FORM 10-K

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended March 28, 1999 or

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 1-3189

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware11-3166443(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)1400 Old Country Road, Westbury, New York11590(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)Registrant's telephone number, including area code:(516) 338-8500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class Name of Each Exchange on which Registered None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock - par value \$.01 (Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this form 10-K [].

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 4, 1999 was approximately \$17,413,172.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. As of June 4, 1999, there were 4,722,216 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference: None

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Nathan's Famous, Inc. ("Nathan's" or the "Company") currently operates and franchises or licenses 188 fast food units featuring its famous all beef frankfurters, fresh crinkle-cut french fried potatoes, and a variety of other menu offerings. Company-owned and franchised units operate under the name "Nathan's Famous," the name first used at Nathans' original Coney Island restaurant opened in 1916. Since fiscal 1997, Nathan's supplemented its franchise program with its Branded Product Program which enables foodservice retailers to sell some of Nathan's proprietary products outside of the realm of a traditional franchise relationship.

Over the past five years, Nathan's has been focused on developing its restaurant system by operating Company-owned restaurants and opening franchised or licensed restaurants while developing complimentary lines of business, such as expanding its supermarket licensing program, implementing its Branded Product Program and developing an international master franchising program.

At March 28, 1999, the Nathan's Famous system included 25 Company-owned units concentrated in the New York metropolitan area, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Connecticut, 163 franchised or licensed units, including 28 carts, kiosks, and counter units and over 700 branded product outlets under its Branded Product Program, operating in 37 states, the District of Columbia, Israel and the islands of Jamaica and Aruba.

Nathan's plans to further expand its market penetration by growing its Branded Product Program, opening new Company-owned, franchised or licensed outlets emphasizing continued introduction into non-traditional captive markets such as airports, highway travel plazas, universities, convenience stores and certain other retailers and other high traffic areas. These types of locations allow Nathans' to maximize its return on investment by minimizing its capital investment and reducing its advertising costs while simplifying the unit's operation. Nathan's also plans to develop an international presence through the use of master franchising agreements.

Nathan's was incorporated in Delaware on July 10, 1992 under the name "Nathan's Famous Holding Corporation" to act as the parent of a Delaware corporation then-known as Nathan's Famous, Inc. On December 15, 1992, Nathan's changed its name to Nathan's Famous, Inc. and its Delaware subsidiary changed its name to Nathan's Famous Operating Corporation. The Delaware subsidiary was organized in October 1989 in connection with its reincorporation in Delaware from that of a New York corporation named "Nathan's Famous, Inc." The New York Nathan's was incorporated on July 10, 1925 as a successor to the sole proprietorship that opened the first Nathan's restaurant in Coney Island in 1916. On July 23, 1987, Equicor Group, Ltd. was merged with and into the New York Nathan's in a "going private" transaction. The New York Nathan's, the Delaware subsidiary and Equicor may all be deemed to be predecessors of Nathan's.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On February 19, 1999, the U. S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, Durham Division, confirmed the Joint Plan of Reorganization of the Official Committee of Franchisees of Roasters Corp. and Roasters Franchise Corp., operators of Kenny Rogers Roasters Restaurants. Under the joint plan of reorganization, on April 1, 1999, Nathan's acquired the intellectual property rights, including trademarks, recipes and franchise agreements of Roasters Corp. and Roasters Franchise Corp. for \$1,250,000 in cash plus related expenses, which was paid out of Nathan's 'working capital. Nathan's anticipates that this acquisition will enable it to further the market penetration it has achieved to date, although there is no assurance in this regard.

On November 25, 1998, Nathan's acquired 8,121,000 shares, or approximately 29.9% of the outstanding common stock, of Miami Subs Corporation for \$4,200,000, excluding transaction costs, and entered into a non-binding letter of intent which contemplated the acquisition of the remaining outstanding shares of Miami Subs. After giving effect to Miami Subs one-for-four reverse stock split in January 1999, Nathan's now owns 2,030,250 shares of Miami Subs common stock. On January 15, 1999, Nathan's and Miami Subs entered into a definitive merger agreement under which Nathan's is expected to acquire the remaining outstanding shares of Nathan's common stock and

warrants to acquire approximately 580,000 shares of Nathan's common stock at a price of \$6.00 per share. The merger is subject to certain conditions, including completion of due diligence, receipt of fairness opinions and approval by a majority of the stockholders of Nathan's and Miami Subs.

RESTAURANT OPERATIONS

Concept and Menus

3

The Nathan's concept offers a wide range of facility designs and sizes, suitable to a vast variety of locations and features a core menu, consisting of the "Nathans Famous" all-beef frankfurters, fresh crinkle-cut french fries and beverages. Nathan's menu is designed to be tailored to take advantage of site-specific market opportunities by adding complementary food items to the core menu. The Nathan's concept is suitable to stand alone or be co-branded with other nationally recognized brands.

Nathans' hot dogs are all-beef and are free from all fillers and starches. Hot dogs are flavored with the original secret blend of spices created by Ida Handwerker in 1916, which historically have distinguished Nathans' hot dogs. Hot dogs are prepared and served in accordance with procedures which have not varied significantly in more than 80 years. Fresh crinkle-cut french fried potatoes are prepared daily at each Nathan's restaurant. Nathan's french fried potatoes are cooked to order in 100% cholesterol-free corn oil. Nathan's estimates that approximately 65% to 70% of sales in its company-owned units consist of its famous hot dogs, fresh crinkle-cut french fried potatoes and beverages.

Individual Nathan's restaurants supplement their core menu of hot dogs, french fries and beverages with a variety of other quality menu choices: chargrilled hamburgers, chargrilled chicken sandwiches, Philly Cheesesteaks, selected seafood and fried chicken items, a breakfast menu and assorted desserts and snacks. While the number of supplemental menus carried varies with the size of the unit, the specific supplemental menus chosen are tailored to local food preferences and market conditions. Foods such as a chargrilled chicken breast, fresh-squeezed lemonade and an assortment of salads, fresh fruits and frozen yogurts have been added to appeal to customers interested in lighter cuisine. Each of these supplemental menu options consists of a number of individual items; for example, the hamburger menu may include chargrilled bacon cheeseburgers, cheeseburgers, superburgers and "BLT" burgers. Nathan's maintains the same quality standard with each supplemental menu as it does with its core hot dog and french fried potato menu. Thus, for example, hamburgers and sandwiches are prepared to order and not pre-wrapped or kept warm under lights. Nathan's also has a "Kids Meal" program in which various menu alternatives are combined with toys to appeal to the children's market.

Nathans' prototype restaurant units are available in a range of sizes as follows: Type A--300 to 1,200 sq. ft., Type B--approximately 2,200 sq. ft. and Type C--approximately 4,000 sq. ft. Nathan's has also developed prototype carts, kiosks, and modular merchandising units, all designated as Type D. Type A units may not have customer seating areas, although they may often share seating areas with other fast food outlets in food court settings. Type B and Type C units generally provide seating for 45 to 50 and 75 to 125 customers, respectively. Type D units generally carry only the core menu. This menu is supplemented by a number of other menu selections in Type A & B units and even greater menu selection in Type C units. The standardization of Nathans' prototype unit designs and menus has enabled Nathan's to reduce the cost of constructing conforming restaurant units and the operating costs of these units.

Nathan's believes its carts, kiosks and modular units are particularly well-suited for placement in non-traditional sites, such as airports, travel plazas, stadiums, schools, convenience stores, entertainment facilities, military facilities, business and industry food service, within larger retail operations and other captive markets. Many of these smaller units have been designed specifically to support Nathan's expanding Branded Product Program. All prototypes utilize a uniform, contemporary design.

Franchise Program

Nathans' franchise operations included 163 units at March 28, 1999, operating in 18 states, the Island of Aruba and the State of Israel. During the current fiscal year, Nathans' franchising program has expanded internationally having executed Master Development Agreements for the State of Israel and Egypt. Two restaurants are currently operating in Israel and 3 units are under various stages of development in Egypt. Another Nathan's franchisee has executed a master development agreement for the development of Nathan's Kosher restaurants within the United States. The first Kosher Nathan's restaurant opened in December 1998.

Today, Nathan's counts among its 82 franchisees and licensees such well known companies as Host Marriott Services USA, Inc., ARAMARK Leisure Services, Inc., CA1 Services, Inc., Service America Corp., Ogden Services Corp. and Sodexho USA. Nathan's continues to seek to market the franchising program to larger, experienced and successful operators with the financial and business capability to develop multiple franchise units.

As of March 28, 1999, Host Marriott operated 29 franchised outlets including 14 units at airports and 15 within highway travel plazas.

Franchisees who desire to open multiple units in a specific territory generally enter into a standard area development agreement under which Nathan's receives an advance fee based upon the number of proposed units which the franchisee is authorized to open. This advance is credited against the franchise fee payable to Nathan's as provided in its standard franchise agreement. In some circumstances, Nathan's may grant exclusive territorial rights, including foreign countries, for the development of Nathan's units based upon compliance with a predetermined development schedule. Nathan's may require that an exclusivity fee be conveyed for these rights. Additionally, under some circumstances, Nathan's may pay fees associated with the development of some geographic areas.

Franchisees are required to execute a standard franchise agreement or license agreement prior to opening each "Nathan's Famous" unit. Nathans' current standard franchise agreement provides for, among other things, a one-time \$30,000 franchise fee payable upon execution of the agreement, a monthly royalty payment based on 4.5% of restaurant sales and the expenditure of 2.5% of sales on advertising. Nathan's also offers a modified franchise agreement tailored to meet the needs of franchisees who desire to operate a Nathan's of a smaller size offering a reduced menu. The modified franchise agreement provides for the initial franchise fee of \$15,000 which is payable upon execution of the agreement, monthly royalties of 4.5% and the expenditure of 2.5% of sales on advertising. In some specific situations, Nathan's may offer alternatives to the standard franchise agreement. Marriott and National Restaurant Management, Inc., are among those who are not subject to the requirement to spend a percentage of sales on advertising. The initial term of the typical franchise agreement is 20 years, with a 15-year renewal option by the franchisee, subject to conditions contained in the franchise agreement.

The standard license agreement provides for, among other things, a monthly royalty payment based on 10% of restaurant sales up to \$250,000, 8% of restaurant sales between \$250,000 and \$500,000 and 6% of restaurant sales in excess of \$500,000 per annum. There is no one-time license fee upon execution of the agreement or requirement to spend a percentage of restaurant sales on advertising.

Franchisees are approved on the basis of their business background, evidence of restaurant management experience, net worth and capital available for investment in relation to the proposed scope of the development agreement. Nathan's does not offer any financing arrangements to its franchisees.

Nathan's provides numerous support services to its franchisees. Nathan's assists in and approves all site selections. Thereafter, Nathan's provides architectural prototype plans suitable for restaurants of varying sizes and configurations, for use in food-court, in-line and free-standing locations. Nathan's also assists in establishing building design specifications, reviewing construction compliance, equipping the restaurant and providing appropriate menus to coordinate with the prototype restaurant design and location selected by the franchisee. Nathan's typically does not sell food, equipment or supplies to its franchisees.

Nathan's offers various management training courses for management personnel of Company-owned and franchised restaurants. At least one restaurant manager from each restaurant must successfully complete Nathans' mandated management training program. Nathan's also offers additional operations and general management training courses for all restaurant managers and other managers with supervisory responsibilities. Nathan's provides standard manuals to each franchisee covering training and operations, products and equipment and local marketing programs. Nathan's also provides ongoing advice and assistance to franchisees.

Franchised restaurants are required to be operated in accordance with uniform operating standards and specifications relating to the selection, quality and preparation of menu items, signage, decor, equipment, uniforms, suppliers, maintenance and cleanliness of premises and customer service. All standards and specifications are developed by Nathan's and applied on a system-wide basis. Nathan's continuously monitors franchisee operations and inspects restaurants. Franchisees are required to furnish Nathan's with detailed monthly sales or operating reports which assist Nathan's in monitoring the franchisee's compliance with its franchise or license agreement. Nathan's makes both announced and unannounced inspections of restaurants to ensure that company practices and procedures are being followed. Nathan's has the right to terminate a franchise if a franchisee does not operate and maintain a restaurant in accordance with the requirements of its franchise or license agreement. Nathan's also has the right to terminate a franchise for non-compliance with certain other terms and conditions of the franchise or license agreement such as non-payment of royalties, sale of unauthorized products, bankruptcy or conviction of a felony. During the fiscal year ended March 28, 1999, Nathan's terminated 2 franchise agreements.

Company-owned Operations

As of March 28, 1999, Nathan's operated 25 Company-owned units, including two kiosks, in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut and Pennsylvania. Some of Nathans' restaurants are older and significantly larger units which do not conform to current prototype designs. These units carry a broader selection of menu items than current franchise prototype units. The items offered at Company-owned restaurants, other than the core menu, tend to have lower margins than the core menu. The older units required significantly higher levels of initial investment than current franchise prototypes and tend to operate at a lower sales/investment ratio. For this reason, Nathan's does not intend to replicate these units in its planned expansion of Company-owned units.

