000, additional personnel and associated expenses and higher payroll taxes from the exercise of employee stock options. These expenses were partly offset by lower professional fees of approximately \$43,000 and a recovery of property taxes of \$19,000.

Interest expense of \$112,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2013 and \$112,000 in the third quarter fiscal 2012 represents accrued interest in connection with Nathan's appeal of the SMG damages award calculated at the New York State statutory rate of 9% per annum. In connection with its appeal, on March 31, 2011, Nathan's was required to enter into both a security agreement and a blocked deposit account control agreement and to deposit approximately \$4,910,000 into the account and agree to deposit additional amounts monthly in an amount equal to the post-judgment interest. Nathan's expects to continue to accrue these charges during the term of the appeal.

Provision for Income Taxes

In the third quarter fiscal 2013, the income tax provision was \$668,000 or 38.6% of earnings before income taxes as compared to \$748,000 or 38.2% of income before income taxes in the third quarter fiscal 2012. Nathan's effective tax rate was reduced by 2.1% during the third quarter fiscal 2013 and reduced by 2.6% during the third quarter fiscal 2012, due to the differing effects of tax-exempt interest income. Nathan's effective tax rates without these adjustments would have been 40.7% for the third quarter fiscal 2013 and 40.8% for the third quarter fiscal 2012. Nathan's estimates that its unrecognized tax benefits and the related accrued interest and penalties could be further reduced by up to \$35,000 during the remainder of fiscal 2013.

Thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 compared to thirty-nine weeks ended December 25, 2011

<u>Revenues</u>

Total sales increased by 10.1% to \$45,875,000 for the thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 ("fiscal 2013 period") as compared to \$41,681,000 for the thirty-nine weeks ended December 25, 2011 ("fiscal 2012 period"). Foodservice sales from the Branded Product and Branded Menu Programs increased by 12.1% to \$33,464,000 for the fiscal 2013 period as compared to sales of \$29,843,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. This increase was primarily attributable to a 10.1% increase in the volume of products ordered and the impact of price increases that took effect during the fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 periods. Total Company-owned restaurant sales, comprised of five Nathan's restaurants in both periods (including one seasonal restaurant), increased by \$1,160,000 to \$12,378,000 during the fiscal 2013 period compared to \$11,218,000 during the fiscal 2012 period. This increase was primarily attributed to the increased sales at our relocated and expanded seasonal Boardwalk restaurant in Coney Island that opened in April 2012. Weather conditions had been favorable throughout the first twenty-six weeks of fiscal 2013 as compared to the first twenty-six weeks fiscal 2012 period. Sales during the third quarter fiscal 2013 were negatively affected due to the closures of our Coney Island locations caused by Hurricane Sandy and the closure of our Yonkers, New York, restaurant for redevelopment. We estimate that the closures of our Coney Island and Oceanside restaurants due to the storm reduced sales by approximately \$131,000 as compared to the third quarter fiscal 2012. During the fiscal 2012 period, we were forced to temporarily close all of our restaurants during tropical storm Irene for the weekend of August 27, 2011 and experienced much more rain than usual, particularly during weekends, and a cold Labor Day weekend which we believe further decreased sales particularly at our Coney Island locations. During the fiscal 2013 period, other sales were approximately \$587,000 lower than the fiscal 2012 period primarily because Nathan's

Franchise fees and royalties were \$4,247,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$4,265,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. Total royalties were \$3,795,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$4,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu program were \$687,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$490,000 in the fiscal 2012 period due principally to the additional units in operation. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu Program are not based upon a percentage of restaurant sales but are based upon product purchases. Traditional franchise royalties were \$3,108,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$3,109,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. Franchise restaurant sales decreased to \$69,358,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$70,126,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. We estimate that approximately \$385,000 of the sales decline was attributable to closures resulting from Hurricane Sandy. Only one of these restaurants affected has not reopened. Comparable domestic franchise sales (consisting of 123 Nathan's outlets, operating for 15 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year, excluding sales under the Branded Menu Program) were \$48,689,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$50,518,000 in the fiscal 2012 period, a decrease of 3.6%. We believe that a portion of this decline is attributable to the franchised restaurants in the Northeast that were closed due to Hurricane Sandy. Franchise sales within our entertainment venues declined by approximately 4.7% compared to the prior period, including significant sales declines at two franchised locations that have been negatively affected by adjacent long term construction projects. Franchise sales within our free standing locations and travel venues decreased by approximately 5.7% and 3.8%, respectively compared to the third quarter fiscal 2012. During the second quarter fiscal 2012, most of our franchised locations in the Northeast were also negatively affected by tropical storm Irene.

At December 23, 2012, 303 domestic and international franchised or Branded Menu Program franchise outlets were operating as compared to 297 domestic and international franchised or Branded Menu Program franchise outlets at December 25, 2011. Total franchise fee income was \$452,000 in the fiscal 2013 period, including cancellation fees of \$99,000, compared to \$666,000 in the fiscal 2012 period, including cancellation fees of \$74,000. Domestic franchise fee income was \$165,000 in the fiscal 2013 period compared to \$382,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. International franchise fee income was \$188,000 in the fiscal 2013 period, 2012 period. International franchise fee income was \$188,000 in the fiscal 2013 period, compared to \$210,000 during the fiscal 2012 period. During the fiscal 2013 period, 29 new franchised outlets opened, including our first two mobile trucks, our first location in Turkey, our sixth restaurant in the Dominican Republic and fifteen Branded Menu Program outlets, including ten units operated by K-mart. During the fiscal 2012 period, 56 new franchised outlets opened, including two locations in each of Canada, the Dominican Republic and China, one location in Kuwait and 40 Branded Menu Program outlets, including 29 units operated by K-mart.

License royalties were \$6,104,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$5,307,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. Total royalties earned on sales of hot dogs from our retail and foodservice license agreements increased 16.0% to \$4,838,000 from \$4,171,000 primarily due to higher sales by SMG and higher royalties from a change in packaging on certain products sold by SMG in the fiscal 2013 period. Royalties earned from SMG, primarily from the retail sale of hot dogs, were \$3,781,000 during the fiscal 2013 period. Royalties earned from our foodservice licensee, substantially from sales of hot dogs to Sam's Club and Kroger's, were \$1,057,000 during the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$1,200,000 during the fiscal 2012 period. This decrease is due primarily to a temporary royalty concession for the period April 2012 through July 2012, on sales to Sam's Club and lower sales volume to Kroger's. During the fiscal 2013 period, we earned from all other licensing agreements for the manufacture and sale of Nathan's products were \$1,151,000, during the fiscal 2012 period.

Interest income was \$290,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$436,000 in the fiscal 2012 period, primarily due to lower interest income of approximately \$116,000 earned on marketable securities and lower interest earned on the Miami Subs note of approximately \$30,000. As additional marketable securities mature or are called by the issuer and we are unable to earn similar returns upon reinvestment, we would anticipate lower investment income in the future. On June 29, 2011, we completed the sale of the Miami Subs note receivable and no longer earned interest income of 8.5% on this note receivable.

Other income was \$51,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$126,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. This decrease is due primarily to a renegotiated sublease of a nonfranchised restaurant. In November 2011, Nathan's received \$125,000 in full satisfaction of Nathan's rights under the irrevocable direction entered into in connection with its sale of Miami Subs. (Refer to Note G of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012).

Costs and Expenses

Overall, our cost of sales increased by \$2,674,000 to \$35,716,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$33,042,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. Our gross profit (representing the difference between sales and cost of sales) was \$10,159,000 or 22.1% of sales during the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$8,639,000 or 20.7% of sales during the fiscal 2012 period. The margin improvement was primarily due to the impact of sales price increases that have been implemented to offset the higher cost of hot dogs for our Branded Product Program. During the third quarter fiscal 2013, the margin was negatively affected by the forgone sales at our Company-owned restaurants which were closed after Hurricane Sandy.

Cost of sales in the Branded Product Program increased by approximately \$2,722,000 during the fiscal 2013 period as compared to the fiscal 2012 period, primarily as a result of the higher sales volume and the approximately 1.6% increased cost of our hot dogs. During the fiscal 2013 period, the market price of hot dogs was approximately 0.7% higher than during the fiscal 2012 period. During the fiscal 2013 period, our purchase commitments increased cost by approximately \$142,000. During the fiscal 2012 period, our purchase commitments increased cost by approximately \$142,000. During the fiscal 2012 period, our purchase commitments to acquire hot dogs yielded savings of approximately \$74,000. This difference is due to two contributing factors, a) the unexpected decline in the cost of one of the beef components and b) the higher amount of product purchased pursuant to the purchase commitments. During the fiscal 2013 period approximately 81.7% of our product was purchased at prevailing market prices as compared to approximately 95.7% during the fiscal 2012 period. The purchase commitments increased our costs by approximately \$0.011 per pound during the fiscal 2013 period and reduced our costs by approximately \$0.007 per pound during the fiscal 2012 period. The cost of beef could further increase due to the record high corn prices as a result of the drought in the Midwest. If the cost of beef and beef trimmings increases and we are unable to pass on these higher costs through price increases or otherwise reduce any increase in our costs through the use of purchase commitments, our margins will be adversely impacted.

With respect to Company-owned restaurants, our cost of sales during the fiscal 2013 period was \$6,829,000 or 55.2% of restaurant sales, as compared to \$6,345,000 or 56.6% of restaurant sales in the fiscal 2012 period. Other cost of sales declined by \$532,000 in the fiscal 2013 period, primarily because of the termination of our agreement with the QVC television network in March 2012.

Restaurant operating expenses were \$2,390,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$2,440,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. Prior to Hurricane Sandy, restaurant operating costs were higher than the same period in fiscal 2012 by approximately \$204,000 primarily due to higher percentage rent due on the increased sales at the new Boardwalk location. The subsequent decline in restaurant operating costs was primarily due to the ongoing expenses incurred at our Coney Island restaurants while closed have been offset against an insurance advance received relating to damages from Hurricane Sandy. We incurred further savings from the closure of our Yonkers restaurant in November 2012. Although utility costs declined slightly during the fiscal 2013 period, we continue to be concerned about the volatile market conditions for oil and natural gas.

Depreciation and amortization was \$756,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$718,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. This increase is primarily attributable to the investment made at the new Boardwalk location and higher depreciation on newly-added consigned equipment by our Branded Product Program which has been partly offset by the discontinuance of depreciation on the assets that were destroyed at our Coney Island restaurant as a result of Hurricane Sandy.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$614,000 or 8.8% to \$7,609,000 in the fiscal 2013 period as compared to \$6,995,000 in the fiscal 2012 period. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased compensation costs of \$702,000, including higher share-based compensation of approximately \$280,000, additional personnel and associated expenses and higher payroll taxes from the exercise of employee stock options. These expenses were partly offset by lower professional fees of approximately \$112,000.

Interest expense of \$333,000 in fiscal 2013 and \$335,000 in the fiscal 2012 period primarily represents accrued interest in connection with Nathan's appeal of the SMG damages award calculated at the New York State statutory rate of 9% per annum. In connection with its appeal, on March 31, 2011, Nathan's was required to enter into both a security agreement and a blocked deposit account control agreement and to deposit approximately \$4,910,000 into the account and agree to deposit additional amounts monthly in an amount equal to the post-judgment interest. Nathan's expects to continue to accrue these charges during the term of the appeal.

Provision for Income Taxes

In the fiscal 2013 period, the income tax provision was \$3,850,000 or 39.4% of earnings before income taxes as compared to \$3,209,000 or 38.7% of income before income taxes in the fiscal 2012 period. Nathan's effective tax rate was reduced by 1.2% during the fiscal 2013 period and reduced by 2.0% during the fiscal 2012 period, due to the differing effects of tax-exempt interest income. Nathan's effective tax rates without these adjustments would have been 40.6% for the fiscal 2013 period and 40.7% for the fiscal 2012 period. Nathan's estimates that its unrecognized tax benefits and the related accrued interest and penalties could be further reduced by up to \$35,000 during the remainder of fiscal 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At March 25, 2012, the Company had an outstanding purchase commitment to acquire hot dogs at a cost of approximately \$4,900,000 from its primary hot dog manufacturer. During the second quarter fiscal 2013, Nathan's completed this purchase commitment. At December 23, 2012, Nathan's has entered into two new purchase commitments. Nathan's has committed to purchase approximately \$4.3 million of hot dogs during the January – March 2013 period. Additionally, Nathan's has entered into a commitment to purchase a similar amount of hot dogs during the April – June 2013 period. The value of this commitment will be finalized after the manufacturing process has been completed. Nathan's may continue to enter into additional purchase commitments in the future as favorable market conditions become available.

-21-

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents at December 23, 2012 aggregated \$14,300,000, increasing by \$8,271,000 during the fiscal 2013 period. At December 23, 2012, marketable securities were \$12,416,000 compared to \$14,710,000 at March 25, 2012 and net working capital increased to \$28,739,000 from \$21,989,000 at March 25, 2012.

Cash provided by operations of \$7,132,000 in the fiscal 2013 period is primarily attributable to net income of \$5,913,000 and other non-cash items of \$1,572,000. Changes in Nathan's operating assets and liabilities decreased cash by \$353,000, primarily resulting from lower accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1,390,000 and increased accounts and other receivables, net of \$306,000, which were partly offset by reduced prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$442,000, receipt of an insurance advance in excess of amounts expended towards ongoing operating costs caused by Hurricane Sandy of \$203,000, increased accrued litigation of \$335,000 and reduced inventories of \$138,000. The decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses primarily relates to lower payables in connection with our Branded Product Program and restaurant operations due to the seasonal change and the temporary closure of three Company-owned restaurants and the payment of accrued compensation outstanding at March 25, 2012, and reduction in unexpended marketing funds. The increase in accounts and other receivables is primarily due to increased royalties from our license agreement with SMG, Inc. The decrease in prepaid expenses is due primarily to the reduction of prepaid income taxes arising from the fiscal 2013 period's earnings and the application of prepaid insurances during the fiscal 2013 period.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$1,292,000 in the fiscal 2013 period. We received cash proceeds of \$2,000,000 from the redemption of maturing available-for-sale securities and we received an insurance advance towards property damage caused by Hurricane Sandy of \$449,000. We invested \$500,000 in a private offering for Preferred Stock in a privately-owned corporation. We also incurred capital expenditures of \$322,000 primarily in connection with our Branded Product Program and capital projects at our restaurants and funded \$335,000 of interest into the restricted cash account, as required on a monthly basis throughout the appeal of the SMG damages award.