Nathan's has entered into a food service lease agreement with Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. under which Nathan's leases space within certain Home Depot Improvement Centers to operate its restaurants. The term of each Home Depot agreement is five years from the date on which the restaurant opens, with two five year renewal options. Nathan's currently operates 11 units within Home Depot Improvement Centers, including 2 kiosks. Nathan's is currently developing a new prototype unit which is expected to open in the winter of 2000. Nathan's believes that this new unit may provide further development opportunities with The Home Depot.

Since Nathans' initial public offering in February 1993, Nathan's has acquired seven Company-owned restaurants from franchisees, opened 18 new Company-owned units, commenced operating two carts, sold one unit and closed nine units. Nathan's may close other units in the future.

Company-owned units currently range in size from approximately 440 square feet to 10,000 square feet and are located principally in retail shopping environments or are free-standing buildings. Some restaurant designs do not include seating and others include seating for 100 to 300 customers. The restaurants are designed to appeal to all ages and generally are open seven days a week. Nathan's has established high standards with respect to food quality, cleanliness and service at its restaurants and regularly monitors the operations of its restaurants to ensure adherence to these standards. Restaurant service areas, seating, signage and general decor are contemporary. The average check at the comparable Company-owned restaurants was approximately \$5.28 for fiscal 1999.

The following table shows the number of Company-owned and franchised or licensed units in operation at March 28, 1999 and their geographical distribution:

		Franchise	
Location	Company	or License	Total
Arizona		3	3
		3	-
California		1	1
Colorado		1	1
Connecticut	1	4	5
Florida		17	17
Indiana		1	1
Maine		1	1
Maryland		2	2

Massachusetts		3	3
Minnesota		1	1
Mississippi		1	1
Nevada		6	6
New Hampshire		1	1
New Jersey	6	44	50
New York	16	64	80
North Carolina		3	3
Pennsylvania	2	6	8
Rhode Island		1	1
Domestic Subtotal	25	160	185
International Locations			
Aruba		1	1
Israel		2	2
International Subtotal		3	3
Grand Total	25	163	188

Branded Product Program

During fiscal 1998, Nathan's launched its new "Branded Product Program" in which qualified foodservice operators may offer Nathans' hot dogs and other proprietary items for sale within their facilities. In conjunction with this program, foodservice operators are granted a limited use of the Nathans' trademark with respect to the sale of hot dogs and certain other proprietary food items and paper goods. Nathan's sells the products directly to various distributors who resell these proprietary products to retailers. Currently, there are over 700 branded outlets operating under this program. The flexibility of this program has allowed Nathan's to execute exclusive distribution agreements with The Compass Group and Pierre Foods for the sale of pre-packaged Nathan's branded hot dogs through vending machines, convenience stores and club stores. Nathan's has also executed an exclusive agreement with Best Express Foods, Inc. for the sale of Nathan's branded hot dogs to school systems nationwide.

Expansion Program

Nathans' expansion plans focus on opening Company-owned and franchised units primarily in non-traditional captive markets by utilizing smaller facility types with limited menus and increasing the market penetration of its Branded Product Program. Smaller designs have been developed specifically to encourage co-branding by our business partners. Many of Nathans' franchisees currently operate units that are co-branded with various nationally recognized brands. New Company-owned units are expected to be opened primarily in the Northeastern United States, concentrated within the New York metropolitan area. Existing Company-owned units are principally located in the New York metropolitan area and Nathan's has extensive experience in operating restaurants in this market. Nathan's intends to continue to focus on opportunities for locating units in non-traditional or other special captive market settings. Nathan's believes that a significant opportunity exists to convert existing sales of non-branded hot dogs into "Nathan's" hot dogs throughout the foodservice industry, by franchisees, licensees or perhaps with retailers by utilizing branded modular merchandising units, carts or kiosks in addition to restaurants.

For the year ended March 28, 1999, franchisees have opened 21 new franchised units including 2 units in the State of Israel and the first Kosher Nathan's restaurant. The Branded Product Program has also added over 400 branded outlets, exclusive of the points of distribution added under the Pierre Foods, The Compass Group and Best Express Foods contracts.

Nathan's expects that its franchisees and licensees will open approximately 20-25 new units and that it will seek continued growth of the Branded Product Program in fiscal 2000. Nathan's plans to continue opening Company-owned units within Home Depot Improvement Centers as new opportunities arise.

During fiscal 1999, Nathan's has continued its international development initiative recognizing that opportunities exist for franchising "Nathan's Famous" restaurants in various foreign countries. Nathan's believes that in addition to restaurant franchising it has the opportunity to offer master development agreements to qualified persons or entities allowing for the operation of franchised restaurants, the ability to subfranchise restaurants to others and also license the manufacture, sale of Nathans' products through supermarkets and also allow for the development of a Branded Product Program. Qualified persons or entities must have satisfactory foodservice experience managing multiple units, a solid infrastructure and the necessary financial resources to support the business development. During fiscal 1999, a Nathan's franchisee has opened 2 units in the State of Israel under a master development agreement and another franchisee has 3 units under development pursuant to a master development agreement for Egypt. Nathan's has also retained a consultant to assist in the development and marketing efforts of the international program. Nathan's has registered some of its service marks and trademarks in more than 20 foreign jurisdictions.

Licensing Program

Nathan's licenses SMG, Inc. to produce packaged hot dogs and other meat products according to Nathans' proprietary recipes and spice formulations, and to use "Nathan's Famous" and related trademarks to sell these products on an exclusive basis in the United States to supermarkets, groceries and other outlets, thereby providing foods for off-premises consumption. The SMG agreement expires in 2014 and provides for royalties ranging between 3% to 5% of sales. The percentage varies based on sales volume, with escalating minimum royalties. Earned royalties of \$1,236,000 in fiscal 1999 exceeded the contractual minimum established under the agreement. Nathan's believes that the overall exposure of the brand and opportunity for consumers to enjoy the "Nathan's Famous" hot dog in their homes helps promote "Nathan's Famous" restaurant patronage. Hot dog sales are concentrated in the New York metropolitan area, New England, Florida and California. Royalties from SMG provided the majority of Nathans's fiscal 1999 retail license revenues.

In November 1997, Nathan's executed a license agreement with J.J. Mathews & Co, Inc. to produce and market packaged Home Meal Replacement menu items for sale within supermarkets. The agreement calls for Nathan's to receive royalties based upon sales, subject to minimum annual royalties, as specified in the agreement. During fiscal 1999, Nathan's received the minimum royalties of \$100,000 payable for calendar 1998.

Nathan's products are also distributed under licensing agreements with Gold Pure Food Product's Co., Inc. and United Pickle Packers, Inc. Both companies license the "Nathan's Famous" name for the manufacture and sale of various condiments including mustard, salsa, sauerkraut and pickles. These products have been distributed on a limited basis. Fees and royalties earned during fiscal 1999 have not been significant.

PROVISIONS AND SUPPLIES

Nathans' proprietary hot dogs are produced by SMG and Russer Foods, a division of IBP, Inc., in accordance with Nathans' recipes, quality standards and proprietary spice formulations. John Morrell & Company, Nathans' licensee prior to SMG, has retained the right to produce Nathans' proprietary spice formulations. All other company provisions are purchased and obtained from multiple sources to prevent disruption in supply and to obtain competitive prices. Nathan's negotiates directly with its suppliers for all primary food ingredients and beverage products sold at its restaurants to ensure adequate supply and to obtain competitive prices. Franchised operators are free to obtain frankfurters and other proprietary products from any approved supplier and can obtain non-proprietary products from any source whose products meet Nathans' specifications.

MARKETING, PROMOTION AND ADVERTISING

Nathan's maintains advertising funds for local, regional and national advertising under the Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. Franchise Agreement. Franchisees are generally required to spend or contribute to the advertising funds up to 2.5% of restaurant sales for advertising and promotion. Marriott and National Restaurant Management, Inc. are among the current franchisees who are not subject to this requirement. If a cooperative advertising program exists in the franchised area, the applicable percentage can be contributed to that program. Where no cooperative advertising program is available, up to 1% of the franchisees' advertising budget must be contributed to the advertising funds for national marketing support. The balance must be expended on programs approved by Nathan's as to form, content and method of dissemination. Through March 28, 1999, Nathans' gross spending for marketing activities was approximately 2.4% of its own restaurant sales. Through March 28, 1999, Nathan's continued its primary marketing emphasis on local store marketing campaigns featuring a value oriented strategy complimented with promotional "Limited Time Offers." Nathan's anticipates that near term marketing efforts will continue to emphasize local store marketing activities. These activities were supplemented with a radio and billboard campaign during the summer of 1998. As the concentration of "Nathan's Famous" restaurants in particular geographic areas increases, Nathan's believes the opportunity for effective regional media advertising may exist.

In addition, SMG promotes and advertises the "Nathan's Famous" packaged retail brand, particularly in the New York metropolitan area, California, the greater Boston area, Phoenix, Arizona and throughout Florida. Nathan's believes that the advertising by SMG increases brand recognition and thereby indirectly benefits Company-owned and franchised restaurants in the areas in which SMG conducts its campaigns. From time to time, Nathan's also participates with SMG in joint promotional activities.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

Nathan's is subject to Federal Trade Commission regulation and several state laws which regulate the offer and sale of franchises. Nathan's is also subject to a number of state laws which regulate substantive aspects of the franchisor-franchisee relationship.

The FTC's "Trade Regulation Rule Concerning Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures" requires Nathan's to provide disclosure of specified information to prospective franchisees. Fifteen states, including New York, also require similar disclosure. While the FTC rule does not require registration or filing of the disclosure document, fourteen states require franchisors to register the disclosure document (or obtain exemptions from that requirement) before offering or selling a franchise. The laws of seventeen other states require some form of registration under "business opportunity" laws, which sometimes apply to franchisors such as Nathan's.

Laws which regulate one or another aspect of the franchisor-franchisee relationship presently exist in twenty-one states and the District of Columbia. These laws regulate the franchise relationship by, for example, requiring the franchisor to deal with its franchisees in good faith, prohibiting interference with the right of free association among franchisees, limiting the imposition of standards of performance on a franchisee, and regulating discrimination among franchisees in charges, royalties or fees. These laws have not precluded Nathan's from seeking franchisees in any given area. Although these laws may also restrict a franchisor in the termination of a franchise agreement by, for example, requiring "good cause" to exist as a basis for the termination, advance notice to the franchisee of the termination, an opportunity to cure a default and repurchase of inventory or other compensation, these provisions have not had a significant effect on Nathans' operations.

Nathan's is not aware of any pending franchise legislation which in its view is likely to significantly affect the operations of Nathan's. Nathan's believes that its operations comply substantially with the FTC rule and state franchise laws.

Each Company-owned and franchised restaurant is subject to regulation by federal agencies and to licensing and regulation by state and local health, sanitation, safety, fire and other departments. Difficulties or failures in obtaining the required licenses or approvals could delay or prevent the opening of a new restaurant.

Nathan's is subject to federal and state environmental regulations, which have not had a material effect on Nathans' operations. More stringent and varied requirements of local governmental bodies with respect to zoning, land use and environmental factors could delay or prevent development of new restaurants in particular locations. In addition, the federal Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") applies with respect to the design, construction and renovation of all restaurants in the United States. Compliance with the ADA's requirements could delay or prevent the development of, or renovation to restaurants in certain locations, as well as add to the cost of such development.

Each of the companies which manufactures, supplies or sells Nathans' products is subject to regulation by federal agencies and to licensing and regulation by state and local health, sanitation, safety and other departments. Difficulties or failures by these companies in obtaining the required licenses or approvals could adversely effect the revenues of Nathan's which are generated from these companies.

EMPLOYEES

9

Nathan's regularly employed an average of approximately 590 persons during fiscal 1999, of whom 35 were corporate management and administrative employees, 110 were restaurant managers and 476 were hourly full-time and part-time food-service employees. The number of hourly food-service employees ranged from a low of 411 to a high of 500. Food-service employees at five locations are represented by 1115 Culinary Employees Union, a division of 1115 Joint Board, under various agreements which are expected to be renegotiated by August 1999. Nathan's considers its employee relations to be good and for more than 27 years has not suffered any strike or work stoppage.

Nathan's provides a training program for managers and assistant managers of its new Company-owned and franchised restaurants. Hourly food workers are trained, on site, by managers and crew trainers following company practices and procedures outlined in its operating manuals.

TRADEMARKS

Nathan's holds trademark and service mark registrations for NATHAN'S FAMOUS, NATHAN'S and Design, NATHAN'S FAMOUS SINCE 1916 and SINCE 1916 NATHAN'S FAMOUS within the United States with some of these marks holding corresponding foreign trademark and service mark registrations in more than 20 jurisdictions. Nathan's also holds various related marks for restaurant services and some food items. Nathan's believes that its trademarks and service marks provide significant value to Nathan's and are an important factor in the marketing of its products and services. Nathan's believes that it does not infringe on the trademarks or other intellectual property rights of any third parties.