Cash used in financing activities of \$153,000 in the fiscal 2013 period relates to the payment of withholding tax on the net share settlement exercise of employee stock options of \$698,000, and the repurchase of treasury stock of \$592,000 which were partly offset by the expected realization of the tax benefits associated with employee stock option exercises of \$749,000 and proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options of \$388,000.

During the period from October 2001 through December 23, 2012, Nathan's purchased a total of 4,511,944 shares of its common stock at a cost of approximately \$50,905,000 pursuant to its stock repurchase plans previously authorized by the Board of Directors.

On November 3, 2009, Nathan's Board of Directors authorized its sixth stock repurchase plan for the purchase of up to 500,000 shares of its common stock on behalf of the Company. On February 1, 2011, Nathan's Board of Directors authorized a 300,000 share increase of shares that the Company may repurchase. As of December 23, 2012, the Company had repurchased 412,985 shares at a cost of \$7,299,000 under the sixth stock repurchase plan, including 20,458 shares repurchased during the thirty-nine week period ended December 23, 2012 at an aggregate cost of \$592,000.

Currently, an aggregate of 387,015 shares can still be purchased under Nathan's existing stock buy-back program, as of December 23, 2012. Purchases may be made from time to time, depending on market conditions, in open market or privately-negotiated transactions, at prices deemed appropriate by management. There is no set time limit on the repurchases to be made under these stock-repurchase plans.

Management believes that available cash, marketable securities and cash generated from operations should provide sufficient capital to finance our operations and stock repurchases for at least the next 12 months.

As discussed above, we had cash and cash equivalents at December 23, 2012 aggregating \$14,300,000, and marketable securities of \$12,416,000. Our Board routinely monitors and assesses its cash position and our current and potential capital requirements. We may continue to return capital to our shareholders through stock repurchases, although there is no assurance that the Company will make any repurchases under its existing stock-repurchase plan. Since March 26, 2007, to date, we have repurchased 2,620,844 shares at a total cost of approximately \$43,747,000, reducing the number of shares then-outstanding by 43.5%.

We expect that in the future we will make investments in certain existing restaurants, support the growth of the Branded Product and Branded Menu Programs and continue our stock repurchase programs, funding those investments from our operating cash flow. We may also incur capital and other expenditures or engage in investing activities in connection with opportunistic situations that may arise on a case-by-case basis.

At December 23, 2012, there were three properties that we lease from third parties which we sublease to two franchisees and a non-franchisee. We remain contingently liable for all costs associated with these properties including: rent, property taxes and insurance. We may incur future cash payments with respect to such properties, consisting primarily of future lease payments, including costs and expenses associated with terminating any of such leases.

-22-

The following schedule represents Nathan's cash contractual obligations and commitments by maturity (in thousands):

			 Pa	nymer	its Due by Peri	od	
		Less than					More than
Cash Contractual Obligations	 Total	 1 Year	 1-3 Years		3-5 Years	_	5 Years
Employment Agreements	\$ 4,396	\$ 1,396	\$ 1,200	\$	1,200	\$	600
Purchase Commitment (a)	4,300	4,300	-		-		-
Operating Leases	 18,376	 1,647	 3,476		3,380		9,873
Gross Cash Contractual Obligations	 27,072	7,343	4,676		4,580		10,473
Sublease Income	3,550	395	715		519		1,921
Net Cash Contractual Obligations	\$ 23,522	\$ 6,948	\$ 3,961	\$	4,061	\$	8,552

(a) At December 23, 2102, Nathan's had an outstanding purchase commitment to acquire hot dogs at a total cost of approximately \$4.3 million. Nathan's has entered into another commitment to purchase a similar amount of hot dogs during the April – June 2013 period, the value of which will be determined after the manufacturing process has been completed.

At December 23, 2012, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$327,000. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits may decrease by \$35,000 within the next year. A reasonable estimate of the timing of the remaining liabilities is not possible.

Nathan's has terminated its lease for the Yonkers restaurant which closed on November 25, 2012 and entered a new lease for a new restaurant in the same area. Nathan's estimates that the new location may be ready to open in December, 2013.

Inflationary Impact

We do not believe that general inflation has materially impacted earnings since 2006. However, we have experienced significant volatility in our costs for our hot dogs and certain food products, distribution costs and utilities. Our commodity costs for beef have been especially volatile since fiscal 2004. In an effort to reduce the impact of increasing market prices, we have entered into purchase commitments for a portion of our hot dogs since January 2008. The market price of hot dogs was approximately 0.7% higher than during the fiscal 2012 period. This modest increase is in addition to last years' increase of approximately 14.0% over the April – December 2011 period and approximately 0.2% less than the market price of hot dogs in our first quarter of fiscal 2013. The cost of beef and beef trimmings during the first nine months of calendar 2012, have been the highest that they have ever been since the inception of our Branded Product Program in 1997. We are unable to predict the future cost of our hot dogs and expect to experience price volatility for our beef products during the remainder of fiscal 2013. In addition, beef prices could further increase due to the record high corn prices, as a result of the drought in the Midwest. We may attempt to enter into similar purchase arrangements for hot dogs and other products in the future. Additionally, we expect to continue experiencing volatility in oil and gas prices on our distribution costs for our food products and utility costs in the Company-owned restaurants and increased insurance costs resulting from the hardening of the insurance markets.

In March 2010, the Federal government passed new legislation to reform the U.S. health care system. As part of the plan, employers will be expected to provide their employees with minimum levels of healthcare coverage or incur certain financial penalties. As Nathan's workforce includes numerous part-time workers that typically are not offered healthcare coverage, we may be forced to expand healthcare coverage or incur these new penalties which may increase our health care costs.

From time to time, various Federal and New York State legislators have proposed changes to the minimum wage requirements. It has been reported that Governor Cuomo of New York will ask the State legislature to approve an increase in New York's minimum wage. Although we only operate five Company-owned restaurants, we believe that significant increases in the minimum wage could have a significant financial impact on our financial results and the results of our franchisees.

Continued increases in labor, food and other operating expenses, including health care, could adversely affect our operations and those of the restaurant industry and we might have to further reconsider our pricing strategy as a means to offset reduced operating margins.