COMPETITION

The restaurant business is highly competitive and "Nathan's Famous" restaurants compete with numerous restaurants and drive-in units operating on both a national and local basis, including major national chains with greater financial and other resources than Nathan's. Nathan's also competes with local restaurants and diners on the basis of food quality, price, size, site location and name recognition. There is also active competition for management personnel as well as suitable commercial sites for restaurants.

Nathan's believes that its emphasis on its proprietary all beef frankfurters and fresh crinkle-cut french fried potatoes and the reputation of these products for taste and quality set it apart from its major competitors. Additionally, Nathan's believes that it and its franchisees compete effectively with other restaurants for patronage on the basis of the reputation achieved by "Nathan's Famous" restaurants. As fast food companies have experienced flattening growth rates and declining average sales per restaurant, some of them have adopted "value pricing" and or deep discount strategies. These strategies could have the effect of drawing customers away from companies which do not engage in discount pricing and could also negatively impact the operating margins of competitors which attempt to match their competitors' price reductions. Nathan's has introduced its own form of "value pricing," selling combinations of different menu items for a total price lower than the usual sale price of the individual items and other forms of price sensitive promotions. Extensive price discounting in the fast food industry could have an adverse effect on Nathan's.

Nathan's also competes with numerous companies in the sale and distribution of its licensed hot dogs and other packaged foods, primarily on the basis of reputation, flavor, quality and price.

Nathans' principal executive offices consist of approximately 12,000 sq. ft. of leased space in a modern, high-rise office building in Westbury, New York. One Company-owned, 2,650 sq. ft. restaurant, at 86th Street in Brooklyn, New York, is located on a 25,000 sq. ft. lot owned by Nathan's. At March 28, 1999, other Company-owned restaurants then operating were located in leased space with terms expiring as shown in the following table:

		Current Lease	Approximate
	Location	Expiration Date	Square Footage
Coney Island	Brooklyn, NY	December 2008	10,000
Coney Island Boardwalk	Brooklyn, NY	October 2000	440
Kings Plaza Shopping Center	Brooklyn, NY	September 2010	4,200
Long Beach Road	Oceanside, NY	May 2001	7,300
Central Park Avenue	Yonkers, NY	April 2000	10,000
Livingston Mall	Livingston, NJ	December 2000	2,650
Paramus Park Shopping Center	Paramus, NJ	August 1999	1,300
Jericho Turnpike	Commack, NY	March 2003	3,200
Hempstead Turnpike	Levittown, NY	September 2004	4,100
Connecticut Post Mall	Milford, CT	March 2002	1,000
Broad Hollow Road	Farmingdale, NY	April 2003	2,200
Woodbridge Center	Woodbridge, NJ	May 2000	3,000
Galleria Mall	White Plains, NY	June 1999	1,000
Jericho Home Depot	Jericho, NY	September 2004	1,500
S. Plainfield Home Depot	S. Plainfield, NJ	October 2004	1,500
Copaigue Home Depot	Copaigue, NY	April 2005	1,200
Flushing Home Depot	Flushing, NY	June 2005	1,500
Elmont Home Depot	Elmont, NY	October 2005	1,500
Philadelphia Home Depot	Philadelphia, PA	November 2005	1,530
Upper Darby Home Depot	Upper Darby, PA	July 2006	1,560
Union Home Depot	Union, NJ	January 2008	960
Jersey City Home Depot	Jersey City, NJ	January 2008	830
Staten Island Home Depot	Staten Island, NY		1,680
Brooklyn Home Depot	Brooklyn, NY	March 2008	950

Nathans' leases typically provide for a base rental plus real estate taxes, insurance and other expenses and, in some cases, provide for an additional percentage rent based on the restaurants' revenues. Many of Nathans' leases also provide for renewal options ranging between 5 - 25 years upon expiration of the prime lease. Nathan's is currently renegotiating its lease in the Galleria Mall which expired in March 1999 while the restaurant continues to operate and is also renegotiating the lease within the Paramus Park Shopping Center. Aggregate rental expense, net of sublease income, under Nathans' current leases amounted to \$2,093,000 in fiscal 1999.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Nathan's is from time to time involved in ordinary and routine litigation. Nathan's is also involved in the following litigation:

On February 28, 1995, an action entitled Textron Financial Corporation v. 1045 Rush Street Associates, Stephen Anfang, and Nathan's Famous, Inc. was instituted in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois County Department, Chancery Division. The complaint alleges that Nathan's conspired to perpetrate a fraud upon the plaintiff and alleges that Nathan's breached its lease with 1045 Rush Street Associates and the estoppel agreement delivered to the plaintiff in connection therewith by subleasing these premises and thereafter assigning the lease with respect to the premises to a third party franchisee, and further by failing to pay rent under this lease on and after July 1990. This complaint seeks damages in the amount of at least \$1,500,000. Nathan's has filed its answer to this complaint denying the material allegations of the complaint and asserting several affirmative defenses to liability including, but not limited to, the absence initially or subsequent failure of consideration for the estoppel agreement, equitable estoppel, release, failure to mitigate and other equitable and legal defenses. The plaintiff has added as additional parties defendant, the attorney who represented the landlord in the financing transaction in connection with which the Estoppel Agreement was required. Nathan's and some of the named defendants entered into a Settlement with Textron whereby all of the plaintiff's claims against Nathan's and the other defendants were resolved under a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release that provided for payments to be made jointly by all of the defendants on or before December 30, 1998 and January 15, 1999, which payments were made.

In or about December, 1996, Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. instituted an action in the Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County, against Phylli Foods, Inc. a franchisee, and Calvin Danzig as a guarantor of Foods' payment and performance obligations, to recover royalty fees and advertising contributions due to Systems in the aggregate amount of \$35,567.20 under a franchise agreement between Systems and Phylli Foods dated June 1, 1994. In their answer, the defendants essentially denied the material allegations of the complaint and interposed counterclaims against Systems in which they alleged essentially that Systems fraudulently induced the defendants to purchase the franchise from Systems or did so by means of negligent misrepresentations. Defendants also alleged that by reason of Systems' allegedly fraudulent and deceitful conduct, Systems violated the General Business Law of New York. As a consequence of the foregoing, the defendants are seeking damages in excess of five million dollars, as well as statutory relief under the General Business Law. Systems has moved to dismiss the counterclaims on the grounds that they are insufficiently pleaded and otherwise fail to state a sustainable claim against Systems upon which relief may be granted. During fiscal 1998, Systems' motion was granted except for the claim seeking statutory relief under the General Business Law.

Nathan's was named as one of three defendants in an action commenced in June 1997, in the Supreme Court of New York, Queens County. According to the complaint, the plaintiff, a dentist, is seeking injunctive relief and damages in an amount exceeding \$5 million against the landlord, one of Nathan's franchisees and Nathan's claiming that the operation of a restaurant in a building in Long Island City created noxious and offensive fumes and odors that allegedly were injurious to the health of the plaintiff and his employees and patients, and interfered with, and irreparably damaged his practice. Plaintiff also claims that the landlord fraudulently induced him to enter a lease extension by representing that the first floor of the building would be occupied by a non-food establishment. Nathan's believes that there is no merit to the plaintiff's claims against it inasmuch as it never was a party to the lease, and the restaurant, which closed in or about August 1995, was operated by a franchisee exclusively. Nathan's intends to defend the action vigorously.

On January 5, 1999, Miami Subs was served with a class action lawsuit entitled Robert J. Feeney, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated vs. Miami Subs Corporation, et al., in Broward County Circuit Court, which was filed against Miami Subs, its directors and Nathan's in a Florida state court by a shareholder of Miami Subs. Since that time, Nathan's and its designees to the Miami Subs Board have also been served. The suit alleges that the proposed merger between Miami Subs and Nathan's, as contemplated by the companies' non-binding letter of intent, is unfair to Miami Subs' shareholders and constitutes a breach by the defendants of their fiduciary duties to the shareholders of Miami Subs. The plaintiff seeks among other things: 1. class action status; 2. preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against consummation of the proposed merger; and 3. unspecified damages to be awarded to the shareholders of Miami Subs.

On March 19, 1999, the Court granted the plaintiff leave to amend his complaint. Thereafter, Plaintiff filed a First amended Complaint. Nathan's and its designees on the Miami Subs' Board moved to dismiss the First Amended Complaint. The Court held a hearing on the motion, but has not yet ruled on it. In the event the Court denies the pending motion, Nathan's intends to defend against this suit vigorously.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

10

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

COMMON STOCK PRICES

The Company's common stock began trading on the over-the-counter market on February 26, 1993 and is quoted on the Nasdaq National Market System ("Nasdaq") under the symbol "NATH." The following table sets forth the high and low closing share prices per share for the periods indicated:

	High	Low
Fiscal year ended March 29, 1998		
First guarter	\$ 3.88	\$ 2.97
Second quarter	4.25	3.19
Third guarter	4.88	3.56
Fourth quarter	4.75	3.50
Fiscal year ended March 28, 1999		
First quarter	\$ 4.44	\$ 3.69
Second quarter	4.63	3.44
Third quarter	4.44	3.34
Fourth quarter	4.28	3.56

At June 4, 1999 the closing price per share for the Company's common stock, as reported by Nasdaq was \$3.6875.

DIVIDEND POLICY

The Company has not declared or paid a cash dividend on its common stock since its initial public offering. It is the policy of the Board of Directors of the Company to retain all available funds to finance the development and growth of the Company's business. The payment of cash dividends in the future will be dependent upon the earnings and financial requirements of the Company.

SHAREHOLDERS

As of June 4, 1999, the Company had 317 shareholders of record, exclusive of shareholders whose shares were held by brokerage firms, depositories and other institutional firms in "street name" for their customers.

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

	FISCAL YEARS ENDED				
	MARCH 28, 1999	MARCH 29, 1998	MARCH 30, 1997	MARCH 31, 1996(1)	MARCH 26, 1995
		(IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER	SHARE AMOUNTS)	
Statement of Operations Data: Revenues:					
Sales	\$ 24,511	\$ 23,530	\$ 21,818	\$ 21,167	\$ 20,927
Franchise fees and royalties	3,230	3,062	3,238	3,249	3,448
License royalties and other income	1,841	2,285	1,619	2,025	1,826
Total revenues	29,582	28,877	26,575	26,441	26,201
	=======	=======	=======	=======	=======
Costs and Expenses:					
Cost of sales	15,367	14,468	13,031	12,833	12,270
Restaurant operating expenses	5,780	6,411	6,602	6,730	6,396
Depreciation and amortization	1,065	1,035	1,013	1,724	1,588

Amortization of intangibles	384	384	406	665	581
General and administrative expenses	4,722	4,755	4,097	5,457	5,859
Interest expense Impairment of long-lived assets	1	6	16	28	16
Other (income) and expense	(47)			3,907 1,570	500
Total costs and expenses	27,272	27,059	25,165	32,914	27,210
Income (loss) before provision (benefit)					
for income taxes	2,310	1,818	1,410	(6,473)	(1,009)
Income tax provision (benefit)	(418)	290	622	(49)	(492)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 2,728	\$ 1,528	\$ 788	(\$ 6,379)	(\$ 517)
	=======			=======	=======
Per Share Data:					
Net earnings (loss)					
Basic	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.17	(\$ 1.35)	(\$ 0.11)
Diluted	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.17	(\$ 1.35)	(\$ 0.11)
Dividends					
Number of common charge used in computing					
Number of common shares used in computing net income (loss) per share					
Basic	4,722	4,722	4,722	4,722	4,728
Diluted	4,753	4,749	4,729	4,722	4,728
Balance Sheet Data at End of Fiscal Year:					
Working capital	\$ 3,708	\$ 6,105	\$ 4,802	\$ 3,937	\$ 7,133
Total assets	31,250	29,539	27,794	27,765	32,430
Long term debt, net of current maturities	0	9	21	35	63
Stockholders' equity	\$ 26,348 ======	\$ 23,586 ======	\$ 21,976 =======	\$ 21,142 ======	\$ 27,474 =======
Selected Restaurant Operating Data:					
Systemwide Restaurant Sales:					
Company-owned	\$ 21,981	\$ 22,332	\$ 21,718	\$ 21,167	\$ 20,927
Franchised	64,178	58,802	63,564	68,009	73,465
Total	\$ 86,159	\$ 81,134	\$ 85,282	\$ 89,176	\$ 94,392
	=======		=======	=======	=======
Number of Units Open at End of Fiscal Year:					
Company-owned	25	27	26	27	24
Franchised	163	156	147	178	159
Total	188	183	173	205	183
	========	=======	=======	=======	=======

Notes to Selected Financial Data

(1) The Company's fiscal year ends on the last Sunday in March which results in a 52 or 53 week year. Fiscal 1996 was a 53 week year.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FISCAL YEAR ENDED MARCH 28, 1999 COMPARED TO FISCAL YEAR ENDED MARCH 29,1998

Revenues

14

Total sales increased 4.2% or \$981,000 to \$24,511,000 for the fifty-two weeks ended March 28, 1999 ("fiscal 1999") from \$23,530,000 for the fifty-two weeks ended March 29, 1998 ("fiscal 1998"). Sales from the Branded Droduct Brogram which was first the draw of the fifty two weeks ended March 29, 1998 ("fiscal 1998"). Product Program, which was first introduced in fiscal 1998, increased by \$1,331,000 or 111% to \$2,529,000 for fiscal 1999 as compared to \$1,198,000 for fiscal 1998. Company-owned restaurant sales decreased 1.6% or \$350,000 to \$21,982,000 from \$22,332,000. During fiscal 1999, Nathan's was forced to close two of its restaurants which had previously been operating under month-to-month leases and resulted in a sales decline of approximately \$734,000 versus the prior year. Comparable unit sales increased by approximately 1.3% in fiscal 1999 versus fiscal 1998. Comparable unit sales are based on units operating for 18 months or longer as of the beginning of the fiscal year. Nathan's continues to emphasize local store marketing activities, new product introductions and value pricing strategies. These activities were supplemented with a radio and billboard campaign during the summer 1998. During fiscal 1999, Nathan's completed the renovation of the 86th Street restaurant in Brooklyn, NY, which included a drive-thru operation, and its restaurant in the Kings Plaza Shopping Center. Plans are currently being considered to renovate and modernize the appearance and design of certain other Company-owned units. At March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, there were 25 and 27 Company-owned units, respectively.

Franchise fees and royalties increased by \$168,000 or 5.5% to \$3,230,000 in fiscal 1999 compared to \$3,062,000 in fiscal 1998. Franchise royalties increased by \$209,000 or 8.4% to \$2,698,000 in fiscal 1999 as compared to \$2,489,000 in fiscal 1998. Franchise restaurant sales, upon which royalties are based, increased by 9.1% or \$5,376,000, to \$64,178,000 in fiscal 1999, compared to \$58,802,000 in fiscal 1998. The majority of the sales increase can be attributed to the additional franchised and licensed units operating during fiscal 1999. Franchise fee income was \$532,000 in fiscal 1999, compared to \$573,000 in fiscal 1998 due primarily to the difference in the amount of forfeitures and expirations recognized into income between the two years. During fiscal 1999, 21 new franchised or licensed units opened, including the second restaurant in Israel, and the first Kosher Nathan's restaurant in Brooklyn, New York. Nathan's also executed an agreement for international development within Egypt. At March 28, 1999, there were 163 franchised or licensed restaurants as compared to 156 at March 29, 1998.

License royalties decreased by \$80,000 or 5.4% to \$1,415,000 in fiscal 1999, compared to \$1,495,000 in fiscal 1998. During fiscal 1999, Nathan's earned royalties of approximately \$137,000 under a new license agreement for the sale of Nathans' home meal replacements in supermarkets. Fiscal 1998 results included \$240,000 of income recognized from amortization of a deferred fee received from SMG, Inc., which was fully amortized in March 1998.

Investment and other income was \$400,000 in fiscal 1999 versus \$790,000 in fiscal 1998. Approximately \$263,000 of the decrease is the result of lower earnings on Nathans' marketable investment securities resulting from the difference in the performance of the financial markets between the two years, the impact of the fiscal 1998 shift into tax exempt securities and lower investment earnings from the reduced principle amount of marketable investment securities after the Company made its equity investment in Miami Subs Corp. During fiscal 1998, Nathan's also recognized a gain of approximately \$130,000 from the sale of an underperforming restaurant.

Costs and Expenses

Cost of sales increased by \$899,000 from \$14,468,000 in fiscal 1998 to \$15,367,000 in fiscal 1999. Higher costs were incurred in conjunction with the growth of the Branded Product Program, the new restaurants opened in the fourth quarter fiscal 1998 that operated during fiscal 1999 and the higher costs of restaurant sales. The cost of restaurant sales was 61.0% of restaurant sales in fiscal 1999 as compared to 60.5% of restaurant sales in fiscal 1998. This increase is due primarily to higher food costs associated with Nathan's ongoing promotional activities and an increase in labor costs of 0.6% of restaurant sales due primarily to the impact of the minimum wage increase which took effect in September 1997. Nathan's continues to seek to operate more efficiently and expects to seek selective price adjustments wherever available to minimize the margin pressures which have become an integral part of competing in the current value conscious marketplace.

Restaurant operating expenses decreased by \$631,000 from \$6,411,000 in fiscal 1998 to \$5,780,000 in fiscal 1999. This decrease can be primarily attributed to a four month cost hiatus during the renovation of the Kings Plaza restaurant of approximately \$72,000, reduced costs of property taxes arising from successful tax certiorari proceedings of approximately \$100,000, lower insurance costs of approximately \$106,000, lower utility costs of approximately \$128,000 due primarily to reduced electric rates on Long Island and the impact of restaurants closed and other lower expenses resulting from the different restaurants operated between the two periods. As a percentage of restaurant sales, restaurant operating expenses were 26.3% in fiscal 1999 as compared to 28.4% in fiscal 1998.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$30,000 or 2.9% from \$1,035,000 in fiscal 1998 to \$1,065,000 in fiscal 1999. Amortization of intangibles was \$384,000 in fiscal 1999 as compared to \$384,000 in fiscal 1998.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$33,000 to \$4,722,000 in fiscal 1999, compared to \$4,755,000 in fiscal 1998 Nathan's incurred lower general & administrative expenses for professional fees of \$236,000 and lower bad debts of approximately \$36,000. Offsetting these savings were increases of approximately \$133,000 relating to salaries for additional personnel primarily to support new growth initiatives, \$42,000 relating to international development efforts and \$82,000 associated with management incentive plans based upon the achievement of predetermined financial targets

Other income, net reflects the reversal of previous litigation accruals in the amount of \$349,000 resulting from the conclusion of the associated litigation and an impairment charge of \$302,000 associated with four under-performing stores pursuant to Statement of Financial Standard No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of".

Income Taxes

In fiscal 1999, the income tax benefit was (\$418,000) or (18.1%) of income before taxes as compared to a provision of \$290,000 in fiscal 1998. For each fiscal year the Company reduced its valuation allowance because management determined that, based upon the facts and circumstances at the time, it was more likely than not that a portion of its deferred tax assets would be realized. Accordingly, the Company reduced its valuation allowance by \$1,443,000 in fiscal 1999 as compared to \$523,000 in fiscal 1998. The fiscal 1999 provision before adjustment for the valuation allowance was \$1,025,000 or 44.4% of income before taxes as compared to the fiscal 1998 provision before adjustment for the valuation allowance of \$814,000 or 44.8% of income before taxes. Management will continue to monitor the likelihood of continued realizability of its deferred tax asset and may, if deemed appropriate under the facts and circumstances at that time, recognize further adjustments to its deferred tax valuation allowance in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes".

FISCAL YEAR ENDED MARCH 29, 1998 COMPARED TO FISCAL YEAR ENDED MARCH 30, 1997

Revenues

Total sales increased 8.3% or \$1,812,000 to \$23,530,000 for the fiscal year ended March 29, 1998 ("fiscal 1998") from \$21,718,000 for the fiscal year ended March 30, 1997 ("fiscal 1997"). Company-owned restaurant sales increased 2.8% or \$614,000 to \$22,332,000 from \$21,718,000. Comparable Company-owned unit sales (units operating for 18 months or longer as of the beginning of the fiscal year), increased by 3.8% in fiscal 1998 versus fiscal 1997. The Company has continued to expand its local store marketing activities and value pricing strategies that were implemented last year. During the year, the Company opened four Company-owned units within Home Depot Improvement Centers in Staten Island, NY, Brooklyn, NY, Union, NJ and Jersey City, NJ. Additionally, in June 1997, the Company completed the renovation of its Yonkers, NY restaurant which is now operated as a co-branded Nathan's / Pizza Hut / TCBY. Construction is currently underway for the renovation of our 86th Street location in Brooklyn, NY and our restaurant in the Kings Plaza Shopping Center. Additionally, plans are being considered to renovate and modernize the appearance and design of other units. Sales from the Branded Product Program that was implemented in April 1997 were \$1,198,000 for fiscal 1998.

Franchise fees and royalties decreased by \$176,000 or 5.4% to \$3,062,000 in fiscal 1998 compared to \$3,238,000 in fiscal 1997. Franchise royalties decreased by \$71,000 or 2.8% to \$2,489,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$2,560,000 in fiscal 1997. Franchise restaurant sales, upon which royalties are based, were \$58,802,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$63,564,000 in fiscal 1997. The reductions in systemwide sales and franchise royalties are primarily attributed to the 53 Caldor units that were closed between November 1996 and February 1997. In fiscal 1997, these units generated sales and royalties of approximately \$6,075,000 and \$243,000, respectively. During fiscal 1998, franchisees and licensees opened 28 new units. At March 29, 1998, there were 156 franchised or licensed restaurants as compared to 147 at March 30, 1997. Franchise fee

income was \$573,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$678,000 in fiscal 1997. The majority of this difference is due to higher franchise fees being earned in fiscal 1997 associated with expired development agreements.

License royalties increased by \$318,000 or 27.0% to \$1,495,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$1,177,000 in fiscal 1997. The majority of this increase is a result of the Company's license arrangement with SMG, Inc., for the sale of Nathan's frankfurters in supermarkets. Of the total \$318,000 increase, \$180,000 represents higher amortization of the deferred fee received from SMG, Inc. in conjunction with the renegotiation of their contract which took effect January 1, 1997. As of March 29, 1998, this fee was fully amortized. The remainder of the difference is primarily attributed to royalties earned from higher sales to supermarkets by the licensee.

Investment and other income was \$790,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$442,000 in fiscal 1997. The Company's investment income in fiscal 1998 was higher than in fiscal 1997 by \$238,000 due in part to the increased amount of marketable investment securities and the disparity in the performance of the financial markets. In fiscal 1998, the Company also recognized net gains of approximately \$170,000 from the disposal of three underperforming restaurants and other real estate transactions.

Costs and Expenses

16

Cost of sales increased by \$1,437,000 from \$13,031,000 in fiscal 1997 to \$14,468,000 in fiscal 1998. The majority of this increase is attributable to the cost of product associated with the new Branded Product Program. As a percentage of restaurant sales, cost of restaurant sales were 60.5% in fiscal 1998 as compared to 60.0% in fiscal 1997. The Company continues to take steps to reverse the margin erosion which has become essential to remain competitive in the current value conscious marketplace and to offset the impact of the recent minimum wage increase.

Restaurant operating expenses decreased by \$191,000 from \$6,602,000 in fiscal 1997 to \$6,411,000 in fiscal 1998. This decrease can be attributed to the closure of two of the three underperforming restaurants which were unprofitable, partially offset by \$66,000 of pre-opening costs, expensed as incurred, in accordance with the adoption of a new accounting standard. As a percentage of restaurant sales, restaurant operating expenses were 28.8% in fiscal 1998 as compared to 30.4% in fiscal 1997.

Depreciation and amortization was \$1,035,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$1,013,000 in fiscal 1997. Amortization of intangibles was \$384,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$406,000 in fiscal 1997.

General and administrative expenses were \$4,755,000 in fiscal 1998 as compared to \$4,097,000 in fiscal 1997. Approximately \$183,000 of the increase relates to costs associated with Company-owned and franchised restaurant supervision and marketing efforts for the Branded Product Program. Legal and other professional fees and international development expenses represent approximately \$172,000 of the increase. The Company also increased its provision for doubtful accounts by \$50,000 more than in fiscal 1997. Finally, approximately \$145,000 of the increase relates to the effect of certain one-time benefits recognized in fiscal 1997.

Income Tax Provision

In fiscal 1998, the income tax provision was \$290,000 or 16.0% of income before taxes. Management of the Company determined that, it was more likely than not that, a portion of its deferred tax assets would be realized and, accordingly, reduced its valuation allowance by \$523,000. The fiscal 1998 provision before adjustment for the valuation allowance was \$814,000 or 44.8% of income before taxes. Management will continue to monitor the likelihood of continued realizability of its deferred tax asset and may, if deemed appropriate under the facts and circumstances at that time, recognize further adjustments to its deferred tax valuation allowance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes". In fiscal 1997, the income taxe provision was \$622,000 or 44.1% of income before income taxes.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash and cash equivalents at March 28, 1999 aggregated \$2,165,000. At March 28, 1999, marketable investment securities totalled \$3,267,000 and net working capital decreased to \$3,708,000 from \$6,105,000 at March 29, 1998.

Cash provided by operations of \$6,780,000 in fiscal 1999 is primarily attributable to net income of \$2,728,000, non-cash charges of \$1,829,000, including depreciation and amortization of \$1,449,000, impairment of long-lived assets of \$302,000, a decrease in marketable investment securities of \$5,247,000, an increase in deferred franchise fees of \$97,000, increases in deferred income taxes of \$1,036,000, franchise and other receivables of \$646,000 and prepaid expenses and other assets of \$268,000 and a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1,177,000.