The Company's business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows can be impacted by a number of factors, including but not limited to those set forth above in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," any one of which could cause our actual results to vary materially from recent results or from our anticipated future results. For a discussion identifying additional risk factors and important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, also see the discussions in "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in this Form 10-Q and "Risk Factors" in this Form 10-Q and our Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended March 25, 2012.

-23-

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We have historically invested our cash and cash equivalents in short term, fixed rate, highly rated and highly liquid instruments which are generally reinvested when they mature throughout the year. Although our existing investments are not considered at risk with respect to changes in interest rates or markets for these instruments, our rate of return on short-term investments could be affected at the time of reinvestment as a result of intervening events. As of December 23, 2012, Nathan's cash and cash equivalents aggregated \$14,300,000. Earnings on this cash and cash equivalents would increase or decrease by approximately \$36,000 per annum for each 0.25% change in interest rates.

Marketable Securities

We have invested our marketable securities in intermediate term, fixed rate, highly rated and highly liquid instruments. These investments are subject to fluctuations in interest rates. As of December 23, 2012, the market value of Nathan's marketable securities aggregated \$12,416,000. These marketable securities are considered at risk with respect to interest rates to determine their current market value. As additional notes mature or are called by the issuer and we are unable to earn similar returns upon reinvestment, we would anticipate lower investment income in the future. Our future rate of return could also be affected at the time of reinvestment as a result of intervening events. Interest income on these marketable securities would increase or decrease by approximately \$31,000 per annum for each 0.25% change in interest rates. The following chart presents the hypothetical changes in the fair value of the marketable investment securities held at December 23, 2012 that are sensitive to interest rate fluctuations (in thousands):

	Valuation of securities Given an interest rate											on of securitie an interest rate		
	Decrease of X Basis points						Fair Increase of X Basis points							
	(1	50BPS)	(1	LOOBPS)		(50BPS)		Value		+50BPS	+	100BPS		+150BPS
Municipal bonds	\$	12,544	\$	12,505	\$	12,464	\$	12,416	\$	12,371	\$	12,326	\$	12,283

Borrowings

At December 23, 2012, we had no outstanding indebtedness. If we were to borrow money in the future, such borrowings would be based upon the then-prevailing interest rates. We do not anticipate entering into interest rate swaps or other financial instruments to hedge our borrowings.

Commodity Costs

The cost of commodities is subject to market fluctuation. Our commodity costs for beef have been especially volatile since fiscal 2004. The market price of hot dogs was approximately 0.7% higher than during the fiscal 2012 period. This modest increase is in addition to last years' increase of approximately 14.0% over the April – December 2011 period and approximately 0.2% less than the market price of hot dogs in our first quarter of fiscal 2013. The cost of beef and beef trimmings during the first nine months of calendar 2012, have been the highest that they have ever been since the inception of our Branded Product Program in 1997. We have attempted to enter into purchase commitments for hot dogs from time to time in order to reduce the impact of increasing market prices. With the exception of those commitments, we have not attempted to hedge against fluctuations in the prices of the commodities we purchase using future, forward, option or other instruments. As a result, we expect that the majority of our future commodity purchases will be subject to market changes in the prices of such commodities. Generally, we have attempted to pass through permanent increases in our commodity prices to our customers, thereby reducing the impact of long-term increases on our financial results. A short-term increase or decrease of 10.0% in the cost of our food and paper products for the thirty-nine weeks ended December 23, 2012 would have increased or decreased our cost of sales by approximately \$3,071,000.

Foreign Currencies

Foreign franchisees generally conduct business with us and make payments in United States dollars, reducing the risks inherent with changes in the values of foreign currencies. As a result, we have not purchased future contracts, options or other instruments to hedge against changes in values of foreign currencies and we do not believe fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies would have a material impact on our financial results.

-24-

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the thirteen weeks ended December 23, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

We believe that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that such controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

-25-

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time involved in ordinary and routine litigation. Management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of such ordinary and routine litigation, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include money damages and, in such event, could result in a material adverse impact on our results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs.

The Company is party to a License Agreement with SMG, Inc. ("SMG") dated as of February 28, 1994, as amended (the "License Agreement") pursuant to which: (i) SMG acts as the Company's exclusive licensee for the manufacture, distribution, marketing and sale of packaged Nathan's Famous frankfurter product at supermarkets, club stores and other retail outlets in the United States; and (ii) the Company has the right, but not the obligation, to require SMG to produce frankfurters for the Nathan's Famous restaurant system and Branded Product Program.

On July 31, 2007, the Company provided notice to SMG that the Company has elected to terminate the License Agreement, effective July 31, 2008 (the "Termination Date"), due to SMG's breach of certain provisions of the License Agreement. SMG has disputed that a breach has occurred and has commenced, together with certain of its affiliates, an action in state court in Illinois seeking, among other things, a declaratory judgment that SMG did not breach the License Agreement. The Company filed its own action on August 2, 2007, in New York State court seeking a declaratory judgment that SMG has breached the License Agreement and that the Company has properly terminated the License Agreement. On January 23, 2008, the New York court granted SMG's motion to dismiss the Company's case in New York on the basis that the dispute was already the subject of a pending lawsuit in Illinois. The Company answered SMG's complaint in Illinois and asserted its own counterclaims which seek, among other things, a declaratory judgment that SMG did breach the License Agreement and that the Company has properly terminated the License Agreement. On July 31, 2008, SMG and Nathan's entered into a Stipulation pursuant to which Nathan's agreed that it would not effectuate the termination of the License Agreement on the grounds alleged in the present litigation until such litigation has been successfully adjudicated, and SMG agreed that in such event, Nathan's shall have the option to require SMG to continue to perform under the License Agreement for an additional period of up to six months to ensure an orderly transition of the business to a new licensee/supplier. On June 30, 2009, SMG and Nathan's each filed motions for summary judgment. Both motions for summary judgment were ultimately denied on February 25, 2010. On January 28, 2010, SMG filed a motion for leave to file a Second Amended Complaint and Amended Answer, which sought to assert new claims and affirmative defenses based on Nathan's alleged breach of the parties' License Agreement in connection with the manner in which Nathan's profits from the sale of its proprietary seasonings to SMG. On February 25, 2010, the court granted SMG's motion for leave, and its Second Amended Complaint and Amended Answer were filed with the court. On March 29, 2010, Nathan's filed an answer to SMG's Second Amended Complaint, which denied substantially all of the allegations in the complaint. On September 17, 2010, SMG filed a motion for summary judgment with respect to the claims relating to the sale of Nathan's proprietary seasonings to SMG. On October 5, 2010, Nathan's filed an opposition to SMG's motion for summary judgment, and itself cross-moved for summary judgment. A trial on the claims relating to Nathan's termination of the License Agreement took place between October 6 and October 13, 2010. Oral argument on the claims relating to the sale of Nathan's proprietary seasonings took place prior to the start of the trial. On October 13, 2010, an Order was entered with the Court denying Nathan's cross-motion and granting SMG's motion for summary judgment with respect to SMG's claims relating to the sale of Nathan's proprietary seasonings to SMG. On December 17, 2010, the Court ruled that Nathan's was not entitled to terminate the License Agreement. On January 19, 2011, the parties submitted an agreed upon order which, among other things, assessed damages against Nathan's of approximately \$4.9 million inclusive of pre-judgment interest, which has been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The final judgment was entered on February 4, 2011. On March 4, 2011, Nathan's filed a notice of appeal seeking to appeal the final judgment. In order to secure the final judgment pending an appeal, on March 31, 2011, Nathan's entered into a Security Agreement with SMG and Blocked Deposit Account Agreement with SMG and Citibank, N.A., as described in Note E. On April 7, 2011, the Court entered a stipulation and order which granted a stay of enforcement of the Judgment.