Cash used in investing activities of \$5,900,000 represents \$1,485,000 for capital acquisitions relating primarily to the renovation of two Company-owned restaurants and other fixed asset additions. Additionally, on November 25, 1998, Nathan's acquired 8,121,000 shares, or approximately 29.9% of the outstanding common stock, of Miami Subs for \$4,200,000, excluding transaction costs, and entered into a non-binding letter of intent which contemplated the acquisition of the remaining outstanding shares of Miami Subs. After giving effect to Miami Subs one-for-four reverse stock split in January 1999, Nathan's now owns 2,030,250 shares of Miami Subs common stock. On January 15, 1999, Nathan's and Miami Subs entered into a definitive merger agreement under which Nathan's is expected to acquire the remaining outstanding shares of Miami Subs in exchange for approximately 2,319,000 shares of Nathan's common stock and warrants to acquire approximately 580,000 shares of Nathan's common stock at a price of \$6.00 per share. The merger is subject to certain conditions, including completion of due diligence, receipt of fairness opinions and approval by a majority of the stockholders of Nathan's and Miami Subs.

On February 19, 1999, the U. S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, Durham Division, confirmed the Joint Plan of Reorganization of the Official Committee of Franchisees of Roasters Corp. and Roasters Franchise Corp., operators of Kenny Rogers Roasters Restaurants. Under the joint plan of reorganization, on April 1, 1999, Nathan's acquired the intellectual property rights, including trademarks, recipes and franchise agreements of Roasters Corp. and Roasters Franchise Corp. for \$1,250,000 in cash plus related expenses, which was paid out of Nathans' working capital. As of March 28, 1999, Nathan's had deposited \$100,000 of the purchase price in escrow.

Nathan's expects that it will reinvest in certain existing restaurants in the future and that it will fund those investments from its operating cash flow. Nathan's does not currently expect to incur significant capital expenditures to develop new Company-owned restaurants, which would require debt or equity financing.

Management believes that available cash, marketable investment securities, and internally generated funds should provide sufficient capital for its planned operations and expansion program through fiscal 2000. Nathan's maintains a \$5,000,000 uncommitted bank line of credit. Nathan's has not borrowed any funds to date under its line of credit.

SEASONALITY

The Company's business is affected by seasonal fluctuations, the effects of weather and economic conditions. Historically, sales and earnings have been highest during the first two fiscal quarters with the fourth fiscal quarter representing the slowest period. This seasonality is primarily attributable to weather conditions in the Company's marketplace for its Company-owned stores, which is principally the New York metropolitan area.

IMPACT OF INFLATION

During the past several years the Company's commodity costs have remained relatively stable. As such, management believes that inflation has not materially impacted earnings. Substantial increases in labor, food and other operating expenses could adversely affect the operations of the Company and the restaurant industry. In 1996, legislation was enacted which increased the Federal minimum wage, from \$4.25 per hour to \$4.75 on October 1, 1996 with another increase to \$5.15 on September 1, 1997. The Company experienced higher labor costs on a relatively small proportion of its workforce as a result of the September 1997 increase. Currently, various legislators are re-examining additional changes to the minimum wage requirements. At this time, no legislative action has been taken. Management believes that any further increases in the minimum wage could have a significant financial impact and the Company might have to reconsider its pricing strategy as a means to offset any legislated increase to avoid reducing operating margins.

ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In April 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Position (SOP 98-5) "Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities". SOP 98-5 requires costs of start-up activities and organization costs to be expensed as

incurred and is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1998. Earlier application is encouraged in fiscal years for which annual financial statements previously have not been issued. Nathan's early adopted SOP 98-5 and the impact was not material to operations.

In the first quarter of fiscal 1999, Nathan's adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income", which requires companies to report all changes in equity during a period, except those resulting from investment by owners and distribution to owners, in a financial statement for the period in which they are recognized. Comprehensive income is the total of net income and all nonowner changes in equity or other comprehensive income such as unrealized gains / losses on securities available-for-sale, foreign currency translation adjustments and minimum pension liability adjustments. Comprehensive and other comprehensive income must be reported on the face of the annual financial statements or in the case of interim reporting, in the footnotes to the financial statements. For the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, Nathans' operations did not give rise to items includible in comprehensive income which were not already included in net income. Therefore, Nathans' comprehensive income is the same as its net income for all periods presented.

YEAR 2000

18

Nathan's performed an internal evaluation of its computer systems and determined that its existing computer systems would require a significant amount of effort and cost in order to make them Year 2000 compliant. Accordingly, in order to meet its growing business requirements and assure Year 2000 compliance, Nathan's decided to replace its existing accounting systems. In July 1998, Nathan's entered into a contract to license Lawson Accounting software which has been certified to be Year 2000 compliant. Nathan's successfully completed the conversion of its financial systems in January 1999 and the remaining aspects of the complete Lawson implementation, were completed in June 1999. With the implementation of this new system, all of Nathan's major financial systems should be Year 2000 complaint; however, no assurance can be given in this regard. Nathan's estimates that the total cost associated with this effort to be approximately \$350,000, and doesn't expect the final cost to vary materially, however, there can be no assurance to this effect.

Nathan's has addressed the Year 2000 issue with its Point of Sale provider and has received assurance that their hardware is Year 2000 compliant and that the software corrections already installed will make the POS systems Year 2000 compliant; however, no assurance can be given in this regard. Nathan's will be notifying its franchisees, in the next monthly franchise mailing, that they should contact their Point of Sale provider to be sure that they have received and installed the correction software mentioned above.

Nathan's has received assurance from its financial institutions that their systems are or will be Year 2000 compliant before the end of the year. Nathan's is also beginning to contact key suppliers and distributors about their state of readiness and will seek their assurances with respect to their Year 2000 compliance and contingency plans. No assurances can be given that such suppliers and distributors will in fact be Year 2000 compliant. Nathan's believes that its primary Year 2000 risk relating to its operations is centered upon the ability of its suppliers and distributors to continue to receive Nathan's orders by telephone and have the product delivered by truck. During the third quarter of 1999, Nathan's will conclude evaluating this Year 2000 risk and will develop any necessary contingency plans to assure continued supply of products to its restaurants. Nathan's cannot predict the effect of the Year 2000 problem on the vendors and others with which Nathan's transacts business and there can be no assurance that the effect of the Year 2000 problem on the entities Nathan's does business with will not have a material adverse effect on Nathan's business, operating results and financial position.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENT

Certain statements contained in this report are forward-looking statements which are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company's actual results and performance to differ materially from those described or implied in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties, many of which are not within the Company's control, including, but not limited to economic, weather, legislative and business conditions; the availability of suitable restaurant sites on reasonable rental terms; changes in consumer tastes; ability to continue to attract franchisees; the ability to purchase its primary food and paper products at reasonable prices; no material increases in the minimum wage; and the Company's ability to attract competent restaurant and managerial personnel.

ITEM 7A. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As of March 28, 1999, Nathan's held an investment in the common stock of Miami Subs, which as a public company is exposed to price risk, with a cost basis of \$4,200,000 and a fair market value basis of \$3,108,719. ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements and supplementary data is submitted as a separate section of this report beginning on Page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

19

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation presently provides for a Board of Directors consisting of not less than three nor more than twenty-seven directors. The Company's Board of Directors now consists of six directors, as set forth below.

		Principal	Director
Name	Age	Occupation	Since
Wayne Norbitz	51	President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	1989
Robert J. Eide(1)(2)	46	Treasurer and Secretary - Aegis Capital Corp.	1987
Barry Leistner(1)(2)	48	President and Chief Executive Officer - Koenig Iron Works, Inc.	1989
Jeffrey A. Lichtenberg(1)(2)	46	Vice Chairman - Newmark & Company Real Estate, Inc.	1987
Howard M. Lorber	50	President and Chief Operating Officer - New Valley Corp.	1987
A. F. Petrocelli(1)(2)	55	President and Chairman of the Board - United Capital Corp.	1993

(1) Member of the Audit Committee.

(2) Member of the Compensation Committee.

The following is a brief account of the business experience for the past five years of the Company's directors:

HOWARD M. LORBER has been Chairman of Nathan's since 1990, Chief Executive Officer since 1993 and a director since 1987. Mr. Lorber was elected President and Chief Operating Officer of New Valley Corporation, a company engaged in the ownership and management of commercial real estate in the United States and, through its subsidiaries, in investment banking, brokerage and real estate development in the United States and Russia since November 1994 and has served as a director since 1991. He is also the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Hallman & Lorber Associates, Inc., an employee benefit and pension consulting firm. Mr. Lorber has been a director of Miami Subs Corporation since November 25, 1998. He also serves as a Director of United Capital Corp., a manufacturing and real estate company, Prime Hospitality Corporation, an owner and operator of hotel properties and PLM International, Inc., a diversified leasing company. He is also a trustee of Long Island University and Babson College.

WAYNE NORBITZ has been employed by Nathan's since 1975 and was elected President in October 1989. He previously held the positions of Director of Operations, Vice President of Operations, Senior Vice President of Operations and Executive Vice President. Prior to joining Nathan's, Mr. Norbitz held the position of Director of Operations of Wetson's Corporation. Mr. Norbitz has been a director of Miami Subs Corporation since November 25, 1998. Mr. Norbitz also serves as a member of the Advisory Board of the Penton Foodservice Branding Institute and is a member of the board of directors of Long Island Philharmonic Orchestra.

ROBERT J. EIDE, a director of Nathan's since 1987, has been Chairman, Treasurer and a principal shareholder in Aegis Capital Corp., a broker dealer and a member firm of the NASD, since 1984. He has been a director of Brooke Group Ltd., a company engaged through its subsidiaries in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States and Russia since November 1993, and a director of each of its subsidiaries BGLS Inc. since November 1993 and New Valley Holdings, Inc. since September 1994. Mr. Eide has been a director of Miami Subs Corporation since November 25, 1998.

BARRY LEISTNER, a director of Nathan's since 1989, has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Koenig Iron Works, Inc. since 1979. Mr. Leistner is also a partner in Weinstock Brothers Hardware and is engaged in real estate development in Maine and New York.

JEFFREY A. LICHTENBERG, a director of Nathan's since 1987, has been the President and founder of Fountainhead Enterprises, Inc., real estate brokers, for more than five years. Mr. Lichtenberg has been Vice Chairman of Newmark & Company Real Estate, Inc. since May 1999. Previously, Mr. Lichtenberg was associated with Edward S. Gordon, real estate brokers, since April 1995, and with Peter R. Friedman, real estate brokers, for more than five years prior to April 1995.

A. F. PETROCELLI, a director of Nathan's since 1993, has been the Chairman of the Board and President of United Capital Corp. for more than the last five years. Mr. Petrocelli is also a director of Prime Hospitality Corp. since 1992 and Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President since 1998. He is a director of Philips International Realty Corp., a real estate investment trust, since 1997 and a director of the Boyar Value Fund, Inc., a public mutual fund, since 1997.

Each of the directors will serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their successors have been chosen and qualify. Directors who are not employees of the Company receive an annual fee of \$7,500 and a fee of \$750 for each Board of Directors or committee meeting attended. In addition, members of committees of the Board of Directors also receive an annual fee of \$1,000 for each committee on which they serve. There were five meetings of the Board of Directors during the fiscal year ended March 28, 1999. Each director attended or participated in at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and the Committees thereof on which he served.

For the fiscal year ended March 28, 1999, there was one meeting of the Audit Committee and one meeting of the Compensation Committee. The Company's Audit Committee is involved in discussions with the Company's independent auditors with respect to the scope and results of the Company's year-end audit, the Company's internal accounting controls and the professional services furnished by the independent auditors to the Company. The Compensation Committee recommends to the Board of Directors executive compensation and the granting of stock options to key employees. See "Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation". During fiscal 1999, the Company had no standing nominating committee or any committee performing similar functions.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

The executive officers of the Company are as follows:

NAME 	AGE	POSITION WITH THE COMPANY
Howard M. Lorber	50	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Wayne Norbitz	51	President and Chief Operating Officer
Carl Paley	62	Senior Vice President - Franchise and Real Estate Development

NAME	AGE	POSITION WITH THE COMPANY
Ronald G. DeVos	44	Vice President - Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

Donald P. Schedler...... 46 Vice President - Architecture/Construction

Officers are elected to serve, subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, until their successors are appointed. For a description of the business experience of Messrs. Lorber and Norbitz, see "Directors of the Company" above.

CARL PALEY joined Nathan's as Director of Franchise Development in May 1989 and was promoted to Vice President-Franchise Development in September 1989 and Senior Vice President in April 1993. From November 1985 to May 1989 he provided consulting services to franchise companies through Carl Paley Enterprises. Mr. Paley served as Vice President of Franchising of The Haagen-Dazs Shoppe Co., Inc. from June 1978 to November 1985. Prior to November 1985, Mr. Paley was a Vice President of Carvel Corporation and was responsible for marketing, public relations, advertising, promotions and training.

RONALD G. DEVOS joined Nathan's as Vice President - Finance and Chief Financial Officer in January 1995 and became Secretary in April 1995. Prior to January 1995, he was Controller of a large Wendy's franchisee, from June 1993 to December 1994. Mr. DeVos was Vice President - Controller of Paragon Steakhouse Restaurants, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Kyotaru Company Ltd., from May 1989 to October 1992, and Controller of Paragon Restaurant Group, Inc. and its predecessors, from October 1984 to May 1989. Mr. DeVos holds an M.B.A. from St. John's University and a B.A. from Queens College.