Nathan's filed an appellate brief with the Appellate Court of Illinois, First Judicial District, on August 8, 2011. In response, SMG filed an opposition appellate brief on October 21, 2011. Nathan's filed a reply brief on November 14, 2011. On December 11, 2012, the Court heard oral arguments. On January 25, 2013, the Appellate Court affirmed the trial court's ruling. Nathan's is currently evaluating its options to further appeal this decision.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 25, 2012, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing Nathan's. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.



Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

ISSUER PURCHASES	OF FOULTV	SECUDITIES
ISSUER FURGHASES	OF EOULI I	SECURITES

	it.	SOER FURCHASES OF EQU				
Period (A)	(a) Total Number of Shares (b) Average Price Paid p Purchased Share		Purchased as Part of Publicity			
September 24, 2012 October 21, 2012	-	-	-	407,473		
October 22, 2012 November 18, 2012	20,458	\$28.8880	20,458	387,015		
November 19, 2012 December 23, 2012	-	-	-	387,015		
Total	20,458	\$28.8880	20,458	387,015		

A) Represents the Company's fiscal periods during the quarter ended December 23, 2012.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

On November 1, 2012, the Company amended its employment agreement with Howard M. Lorber. Under the amendment, the term of the employment agreement was extended from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2017 and the base compensation of Mr. Lorber will be \$600,000. In addition, Mr. Lorber received a grant of 50,000 shares of restricted stock subject to vesting as provided in a Restricted Stock Agreement between Mr. Lorber and the Company.

On December 5, 2012, Nathan's entered into a definitive and binding letter agreement with John Morrell & Co, a subsidiary of Smithfield Foods, Inc. (NYSE:SFD) to become Nathan's exclusive licensee to manufacture and sell hot dogs, sausage and corned beef at retail. The agreement begins on March 2, 2014 for a term of 18 years providing royalties of 10.8% of sales subject to annual minimum royalties of at least \$10 million in the first year which increase annually throughout the term.

-27-

Item 6. Exhibits.

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33- 56976.)
- 3.2 Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed December 15, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 3.3 By-Laws, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K dated November 1, 2006.)
- 4.1 Specimen Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 4.2 Rights Agreement dated as of June 4, 2008 between Nathan's Famous, Inc. and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Current Report filed on Form 8-K dated June 6, 2008.)
- 10.1 **Letter agreement dated December 5, 2012 between Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc and John Morrell & Co.
- 31.1 *Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 *Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 *Certification by Eric Gatoff, CEO, Nathan's Famous, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 *Certification by Ronald G. DeVos, CFO, Nathan's Famous, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.1 *The following materials from the Nathan's Famous, Inc., Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 23, 2012 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) related notes.

*Filed herewith.

**Filed herewith with confidential portions omitted pursuant to request for confidential treatment. The omitted portions have been separately filed with the SEC.

-28-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

	NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC.
Date: February 1, 2013	By: /s/ Eric Gatoff Eric Gatoff Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
Date: February 1, 2013	By: /s/ Ronald G. DeVos Ronald G. DeVos Vice President - Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

-29-

Exhibit Index.

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33- 56976.)
- 3.2 Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed December 15, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 3.3 By-Laws, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K dated November 1, 2006.)
- 4.1 Specimen Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 4.2 Rights Agreement dated as of June 4, 2008 between Nathan's Famous, Inc. and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Current Report filed on Form 8-K dated June 6, 2008.)
- 10.1 **Letter agreement dated December 5, 2012 between Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc and John Morrell & Co.
- 31.1 *Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 *Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 *Certification by Eric Gatoff, CEO, Nathan's Famous, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 *Certification by Ronald G. DeVos, CFO, Nathan's Famous, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.1 *The following materials from the Nathan's Famous, Inc., Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 23, 2012 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) related notes.

*Filed herewith.

**Filed herewith with confidential portions omitted pursuant to request for confidential treatment. The omitted portions have been separately filed with the SEC.

-30-

Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. One Jericho Plaza Second Floor – Wing A Jericho, New York 11753

December 5, 2012

John Morrell & Co. 805 East Kemper Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45246

Gentlemen:

This letter agreement, when signed by Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. ("NFSI") and John Morrell & Co. ("JMC"), sets forth the parties' agreement and understanding concerning a licensing and supply agreement between NFSI and JMC (the "Agreement"). It is intended by both parties that this Agreement will be replaced with and superseded by a long form licensing and supply agreement containing the material terms set out herein along with such other terms as are customary and appropriate for agreements of this type, which other terms will be negotiated in good faith; however, unless and until such time as the long form licensing and supply agreement is executed by both parties, this letter agreement, including the schedules thereto, shall upon its execution constitute a binding and definitive agreement between NFSI and JMC concerning all of the subject matter hereof.

- 1. <u>Term</u> 18 years commencing March 2, 2014.
- 2. <u>Territory</u> The United States.
- 3. <u>Rights</u>:

(a) <u>Retail</u> – JMC will have the exclusive right and obligation to manufacture, distribute, market and sell "Nathan's Famous" branded hot dog, sausage and corned beef products in refrigerated consumer packages to be resold through retail channels (e.g., supermarkets, groceries, mass merchandisers and club stores) ("NF Retail Products") in the Territory. If NFSI desires to sell any other "Nathan's Famous" branded refrigerated meat products in consumer packages through retail channels in the Territory, NFSI will first offer JMC the right to license such products on terms to be negotiated in good faith. If JMC does not want to license such products, or if NFSI and JMC are unable to agree on terms to do so, NFSI will be free to license such products to third parties.

(i) <u>Enrobed Product</u> – With respect to "Nathan's Famous" branded enrobed hot dog products (such as mini bagel dogs, franks in blankets, corn dogs, corn dog nuggets, etc.) sold at retail in the Territory, NFSI will have the right to license third parties to enrobe, market and sell such products and JMC will have the obligation to supply NFSI with the hot dogs and/or sausages used in such products at BPP formula pricing (as set forth in Schedule II attached hereto).