DONALD P. SCHEDLER joined Nathan's in March 1989 as Director of Architecture and Construction and was made Vice President - Architecture and Construction in February 1991. Prior to March 1989, he was a Director of Construction for The Riese Organization, restauranteurs, from January 1988 to February 1989 and an Associate and Project Architect with Frank Guillot Architects, Ltd. from June 1985 to January 1988. Mr. Schedler is a registered architect in the states of Vermont and New York, and holds a B.A. degree in economics from Susquehanna University and a M.A. degree in architecture from Syracuse University.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the compensation paid by Nathan's to its Chief Executive Officer and each of its four other highest paid executive officers for the three fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

	A	ANNUAL COMPENSATION			LONG-TERM COMPENSATION		
NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	FISCAL YEAR	SALARY	BONUS	OTHER ANNUAL COMPENSATION (1)	RESTRICTED STOCK AWARDS (\$)	SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/SARS(#)	ALL OTHER COMPENSATION(2)
Howard M. Lorber	1999	\$ 1	\$121,586	\$12,000(3)	\$	40,000	\$ 599
Chairman of the Board and	1998	1	95,684	12,000(3)		150,000(4)	252
Chief Executive Officer	1997	1	74,211	12,000(3)		25,000	252
Wayne Norbitz	1999	\$250,000	\$ 60,289	\$	\$	30,000	\$ 11,787
President and Chief	1998	250,000	35,275				10,447
Operating Officer	1997	250,000	30,217			15,000	9,995
Ronald G. DeVos	1999	\$140,000	\$ 33,762	\$	\$	12,500	\$ 1,691
Vice President- Finance and	1998	109,923	2,954				1,099
Chief Financial Officer	1997	106,000	12,452			5,000	1,140
Carl Paley	1999	\$120,000	\$ 25,021	\$	\$	5,000	\$ 1,530
Senior Vice President	1998	120,000	10,032				1,263
Franchise and Real Estate Development	1997	120,000	14,804			5,000	1,152

22

Donald P. Schedler	1999	\$120,000	\$ 10,000	\$ \$	5,000	\$ 1,536
Vice PresidentArchitecture	1998	120,000	8,532	 		1,252
and Development	1997	120,000	13,304	 	5,000	1,152

- (1) Except where otherwise indicated, no other annual compensation is shown because the amounts of perquisites and other non-cash benefits provided by Nathan's do not exceed the lesser of \$50,000 or 10% of the total annual base salary and bonus disclosed in this table for the respective officer.
- (2) The amounts disclosed in this column include Nathans' contributions on behalf of the named executive officer to Nathans' 401(k) retirement plan and premiums for life and/or disability insurance, respectively, for fiscal 1999 for Mr. Lorber in the sums of \$0 and \$599, for Mr. Norbitz in the sums of \$1,230 and \$10,557, for Mr. DeVos in the sum of \$1,092 and \$599, for Mr. Paley in the sum of \$931 and \$599 and for Mr. Schedler in the sums of \$937 and \$599.
- (3) Represents automobile allowance.
- (4) Common stock purchase warrant exercisable for an aggregate of 150,000 shares of Nathan's common stock at an exercise price equal to \$3.25 per share issued in connection with the extension of Mr. Lorber's employment agreement.

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

In November 1993, Nathan's entered into an employment agreement with Howard M. Lorber, for a term expiring on October 31, 1997, providing for an annual base salary of \$1, incentive compensation in an amount equal to five percent (5%) of the consolidated pre-tax earnings of Nathan's and various benefits. The agreement, as amended, also provides, among other things, that the employee shall have the right, exercisable for a six-month period, to terminate this agreement and receive an amount equal to three times the employee's compensation during the most recent fiscal year, less \$100, in the event of a change in control of Nathan's. For the purposes of this agreement, in no event shall the average compensation be deemed to be less than \$200,000. The employment agreement was extended through November 2001 on the original terms and in connection with such extension, Mr. Lorber was granted warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of Nathan's common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share vesting over the term of the four year extension.

In December 1992, Nathan's entered into an employment agreement with Wayne Norbitz, for a term expiring on December 31, 1996, providing for an annual base salary of \$250,000 and various benefits, including participation in Nathans' executive bonus program. The agreement, as amended, also provides, among other things, that the employee shall have the right, exercisable for a six-month period, to terminate this agreement and receive an amount equal to three times the employee's compensation during the most recent fiscal year, less \$100, in the event of a change in control of Nathan's and if Mr. Norbitz is terminated without cause, Nathan's will pay to Mr. Norbitz his annual salary and benefits for a six-month period following the delivery of the notice of termination plus a severance benefit of one year's annual compensation. The employment agreement was extended through December 31, 1999, on the original terms and automatically renews for successive one year periods unless 180 days' prior written notice is delivered to Mr. Norbitz. No non-extension notice has been delivered to date.

Both of the agreements define a change in control as:

- - a change in control as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;
- a person other than a current director or officer of Nathan's becoming the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 20% of the voting power of Nathans' outstanding securities; or
- the members of the board of directors at the beginning of any two-year period ceasing to constitute at least a majority of the board of directors.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to stock options granted to the officers named in the Summary Compensation Table during the fiscal year ended March 28, 1999.

	Individual Grants				Potential Realized Value at Assumed Annual Rates	
	% of Total Number of Options Granted Options Employees in		Exercise Price	Expiration	of Stock Price Appreciation for Ten-Year Option Term (2)	
Name	Granted (1)	Fiscal Year	per Share	Date	5%	10%
Howard M. Lorber	40,000	33%	\$3.9375	April 2, 2008	\$ 98,900	\$250,900
Wayne Norbitz	30,000	25%	\$3.9375	April 2, 2008	74,175	188,175
Ronald G. DeVos	12,500	10%	\$3.9375	April 2, 2008	30,906	78,406
Carl Paley	5,000	4%	\$3.9375	April 2, 2008	12,363	31,363
Donald P. Schedler	5,000	4%	\$3.9375	April 2, 2008	12,363	31,363

Increase in market value of Nathan's stock for all stockholders at assumed annual rates of stock price appreciation over ten-year period used in the table above (3)

(1)These options are exercisable for ten years. Each grant of these options is exercisable for 50% of the shares covered thereby as of the first anniversary from the date of grant and for the remaining 50% of the shares covered on the second anniversary from the date of grant.

- Potential Realizable Value is based on the assumed annual growth rates (2) for the ten-year option term. Annual growth of 5% results in a stock price of \$6.41 per share and 10% results in a price of \$10.21 per share. Actual gains, if any, on stock option exercises are dependent on the future performance of the stock. There can be no assurance that the amounts reflected in this table will be achieved.
- (3) These amounts represent the increase in the market value of Nathan's outstanding shares (4.7 million) as of March 28, 1999, that would result from the same stock price assumptions used to show the Potential Realizable Value for the named executive.

INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENTS

Nathan's has entered into separate indemnification agreements with the officers and Directors of Nathan's. Nathan's has agreed to provide indemnification with regard to specified legal proceedings so long as the indemnified officer or director has acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of Nathan's and, with respect to any criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct the unit of the best interests of to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. Nathan's only provides indemnification for expenses, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually incurred by the relevant officer or Director, or on his or her behalf, arising out of proceedings brought against the officer or Director by reason of his or her corporate status.

STOCK OPTIONS

1992 STOCK OPTION PLAN

In December 1992, in order to attract and retain persons necessary for Nathans' success, Nathan's adopted the 1992 Stock Option Plan, as amended, covering up to 525,000 shares of Nathan's common stock, under which Nathans' officers, Directors and key employees are eligible to receive incentive and/or non-qualified stock options. The 1992 Plan, which expires on December 2, 2002, provides that it will be administered by the Board of Directors or a committee designated by the Board of Directors, currently the Compensation Committee. The selection of participants, allotments of shares, determination of price and other conditions relating to options are determined by the Board of Directors, or a committee thereof, in its sole discretion. Incentive stock options granted under the 1992 Plan are exercisable for a period of up to ten years from the date of grant at an exercise price which is not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant, except that the term of an incentive stock option granted under the 1992 Plan to a stockholder owning more than 10% of the outstanding common stock may not exceed five years and its exercise price may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. At March 28, 1999, options for the following shares, exercisable during a ten-year period, had been granted and were outstanding under the 1992 Plan:

5% (to \$6.41/sh) (to\$10.21/sh) \$11,693,000 \$29,634,000

10%

- 96,167 shares exercisable at \$7.00 per share as follows: 23,334 shares to Howard M. Lorber; 23,333 shares to Jeffrey A. Lichtenberg; 25,000 shares to Wayne Norbitz; 6,000 shares to Carl Paley; 6,000 shares to Donald Schedler and 12,500 shares in the aggregate to eight other employees.
- 25,000 shares exercisable at \$6.00 per share to Wayne Norbitz.

24

- 34,000 shares exercisable at \$8.00 per share as follows: 26,000 shares to Wayne Norbitz; 4,000 shares to Carl Paley; and 4,000 shares to Donald P. Schedler.
- 25,000 shares exercisable at \$6.60 per share to Howard M. Lorber.
- 25,000 shares exercisable at \$9.25 per share to Wayne Norbitz.
- 100,000 shares exercisable at \$4.375 per share to Howard M. Lorber.
- 10,000 shares exercisable at \$4.81 per share to Ronald G. DeVos.
- 55,000 shares exercisable at \$4.00 per share as follows: 25,000 shares to Howard M. Lorber, 15,000 shares to Wayne Norbitz, and 5,000 shares to each Carl Paley, Donald P. Schedler and Ronald G. DeVos.

Each of the above options is exercisable for 20% of the shares covered thereby as of the date of grant and for an additional 20% of the shares covered thereby each year thereafter.

107,500 Shares exercisable at \$3.9375 per share as follows 40,000 shares to Howard M. Lorber, 30,000 shares to Wayne Norbitz, 12,500 shares to Ronald G. DeVos, 5,000 shares to Carl Paley 5,000 shares to Donald P. Schedler and 15,000 shares in the aggregate to six other employees.

Each of the above options is exercisable 50% on the first anniversary of grant and 100% on the second anniversary of grant.

Through March 28, 1999, 30,000 options were cancelled under the 1992 Plan. Through March 28, 1999, 2,000 options granted under the 1992 Plan have been exercised, 137,833 options have been cancelled and no options have lapsed since the inception of the 1992 Plan.

OUTSIDE DIRECTOR PLAN

Nathan's adopted the Outside Director Stock Option Plan as of June 1, 1994 which covers up to 200,000 shares of Nathan's common stock. The primary purposes of the Director Plan are to attract and retain well-qualified persons for service as Directors of Nathan's and to provide its outside Directors with the opportunity to increase their proprietary interest in Nathan's, and thereby to increase their personal interest in Nathans' success and further align their interests with the interests of the stockholders of Nathan's through the grant of options to purchase shares of Nathan's, of which there are presently four, are eligible to participate in the Director Plan. Options to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock, representing all of the shares available, have been issued under the Director Plan.

Under the Director Plan, each non-employee Director received:

on September 8, 1994, the date on which the Director Plan was approved by stockholders, options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$6.25 per share, which was the average of the mean between the last reported "bid" and "asked" prices of shares of common stock on the five trading days preceding June 1, 1994;

on June 1, 1995 options to purchase 12,500 shares of common stock at a price of \$4.50 per share, which was the average of the mean between the last reported "bid" and "asked" prices of shares of common stock on the five trading days preceding June 1, 1995; and

on June 1, 1996 options to purchase 12,500 shares of common stock at a price of \$3.40 per share, which was the average of the mean between the last reported "bid" and "asked" prices if the common stock on the five trading days immediately preceding June 1, 1996.

Options awarded to each non-employee Director vest over a period of two years, subject to forfeiture under conditions specified in the option agreements, and are exercisable by the non-employee Director upon vesting.

The Board of Directors has the responsibility and authority to administer and interpret the provisions of the Director Plan. The Board shall appropriately adjust the number of shares for which awards may be granted under the Director Plan in the event of reorganization, recapitalization, stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, exchange or combination of shares, merger, consolidation, rights offering, or any change in capitalization. The Board of Directors of Nathan's may at any time amend, rescind or terminate the Director Plan, as it shall deem advisable; provided, however that:

1. no change may be made in awards previously granted under the Director Plan which would impair participants' rights without their consent; and

2. no amendment to the Director Plan shall be made without approval of Nathan's stockholders if the effect of the amendment would be to:

A. increase the number of shares reserved for issuance under the Director Plan;

B. change the requirements for eligibility under the Director Plan; or

C. materially modify the method of determining the number of options awarded under the Director Plan.

1998 STOCK OPTION PLAN

In April 1998, the Board of Directors adopted the Nathan's Famous, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan, under which any Director, officer, employee or consultant of Nathan's, a subsidiary or an affiliate may be granted options to purchase an aggregate 500,000 shares of Nathan's common stock. The 1998 Option Plan is to be administered by the Board of Directors of Nathan's; provided, however, that the Board may, in the exercise of its discretion, designate from among its members a compensation committee or a stock option committee consisting of no fewer than two "non-employee directors", as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Compensation Committee administers the 1998 Option Plan. Subject to the terms of the 1998 Option Plan, the Board of Directors or the committee may determine and designate those Directors, officers, employees and consultants who are to be granted stock options under the 1998 Option Plan and the number of shares to be subject to options and the term of the options to be granted, which term may not exceed ten years. The Board of Directors or the committee shall also, subject to the express provisions of the 1998 Option Plan, have authority to interpret the 1998 Option Plan and to prescribe, amend and rescind the rules and regulations relating to the 1998 Option Plan. Only non-qualified stock options may be granted under the terms of the 1998 Option Plan. The exercise price for the options granted under the 1998 Option Plan will be not less than the fair market value on the date of grant. The option price, as well as the number of shares subject to the option, shall be appropriately adjusted by the committee in the event of stock splits, stock dividends, recapitalizations, and other specified events involving a change in Nathan's capital.