(ii) <u>International Retail Products</u> – To the extent that NFSI's retail licensees in any foreign territory are permitted to import U.S. manufactured hot dog products, and such licensees desire to conduct their retail supply arrangements in such a manner (as opposed to locally sourcing manufacturing), JMC will have the obligation to supply NFSI with "Nathan's Famous" hot dog and sausage product for retail in such foreign territory at BPP formula pricing (plus any additional costs, on a "pass-thru" basis, to comply with the applicable foreign territory's laws and regulations [e.g., the additional cost of BEV meat for products to be exported to Mexico]), but FOB plant of manufacture. Currently, NFSI is so supplying its licensees in Canada, Mexico, the Dominican Republic and certain other Caribbean islands.

(b) <u>Food Service/BPP</u> – JMC will have the right and obligation to manufacture and distribute "Nathan's Famous" hot dog and sausage products in bulk for use in the food service industry ("NF Food Service Products") in the Territory. All such NF Food Service Products will be marketed and sold by NFSI (through its Branded Products Program), who will purchase the same from JMC at BPP formula pricing (as set forth in Schedule II, attached hereto and incorporated herein).

(i) <u>International Food Service</u> – Again, to the extent that NFSI's food service licensees in any foreign territory are permitted to import U.S. manufactured hot dog products, and such licensees desire to conduct their food service supply arrangements in such a manner (as opposed to locally sourcing manufacturing), JMC will have the obligation to supply NFSI with "Nathan's Famous" hot dog and sausage product for food service in such foreign territory at BPP formula pricing (plus any additional costs, on a "pass-thru" basis, to comply with the applicable foreign territory's laws and regulations), but FOB plant of manufacture. Currently, NFSI is so supplying its licensees in Canada and Mexico.

(ii) <u>All SKUs</u> – As part of its obligations, JMC will be required to produce all existing SKUs of NF Food Service Products. NFSI agrees that, where possible, it will work with JMC to eliminate duplicative or slow moving SKUs; provided that the same does not result in the loss by NFSI of the customer using such SKU.

(c) <u>NF Restaurant System</u> – JMC will have the right and obligation to supply "Nathan's Famous" natural casing and skinless hot dogs in bulk to the "Nathan's Famous" restaurant system ("NF Restaurant Product") in the United States. Such rights will be non-exclusive. NF Restaurant Product will be supplied by JMC to NFSI's restaurant distributors at formula pricing (as set forth in Schedule III, attached hereto and incorporated herein). Notwithstanding the foregoing, if JMC is unable to provide manufacturing facilities for natural casing NF Restaurant Product, the parties will work together to find and secure a third party manufacturer to: (i) produce and supply the "Nathan's Famous" restaurant system directly with natural casing NF Restaurant Products (and in such event such third party would be responsible for selling the natural casing NF Restaurant Products directly to NFSI's restaurant distributors and making the marketing fund contribution described in paragraph 3 of Schedule III annexed hereto); and (ii) copack for JMC natural casing NF Restaurant system with its skinless NF Restaurant Product needs.

4. <u>Manufacturing Facilities</u>:

(a) <u>Facilities</u> – JMC will at all times during the Term own and maintain modern, USDA approved and supervised manufacturing facilities which will be used to produce all NF Retail, NF Food Service and NF Restaurant Products. Currently, it is intended that: (i) all of the NF Retail Products and a portion of the NF Food Service Products will be manufactured at JMC's plant in Cincinnati, Ohio; and (ii) the remainder of the NF Food Service Products will be manufactured at JMC's COPAZ facility in Sioux Falls, Iowa.

(b) <u>Capacity of Facilities</u> – On March 2, 2014, JMC's manufacturing facilities to be used hereunder will have sufficient initial annual capacity to absorb the production of all Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages sold across all of NFSI's lines of business. (JMC acknowledges that NFSI has previously advised it of NFSI's projections for the total annual tonnage of Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages in the Territory, allocated by business line, for calendar 2012. Further, in representing that it will have sufficient initial production capacity, JMC acknowledges that the total annual tonnage of Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages in the Territory, allocated by business line, for calendar 2012. Further, in representing that it will have sufficient initial production capacity, JMC acknowledges that the total annual tonnage of Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages sold across all of NFSI's lines of business at the time production is transitioned to JMC on March 2, 2014 may be in excess of the projections for 2012.) Thereafter, at all times during the Term, JMC will provide all additional capacity necessary to grow production for all lines of NFSI's business. Such additional capacity will be planned for and brought online in advance of actual need such that plant capacity will not cause lost sales opportunities. In each plant in which JMC manufactures Nathan's products, the Nathan's products will have priority such that if additional Nathan's capacity is necessary, it can be achieved by JMC moving manufacturing of non-Nathan's products to another JMC or Smithfield Foods, Inc. facility.

(c) <u>Food Safety Standards</u> – As of March 2, 2014 and for the duration of the Term, all of JMC's plant facilities used hereunder will be certified with the highest level BRC or SQF food safety certification.

(d) <u>Redundancy</u> – For all lines of NFSI's business, JMC will maintain manufacturing redundancy either within its own system of manufacturing facilities, or through the use of co-packers approved by NFSI, such that events at any one plant do not disrupt the supply of Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages in any line of NFSI's business.

(e) Specifications:

(i) All product specifications and recipes (including any changes thereto), and all UPC and similar product codes, shall be owned exclusively by NFSI. No changes may be made to the product specifications or recipes without NFSI's prior written approval.

(ii) It shall be a material obligation that all Nathan's products produced by JMC will comply at all times with the product specifications and recipes.

(iii) As part of the product specifications and recipes, JMC acknowledges that all products will be made using NFSI's proprietary seasonings and that JMC will purchase such seasonings from only NFSI or NFSI's designated spice manufacturer (and that in connection with the sale of such seasonings, NFSI shall be entitled to earn financial benefit, whether in the form of profit, royalties or otherwise). NFSI's current designated spice manufacturer is Saratoga Specialties ("Saratoga"), a division of JMC. NFSI and JMC agree that NFSI's agreement with Saratoga will be amended to provide that: (i) the term will be extended so that it will be co-terminus with the Term hereof; (ii) the rights granted to Saratoga to manufacture and supply NFSI's proprietary seasonings will be for no less than 80% of the proprietary seasoning needs for the production of all Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages in the United States (i.e., NFSI shall have the right to secure a secondary supplier of proprietary seasonings for up to 20% of the business); (iii) the per pound royalty paid by Saratoga to NFSI on the sale of NFSI's proprietary seasonings will increase from its current rate by 3% annually; and (iv) the underlying cost of NFSI's proprietary seasoning as produced by Saratoga will remain competitively priced (with NFSI having the right to periodically test the market to ensure the same).

(f) <u>Production Personnel</u> – At all times, JMC will ensure that all facilities used to produce Nathan's products employ sufficient personnel with the necessary expertise in all areas (including, without limitation, procurement, production, quality assurance, engineering, logistics, human resources, etc.) to ensure that: (i) the facilities are operating properly at all times; and (ii) the products are made, packaged, stored and shipped (A) in compliance with all laws and regulations and the highest food safety standards, (B) according to their specifications, and (C) on a timely manner and in sufficient quantities.