Under the 1998 Option Plan, each non-employee Director received on April 6, 1998, options to purchase 7,500 shares of common stock at a price of \$3.9375 per share, which was the closing price of the common stock on April 3, 1998.

RESTRICTED STOCK GRANTS

In December 1992, under restricted stock agreement, Nathan's issued 40,000 shares of common stock to Wayne Norbitz. Under the terms of the agreement, the shares were subject to restrictions which expired on December 21, 1998.

401(K) SAVINGS PLAN

Nathan's sponsors a retirement plan intended to be qualified under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. All non-union employees over age 21 who have been employed by Nathan's for at least one year are eligible to participate in the plan. Employees may contribute to the plan on a tax deferred basis up to 15% of their total annual salary, but in no event more than the maximum permitted by the Internal Revenue Code (\$10,000 in calendar 1998). Company contributions are discretionary. For the plan year ended December 31, 1998, Nathan's has elected to make matching contributions at the rate of \$.25 per dollar contributed by each employee vesting at the cumulative rate of 20% per year of service starting one year after commencement of service and, accordingly, after five years of an employee's service with Nathan's, matching contributions by Nathan's are fully vested. As of March 28, 1999, approximately 53 employees had elected to participate in the plan. For the fiscal year ended March 28, 1999, Nathan's contributed approximately \$13,000 to the 401(k) plan, of which \$1,230 was a matching contribution for Mr. Norbitz, \$1,092 was a matching contribution for Mr. Paley and \$937 was a matching contribution for Mr. Schedler.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{COMPENSATION}}$ committee interlocks and insider participation in compensation decisions

The Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors consisted during fiscal 1999 of Messrs. Eide, Leistner, Lichtenberg and Petrocelli, none of whom are employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. During fiscal 1999, Howard M. Lorber, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, served as a director of United Capital Corp., and as a director and Chairman of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Prime Hospitality Corp. A. F. Petrocelli, a director of the Company and a member of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company, is Chairman of the Board and President of United Capital Corp. and of Prime Hospitality Corp.

> In accordance with rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the information included under the caption "Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation" and "Stock Performance Chart" will not be deemed to be filed or to be proxy soliciting material or incorporated by reference in any prior or future filings by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The compensation of the Company's executive officers is generally determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Each member of the Compensation Committee is a director who is not an employee of the Company or any of its affiliates.

GENERAL POLICIES

The Company's compensation programs are intended to enable the Company to attract, motivate, reward and retain the management talent required to achieve aggressive corporate objectives in a rapidly changing industry, and thereby increase stockholder value. It is the Company's policy to provide incentives to its senior management to achieve both short-term and long-term objectives and to reward exceptional performance and contributions to the development of the Company's business. To attain these objectives, the Company's executive compensation program includes a competitive base salary, coupled with an executive bonus program which is "at risk" based on the performance of the Company's business, primarily as reflected in the achievement of certain earnings goals.

Many of the Company's employees, including its executive officers, also are eligible to be granted stock options periodically in order to more directly align their interests with the long-term financial interests of the Company's stockholders.

RELATIONSHIP OF COMPENSATION TO PERFORMANCE

The Compensation Committee annually establishes, subject to the approval of the Board of Directors and any applicable employment agreements, the salaries which will be paid to the Company's executive officers during the coming year. In setting salaries, the Compensation Committee takes into account several factors, including competitive compensation data, the extent to which an individual may participate in the incentive compensation and stock option plans maintained by the Company and its affiliates, and qualitative factors bearing on an individual's experience, responsibilities, management and leadership abilities, and job performance.

The Compensation Committee also determines the terms of the Company's executive bonus program. In doing so, the Compensation Committee reviews management's plan for the Company's growth and profitability, determines the criteria to be used for the determination of bonus awards under the executive bonus program and fixes the levels of target and maximum awards for participants and the level of attainment of financial performance objectives necessary for awards to be made under the executive bonus program.

Stock options are granted to key employees, including the Company's executive officers, by the Compensation Committee under the 1992 Stock Option Plan. Among the Company's executive officers, the number of shares subject to options granted to each individual generally depends upon his or her base salary and the level of that officer's management responsibility. During fiscal 1999, 120,000 options were granted to 12 employees under the Plan.

COMPENSATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

In fiscal 1994, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Howard M. Lorber, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, the term of which was extended through November 2001. Pursuant to the employment agreement, as amended, Mr. Lorber receives a base salary of \$1 and an incentive bonus equal to five percent (5%) of the Company's consolidated pre-tax earnings, which bonus was \$121,586 for fiscal 1999. In this way, Mr. Lorber's cash compensation is tied directly to the Company's profitability. In light of this employment agreement, the Compensation Committee was not required to make any decision regarding the cash compensation of Mr. Lorber. In fiscal 1999, the Company granted to Mr. Lorber options to purchase 40,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.9375 per share, which represented 100% of the market price of the Common Stock on the date of grant. In this way, Mr. Lorber's interests are directly aligned with the interests of the Company's stockholders. The Compensation Committee believes that these options provide an incentive for Mr. Lorber to maximize long-term shareholder value.

> Robert Eide Barry Leistner Jeffrey Lichtenberg A. F. Petrocelli

STOCK PERFORMANCE CHART

The following graph illustrates a comparison of cumulative shareholder return among the Company, Standard and Poors' 500 companies and Standard and Poors' restaurant companies for the period from March 27, 1994 to its fiscal year end on March 28, 1999:

> COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN* AMONG NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC., THE S & P 500 INDEX AND THE S & P RESTAURANTS INDEX

> > CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

	3/27/94	3/26/95	3/31/96	3/30/97	3/29/98	3/28/99
NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC.	100	65	55	55	53	53
S & P 500	100	116	153	183	271	321
S & P RESTAURANTS	100	115	159	154	193	304

\$100 Invested on March 27, 1994 in stock or in Index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending March 28, 1999.

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's executive officers, Directors and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities ("Reporting Persons") to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership on Forms 3, 4, and 5 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and the National Association of Securities Dealers (the "NASD"). These Reporting Persons are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all Forms 3, 4 and 5 they file with the SEC and NASD. Based solely on the Company's review of the copies of the forms it has received, the Company believes that all Reporting Persons complied on a timely basis with all filing requirements applicable to them with respect to transactions during fiscal year 1999, except that Jeffrey Lichtenberg filed on Form 5 certain acquisitions not timely filed on Form 4.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth as of June 4, 1999 information with regard to ownership of Nathan's common stock by (1) each beneficial owner of 5% or more of Nathan's common stock, based on filings with the Commission; (2) each executive officer named in Nathan's "Summary Compensation Table"; (3) each director of Nathan's; and (4) all executive officers and directors of Nathan's as a group:

NAME AND ADDRESS (1)	COMMON STOCK BENEFICIALLY OWNED	PERCENT OF CLASS
Howard M. Lorber (2)	512,834	10.0%
Kenneth S. Hackel (3)	410,500	8.7%
Quest Equities Corp (4)	360,000	7.6%
Wayne Norbitz (5)	165,000	3.4%
Jeffrey A. Lichtenberg (6)	137,741	2.9%
A. F. Petrocelli (7)	102,250	2.1%
Robert J. Eide (7)	69,903	1.5%
Barry Leistner (7)	53,750	1.1%
Ronald G. DeVos (8)	19,250	*
Donald P. Schedler (9)	17,500	*
Carl Paley (9)	15,500	*
Directors and officers as a group (9 persons) (10)	1,093,728	19.7%

Less than 1%

29

- (1) The addresses of the individuals and entities in this table are: Kenneth S. Hackel, P.O Box 726 Alpine New Jersey 07620; Robert J. Eide and Howard M. Lorber, 70 East Sunrise Highway, Valley Stream, New York 11581; Jeffrey A. Lichtenberg, 125 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10017; Quest Equities Corp., 8 Old Canal Crossing, Farmington, Connecticut 06032; Barry Leistner, 8-14 37th Avenue, Long Island City, New York 11101; A. F. Petrocelli, 9 Park Place, Suite 401, Great Neck, New York 11021; and Wayne Norbitz, Ronald G. DeVos, Carl Paley and Donald Schedler, 1400 Old Country Road, Suite 400, Westbury, New York 11590.
- (2) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase an aggregate of 183,334 shares granted under Nathans' 1992 Stock Option Plan and warrants exercisable within 60 days to purchase 225,000 shares of common stock.
- (3) According to Schedule 13-D as Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (4) According to Schedule 13-D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (5) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 125,000 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' 1992 Stock Option Plan.
- (6) Includes 25,000 shares owned by Fountainhead Enterprises, Inc., and an aggregate of 3,500 shares owned by Mr. Lichtenberg's wife and children, as to which Mr. Lichtenberg may be deemed the beneficial owner, options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 23,333 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' 1992 Stock Option Plan, options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' Outside Director Stock Option Plan and options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 3,750 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' 1998 Stock Option Plan.
- (7) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' Outside Director Stock Option Plan and options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 3,750 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' 1998 Stock Option Plan.
- (8) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 19,250 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' 1992 Stock Option Plan.
- (9) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 15,500 shares of common stock granted under Nathans' 1992 Stock Option Plan.
- (10) Includes 271,811 shares beneficially owned by Messrs. Eide, Lorber, Lichtenberg, Petrocelli, Leistner, Norbitz, Paley and Schedler (see note 6 and notes 8 through 11 above), 361,917 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days granted under Nathans' 1992 Stock Option Plan, 200,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days granted under Nathans' Outside Director Stock Option Plan, 15,000 shares subject to Stock Options exercisable within 60 days granted under Nathans' 1998 Stock Option Plan, and warrants exercisable within 60 days by Mr. Lorber for 225,000 shares.

30

Evhibi+

Nathan's and Miami Subs Corporation entered into a definitive merger agreement dated January 15, 1999, under which Nathan's is to acquire the remaining 70% of the outstanding common stock of Miami Subs and Miami Subs is to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Nathan's. In connection with the merger, Miami Subs is to enter into an amended and restated employment agreement with Donald Perlyn, under which Mr. Perlyn is to receive a base salary of \$200,000, which agreement will be guaranteed by Nathan's. Under this amended and restated employment agreement, Mr. Perlyn will become a director of Nathan's, and Nathan's will grant Mr. Perlyn stock options for 192,558 shares of Nathan's common stock at fair market value in exchange for the cancellation of his 385,116 Miami Subs stock options assumed by Nathan's in the merger.

PART IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a)(1) CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index to consolidated financial statements and schedule on Page F-1 are filed as part of this report.

(2) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

The consolidated financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index to consolidated financial statements and schedule on Page F-1 is filed as part of this report.

(3) EXHIBITS

Certain of the following exhibits (as indicated in the footnotes to the list), were previously filed as exhibits to other reports or registration statements filed by the Registrant under the Securities Act of 1993 or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are herein incorporated by reference.