(g) <u>Cold Storage and Logistics</u> – At all times, JMC will own or lease sufficient cold storage space (both refrigerated and frozen) to store satisfactory inventories of NF Retail, NF Food Service and NF Restaurant Products. Additionally, JMC will employ sufficient planning and logistics personnel to monitor inventory levels and to arrange for timely and accurate product shipment and distribution.

(h) <u>Approvals</u> – Each of the following shall be subject to NFSI's prior written approval: (i) all NF Retail, NF Food Service and NF Restaurant Products (including, without limitation, the types and sizes of products and the size of product packages); (ii) the design of all packaging materials in connection with NF Retail, NF Food Service and NF Restaurant Products; (iii) the design and final execution of all marketing, advertising or promotional materials relating to the NF Retail, NF Food Service or NF Restaurant Products; and (iv) any other use of the "Nathan's Famous" trademarks and/or brand indicia.

5. <u>Sales and Marketing of NF Retail Products</u>:

(a) <u>Sales and Marketing Plans/Budgets</u> – NFSI will be engaged by JMC early in the process of creating JMC's annual sales, trade promotion, consumer marketing and product development plans/budgets so that NFSI will have meaningful input in connection therewith. All such plans and budgets will be subject to NFSI and JMC mutual approval. JMC will be responsible for carrying out the sales, promotion and marketing activities identified in such approved plans and budgets. NFSI will be permitted to participate in all quarterly (or more frequent) reviews of budgets/plans.

(b) <u>Consumer Marketing Fund Contribution</u> – In addition to its obligation to fund the trade promotion and consumer marketing activities identified in the approved plans/budgets each year, JMC will make an annual contribution to NFSI's own consumer marketing fund in the amount of \$1 Million, which will be spent by NFSI on its own consumer marketing efforts each year (e.g., the Hot Dog Eating Contest, major sports stadium sponsorships such as Yankee Stadium and Citi Field, etc.). Such annual contribution shall be paid 50% on each March 15th of the Term and 50% on each September 15th of the Term.

(c) <u>Personnel</u> – At all times during the Term, JMC will have: (i) sufficient sales and marketing personnel with relevant experience to manage the sales and marketing of NF Retail Products; and (ii) a senior executive appointed as the "Brand Manager" whose responsibilities consist entirely of managing the "Nathan's Famous" business being conducted under this Agreement. Additionally, for a mutually approved minimum period of time, JMC agrees that it will use the same brokers that currently work on NF Retail Products to minimize transition risk.

6. Financial Terms:

(a) <u>Retail Royalty</u> – JMC will pay NFSI on a monthly basis a royalty on NF Retail Products equal to 10.8% of "Net Sales" (gross delivered invoice price less only trade promotion discounts [slotting and the cost of trade advertisement will NOT be deducted in calculating "Net Sales"]).

(b) <u>Minimum Guaranteed Royalties</u> - Retail royalties paid during each year of the Term pursuant to paragraph 6(a) above shall not be less than the applicable amounts set forth in Schedule I annexed hereto and incorporated herein. If actual retail royalties during any year are less than the applicable minimum guaranteed royalty, JMC will immediately pay NFSI the difference.

- (c) <u>BPP Formula Pricing</u> See attached Schedule II.
- (d) NF Restaurant Product Pricing See attached Schedule III.
- 7. JMC's Sale of Nathan's Famous Food Service Hot Dogs:

(a) <u>General</u> – NFSI and JMC historically had certain licensing arrangements pursuant to which NFSI licensed JMC the right to manufacture and sell "Nathan's Famous" hot dogs to certain food service customers. Currently, pursuant to those arrangements, JMC has two primary lines of business: (i) the sale of Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages to * for preparation and sale in * cafes (the *); and (ii) the sale of Nathan's Famous hot dogs to the deli departments of various large supermarket chains such as Kroger where those deli departments prepare the hot dogs and serve them on-site as a "grab-and-go" item (the "Supermarket Deli Food Service Business"). All such pre-existing food service licensing arrangements between NFSI and JMC are hereby terminated but JMC will, pursuant to this agreement, continue to have the exclusive right to conduct the * and the Supermarket Deli Food Service Business, as well as the additional right to sell Nathan's Famous hot dogs and sausages to other food service operations that exist within supermarkets, club stores, grocery stores and mass merchandisers where NF Retail Products are sold ("Other Food Service Within Retail Business") as set out below (i.e., JMC will have no right to sell Nathan's Famous food service products beyond the *, the Supermarket Deli Food Service Business and the Other Food Service Within Retail Business).

(b) <u>*</u>:

• On this business, JMC will continue to pay NFSI the royalty set forth in paragraph 1 of Schedule IV annexed hereto and incorporated herein.

5

- However, the * account will be treated as a joint account; accordingly, all pricing, hot dog product presentations and offerings and other decisions concerning this account will be made mutually by NFSI and JMC.
- Furthermore, all customer contact and meetings that in any way concern the Nathan's Famous business at * will be conducted jointly by representatives of NFSI and JMC.
- In the event that, for any reason, * determines to replace, in whole or in part, the Nathan's Famous hot dogs and/or sausages it is currently buying for its cafes with other hot dogs and/or sausages manufactured and/or sold by JMC or any of its affiliates, then the provisions of paragraph 2 of Schedule IV annexed hereto shall be applicable.

*Confidential Portion has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(c) Supermarket Deli Foodservice Business and Other Foodservice Within Retail Business:

- · JMC will actively pursue opportunities in these business areas.
- On these businesses, JMC will continue to pay NFSI the royalty set forth in paragraph 3 of Schedule IV annexed hereto.
- All pricing, hot dog product presentations and offerings and other decisions concerning this account will be made mutually by NFSI and JMC.
- NFSI shall have the right, at its election, to participate jointly with JMC in all customer contact and meetings that in any way concern the use of Nathan's Famous products and/or trademarks.

8. <u>Assignment/Change in Ownership/Control</u>:

(a) <u>No Assignment</u> - JMC may not, directly or indirectly, sell, assign, delegate or otherwise transfer this agreement and/or any of JMC's rights or obligations hereunder without NFSI's prior written consent. If NFSI does not consent, it will have the right to terminate by giving written notice, which termination will be effective 24 months from the date of NFSI's non-consent.

(b) <u>Smithfield</u> – At all times during the Term, Smithfield Foods, Inc. will own and control JMC. If the same is not true at any point during the Term, NFSI will have the right to terminate by giving written notice, which termination will be effective 24 months from the date of that Smithfield Foods, Inc. no longer owns and controls JMC.

(c) <u>No Nathan's Carve Out from JMC</u> – The Nathan's business created by this license agreement will, at all times, be part of JMC. Accordingly, JMC may not, directly or indirectly, sell, assign or otherwise transfer ownership and/or control of the business conducted pursuant to this license agreement (or of the assets used to conduct such business) to any third party or affiliate without NFSI's prior written consent. If NFSI does not consent to such a transaction, it will have the right to terminate by giving written notice, which termination will be effective 24 months from the date of NFSI's non-consent.

9. <u>Competitive Product</u> – JMC will not at any time during the Term be involved with the manufacture, marketing and/or sale of any other brand of retail hot dogs that competes in the same price category in which Nathan's Famous competes without NFSI's prior written consent.

10. <u>Other Terms</u> – The long form agreement will incorporate the terms and conditions of this letter agreement and contain such other normal and standard terms for license and supply agreements of this kind (e.g., NFSI will make standard warranties and representations regarding its trademarks; JMC will make standard warranties and representations concerning, among other things, compliance with all laws and regulations; JMC will indemnify NFSI for claims relating to the products; JMC will provide insurance coverage, etc.). All such other terms will be negotiated in good faith. Notwithstanding the foregoing, unless and until such time as the long form agreement is executed by both parties, this letter agreement, including the schedules thereto, shall upon its execution constitute a binding and definitive agreement between NFSI and JMC concerning all of the subject matter hereof

If the foregoing accurately represents your understanding, indicate the same by signing in the space provided.

Sincerely,

Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc.

By: /s/ Eric Gatoff Name: Eric Gatoff Title: Chief Executive Officer

Accepted & agreed to:

John Morrell & Co.

By: <u>/s/ Joe Sebring</u> Name: Joe Sebring Title: President

SCHEDULE I

Minimum Guaranteed Royalties

For each year of the Term, JMC agrees that the retail royalties paid to NFSI shall not be less than the applicable amounts set forth below. If actual retail royalties during any year are less than the applicable minimum guaranteed royalty, JMC will immediately pay NFSI the difference.

Year of the Term	Minimum Guaranteed Royalty
First Year	\$10,000,000.00
Second Year	\$10,500,000.00
Third Year	\$11,025,000.00
Fourth Year	\$11,576,250.00
Fifth Year	\$12,155,062.00
Sixth Year	\$12,762,815.00
Seventh Year	\$13,145,700.00
Eighth Year	\$13,540,071.00
Ninth Year	\$13,946,273.00
Tenth Year	\$14,364,661.00
Eleventh Year	\$14,795,601.00
Twelfth Year	\$15,091,513.00
Thirteenth Year	\$15,393,343.00
Fourteenth Year	\$15,701,210.00
Fifteenth Year	\$16,015,234.00
Sixteenth Year	\$16,335,539.00
Seventeenth Year	\$16,662,250.00
Eighteenth Year	\$16,995,495.00

<u>Protection From Damage to Nathan's Brand</u> – If an event that is not caused by or in any manner contributed to by JMC significantly damages the goodwill of the "Nathan's Famous" brand and directly results in a decline in sales of NF Retail Products such that the Minimum Guaranteed Royalties to be paid by JMC exceeds the actual retail royalties earned by NFSI hereunder, then, for the year in which such event occurs and the immediately following year, JMC will pay to NFSI only the actual retail royalties earned.

<u>Protection From Significant Decrease to Category</u> - In the event that (A) during any consecutive two year period during the Term, the retail beef hot dog category in the United States declines by an aggregate of 20% or more in retail dollars, and (B) during each of those two years, the Minimum Guaranteed Royalties paid by JMC to NFSI exceeds the actual retail royalties earned by NFSI hereunder, then NFSI will refund JMC with the excess by which the Minimum Guaranteed Royalties paid during such two year period exceeded the actual retail royalties earned by NFSI hereunder during the same period.

SCHEDULE II

BPP (Food Service) Formula Pricing:

1. <u>Regular and Slider Skinless Hot Dogs</u> – Formula price for one pound of regular skinless hot dogs <u>delivered</u> to our customers (or their distributors) is the sum of: (a) [*]; plus (b) [*]; plus (c) [*]. The result is [*].

2. Mechanism – The foregoing formula is calculated [*]. Once a price is established, in order for it to change (up or down), the formula must [*].

For example, [*].

3. Annual Adjustment of Overhead/Margin Charge – The overhead/margin charge is comprised of [*]. It is to be adjusted, up or down, [*].

4. <u>Specialty Items</u> – The following items have premiums added to the above formula:

Item	Premium per Pound
Kielbasa	[*]
Kielbasa with Cheese	[*]
Angus Beef Hot Dogs	[*]
Black Angus Sirloin Hot Dogs	[*]
Cheese	[*]
Jalapeno	[*]
Cheese & Jalapeno	[*]
Cocktails	[*]
Cocktails with Cheese	[*]

5. <u>Certain Special Arrangements on Large Volume/Low Margin Customers</u> – [*].

6. International Food Service and Retail – The above formula cost, except that the cost will be FOB plant. [*].

*Confidential Portion has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SCHEDULE III

NF Restaurant Product Formula Pricing

1. <u>Natural Casing NF Restaurant Hot Dogs</u> – Formula price for one pound of natural casing NF Restaurant hot dogs <u>delivered</u> to our restaurant system distributors is the sum of: (a) [*]; plus (b) [*]; plus (c) [*]. The result is [*].

2. <u>Skinless NF Restaurant Hot Dogs</u> - Formula price for one pound of skinless NF Restaurant hot dogs <u>delivered</u> to our restaurant system distributors is the sum of: (a) [*]; plus (b) [*]; plus (c) [*]. The result is [*].

3. <u>Nathan's Famous Restaurant Marketing Fund</u> – On each pound of natural casing or skinless NF Restaurant hot dogs sold, [*]. Marketing fund contributions will be made [*].

4. <u>Mechanism</u> – The mechanism for establishing [*].

5. <u>Adjustment of Overhead/Margin Charge</u> – The overhead/margin charge is comprised of [*]. It is to be adjusted, up or down, [*].

*Confidential Portion has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SCHEDULE IV

Royalties Due on *, Supermarket Deli Foodservice and Other Foodservice Within Retail Businesses

1. On the *, JMC will [*].

2. In the event that, for any reason, * determines [*].

3. On the Supermarket Deli Foodservice Business and Other Foodservice Within Retail Business, JMC will [*].

*Confidential Portion has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

I, Eric Gatoff, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 23, 2012 of Nathan's Famous, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 1, 2013

/s/ Eric Gatoff

Eric Gatoff Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) I, Ronald G. DeVos, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 23, 2012 of Nathan's Famous, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 1, 2013

/s/ Ronald G. DeVos

Ronald G. DeVos Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Eric Gatoff, Chief Executive Officer of Nathan's Famous, Inc., certify that:

The quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Nathan's Famous, Inc. for the period ended December 23, 2012 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

The information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Nathan's Famous, Inc.

/s/ Eric Gatoff Eric Gatoff Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) Date: February 1, 2013

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Nathan's Famous, Inc. and will be retained by Nathan's Famous, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Ronald G. DeVos, Chief Financial Officer of Nathan's Famous, Inc., certify that:

The quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Nathan's Famous, Inc. for the period ended December 23, 2012 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

The information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Nathan's Famous, Inc.

/s/ Ronald G. DeVos Ronald G. DeVos Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) Date: February 1, 2013

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Nathan's Famous, Inc. and will be retained by Nathan's Famous, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.