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
3.2	Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed December 15, 1992.(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
3.3	By-Laws of the Company, as amended.
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate.(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
4.2	Form of Warrant issued to Ladenburg, Thalmann & Co., Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
4.3	Form of Warrant issued to Howard M. Lorber. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
4.4	Amendment to Warrant issued to Howard M. Lorber (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996.)
4.5	Specimen Rights Certificate (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Current Report on form 8-K dated July 14, 1995.)
10.1	Employment Agreement between the Company and Wayne Norbitz, dated December 28, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
10.2	Leases for premises at Coney Island, New York, as follows: (Incorporated by reference Exhibit 10.3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
	a) Lease, dated November 22, 1967, between Nathan's Realty Associates and the Company.
	b) Lease, dated November 22, 1967, between Ida's Realty Associates and the Company.
	c) Lease, dated November 17, 1967, between Ida's Realty Associates and the Company.
10.3	Leases for the premises at Yonkers, New York, as follows: (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)

- Lease Modification of Land and Building Lease between the Yonkers Corp. and the Company, dated November 19, 1980;
- b) Lease Modification of Land and Building Lease between 787 Central Park Avenue, Inc., and the Company dated

May 1, 1980. 29 10.4 Lease between the Company and NWCM Corp. for premises at Oceanside, New York, dated March 14, 1975. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)

31

- 10.5 1992 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Registration Statement on Form S-8 No. 33-93396.)
- 10.6 Area Development Agreement between the Company and Marriott Corporation, dated February 19, 1993. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 1993.)
- 10.7 Area Development Agreement between the Company and Premiere Foods, dated September 11, 1990. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 10.8 Form of Standard Franchise Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 10.9 The Company's 401K Plan and Trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 10.10 Amendment dated November 8, 1993, to the Employment Agreement, dated December 28, 1992, between the Company and Wayne Norbitz. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
- 10.11 Employment Agreement between the Company and Howard M. Lorber dated November 8, 1993. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
- 10.12 Amendment dated January 26, 1996, to the Employment Agreement, dated November 8, 1993, between the Company and Howard M. Lorber. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996.)
- 10.13 License Agreement dated as of February 28, 1994, among Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. and SMG, Inc., including amendments and waivers thereto. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
- 10.14 Outside Director Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to Registration Statement on Form S-8 No. 33-89442.)
- 10.15 Home Depot Food Service Lease Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 26, 1995.)
- 10.16 Modification Agreement to the Employment Agreement between the Company and Wayne Norbitz, dated December 28, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report filed on form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 29, 1996.)
- 10.17 Amendment to License Agreement dated as of February 28, 1994, among Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. and SMG, Inc. including waivers and amendments thereto. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Quarterly Report filed on form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 29, 1996.)
- 10.18 Warrant Agreement dated November 24, 1996 between the Company and Jerry Krevans. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 30, 1997.)
- 10.19 Second Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated as of April 6, 1998 between the Company and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to Form 8-A/A dated April 6, 1998.)
- 10.20 1998 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Annual Report filed on form 10- K for the fiscal year ended March 29, 1998.)
- 10.21 North Fork Bank Promissory Note.
- 21 List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of Arthur Andersen LLP.

(b) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

On February 1, 1999 the Company reported on Form 8-K that on January 15, 1999, it executed a merger agreement with Miami Subs Corporation pursuant to which Nathan's proposes to acquire the remaining outstanding common stock of Miami Subs Corporation in exchange for Nathan's common stock plus warrants.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized on the 23rd day of June, 1999.

Nathan's Famous, Inc.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities indicated on the 23rd day of June, 1999.

/s/ HOWARD M. LORBER Howard M. Lorber	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)			
/s/ WAYNE NORBITZ 	President, Chief Operating Officer and Director			
/s/ RONALD G. DEVOS Ronald G. Devos	Vice President - Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)			
/s/ ROVERT J. EIDE Rovert J. Eide	Director			
/s/ BARRY LEISTNER Barry Leistner	Director			
Jeffrey A. Lichtenberg	Director			
Attilio F. Petrocelli	Director			

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following consolidated financial statement of Nathan's Famous, Inc. and subsidiaries are included in item ${\bf 8}:$

	Page
Report of Independent Public Accountants	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Fiscal Years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholder Equity for the Fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997	F-6
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Nathan's Famous, Inc., (a Delaware Corporation) and subsidiaries as of March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Nathan's Famous, Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Roseland, New Jersey June 15, 1999

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share amounts)

ASSETS	March 28, 1999	March 29, 1998
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents Marketable investment securities Franchise and other receivables, net Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets Deferred income taxes	\$ 2,165 3,267 1,578 374 411 622	\$ 1,306 8,514 976 356 276 478
Total current assets	8,417	11,906
Investment in unconsolidated affiliate (Note 3) Property and equipment, net Intangible assets, net Deferred income taxes Other assets, net	4,441 6,293 10,882 892 325 \$ 31,250	6,171 11,270
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	======	=======
CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable	\$ 1,053	\$ 956
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities Deferred franchise fees Current installments of obligations under capital leases	3,434 222	4,708 125 12
Total current liabilities	4,709	5,801
Obligations under capital leases, net of current installments Other liabilities	193	9 143
Total liabilities	4,902	5,953
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 13)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized, 4,722,216 issued and outstanding at March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998 Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit	47 32,423 (6,122)	47 32,389 (8,850)
Total stockholders' equity	26,348	23,586
	\$ 31,250 ======	\$ 29,539 =======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated balance sheets.

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

		the Fiscal Year Ende	
	March 28, 1999	March 29, 1998	March 30, 1997
REVENUES:			
Sales	\$ 24,511	\$ 23,530	\$ 21,718
Franchise fees and royalties	3,230	3,062	3,238
License royalties	1,415	1,495	1,177
Equity in unconsolidated affiliate	26		
Investment and other income	400	790	442
Tatal gaugenuas			
Total revenues	29,582	28,877	26,575
COSTS AND EXPENSES:			
Cost of sales	15,367	14,468	12 021
Restaurant operating expenses	5,780	6,411	13,031 6,602
Depreciation and amortization	1,065	1,035	1,013
Amortization of intangible assets	384	384	406
General and administrative expenses	4,722	4,755	400
Interest expense	4,722	4,755	4,037
Other income, net (Note 10)	(47)		
Total costs and expenses	27,272	27,059	25,165
Income before (benefit) provision for income taxes	2,310	1,818	1,410
(Benefit) provision for income taxes (Note 11)	(418)	290	622
Net income	\$ 2,728	\$ 1,528	\$ 788
	=======	=======	=======
PER SHARE INFORMATION (Note 3): Net income per share:			
Basic	\$.58 ======	\$.32 ======	\$.17 =======
Diluted	======= \$.57	======= \$.32	======= \$.17
	=======	=======	=======
Shares used in computing net income:			
Basic	4,722	4,722	4,722
Dilutod	=======		========
Diluted	4,753	4,749	4,729

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital
BALANCE, March 31, 1996	4,722,216	\$ 47	\$ 32,388
Amortization of deferred compensation relating to restricted stock Net income			
BALANCE, March 30, 1997	4,722,216	47	32,388
Amortization of deferred compensation relating to restricted stock Fair value of stock warrants granted to non-employees Net income			 35
BALANCE, March 29, 1998	4,722,216	47	32,423
Amortization of deferred compensation relating to restricted stock Net income			
BALANCE, March 28, 1999	4,722,216	\$	\$ 32,423 =======

	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity
BALANCE, March 31, 1996	\$ (127)	\$ (11,166)	\$ 21,142
Amortization of deferred compensation relating to restricted stock Net income	46 	788	46 788
BALANCE, March 30, 1997	(81)	(10,378)	21,976
Amortization of deferred compensation relating to restricted stock Fair value of stock warrants granted to non-employees Net income	47 	 1,528	47 35 1,528
BALANCE, March 29, 1998	(34)	(8,850)	23,586
Amortization of deferred compensation relating to restricted stock Net income	34 	2,728	34 2,728
BALANCE, March 28, 1999	\$ =======	\$ (6,122) =======	\$ 26,348

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

37

(in thousands)

For	the	Fiscal	Year	Ended

	March 28, 1999	March 29, 1998	March 30, 1997
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$ 2,728	\$ 1,528	\$ 788
Depreciation and amortization Impairment of long-lived assets Amortization of intangible assets Issuance of stock warrants for services received Provision for doubtful accounts	1,065 302 384 44	1,035 384 35 80	1,013 406 30
Amortization of deferred compensation Gain on sale of restaurant Equity in unconsolidated affiliate Deferred income taxes Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	34 (26) (1,036)	47 (130) (63)	46 156
Marketable investment securities Franchise and other receivables Inventories Prepaid expenses and other assets Prepaid income taxes Accounts payable and accrued expenses Deferred franchise fees Deferred area development fees	5,247 (646) (18) (268) (1,177) 97 	(874) (17) (143) 252 296 (144)	(1,512) 39 13 (178) 746 (306) (8) (200)
Other non-current liabilities Net cash provided by operating activities	50 6,780	2,286	(271)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of property and equipment Investment in unconsolidated affiliate Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	(1,485) (4,415)	(1,740) 130	(896)
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,900)	(1,610)	(896)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Principal repayments of obligations under capital leases	(21)	(17)	(20)
Net cash used in financing activities	(21)	(17)	(20)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	859	659	(154)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	1,306	647	801
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$ 2,165 ======	\$ 1,306 ======	\$ 647 ======
CASH PAID DURING THE YEAR FOR:			
Interest	\$ 1 ======	\$ 6 ======	\$ 16 ======
Income taxes	\$ 218 ======	\$ 421 ======	\$ 182 =======
NONCASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Issuance of stock warrants for services received	\$ ======	\$ 35 ======	\$ ======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share amounts)

1. DESCRIPTION, DEVELOPMENT AND ORGANIZATION OF BUSINESS:

Description of Business

- -----

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries (collectively the "Company") develops and operates a chain of retail fast food style restaurants which prepare and serve quality food products to the public. Nathan's Famous Restaurants feature a specialized menu which includes, among other things, hot dogs, manufactured with a proprietary spice formula, hamburgers, crinkle-cut french fries, assorted sandwiches and platters. The Company primarily operates in the eastern region of the United States, with 25 Company-owned stores and 163 franchised units operating as of March 28, 1999. Since fiscal 1997, Nathan's supplemented its franchise program with its "Branded Product Program" which enables foodservice retailers to sell certain Nathan's proprietary products outside of the realm of a traditional franchise relationship.

Development of Business

- -----

On November 25, 1998, the Company acquired 8,121,000 (2,030,250 after giving effect to a 4 for 1 reverse stock split) shares or approximately 30% of the then outstanding common stock of Miami Subs Corporation ("MSC") for \$4,200, excluding transaction costs (Note 3). On January 15, 1999, the Company and MSC entered into a definitive merger agreement pursuant to which Nathan's anticipates acquiring the remaining outstanding shares of MSC in exchange for shares of Nathan's common stock and warrants.

On April 1, 1999, the Company completed an acquisition of all of Roasters Corp. and Roasters Franchise Corp.'s intellectual property rights, including trademarks, recipes and franchise agreements. The Company purchased the assets for \$1,250 according to the terms of a Plan of Reorganization which was approved during the Roasters Corp. and Roasters Franchise Corp. proceedings in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. The Company will own and operate Kenny Rogers Roasters in the U.S. and internationally under the management of NF Roasters Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nathan's Famous, Inc.

Organization of Business

In July 1987, all of the outstanding shares, options and warrants of Nathan's Famous, Inc. (the "Predecessor Company"), a then publicly held New York corporation, were acquired through a cash transaction, accounted for by the purchase method of accounting (the "Acquisition"). In connection with the Acquisition, a privately-held New York corporation (the "Acquiring Corporation") was merged into the Predecessor Company. The purchase price exceeded the fair value of the acquired assets of the Predecessor Company by \$15,374, and such amount is recorded net of accumulated amortization in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. In November 1989, the surviving corporation was merged with Nathan's Newco, Inc., a Delaware corporation which, upon the effectiveness of the merger, changed its name to Nathan's Famous, Inc. ("NFI").

40 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Fiscal Year

The Company's fiscal year ends on the last Sunday in March, which results in a 52 or 53 week reporting period. The results of operations for all periods presented are on the basis of a 52-week reporting period.

Use of Estimates

- -----

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash restricted for untendered shares associated with the Acquisition amounted to \$83 and \$273 at March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, respectively, and is included in cash and cash equivalents.

Inventories

- -----

Inventories consist primarily of restaurant food items, supplies, marketing items and equipment for sale under the Branded Product Program which are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method.

Marketable Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investments in marketable investment securities as "trading" in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities". Such securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Gains and losses on the disposition of securities are recognized on the specific identification method in the period in which they occur.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization is calculated primarily on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life or the lease term of the related asset. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Building and improvements	5 -	25 years
Machinery, equipment, furniture and fixtures	5 -	15 years
Leasehold improvements	5 -	20 years

41 Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist principally of the excess of cost over the fair value of the assets acquired relating to the Acquisition and are being amortized over a period of 40 years. Accumulated amortization at March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, was \$4,503 and \$4,118, respectively. Amortization expense for goodwill was \$384 for each of the three years in the period ended March 28, 1999. Amortization expense for store pre-opening costs and other intangibles was \$0 and \$0 and \$22 for the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively.

The Company assesses the recoverability of the excess of cost over the fair value of assets acquired by determining whether the amortization of the balance over its estimated remaining life can be recovered through, among other things, undiscounted future operating cash flows of the acquired operations, franchise fees earned from franchising operations and license fees earned from the licensing of Company products. The amount of impairment, if any, is measured based on projected undiscounted future operating cash flows.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company complies with the provisions of SFAS No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of". The Company considers a history of store operating losses to be its primary indicator of potential impairment. The Company has identified four units which have been impaired, and recorded a charge to the statement of operations for the fiscal year ended March 28, 1999 (Note 10).

```
Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate
```

The Company accounts for its investment in MSC under the equity method of accounting. Accordingly, the carrying value of the investment is equal to the Company's initial cash investment in MSC plus its share of the accumulated income of MSC through February 28, 1999 (Note 3).

```
Fair Value of Financial Instruments
```

The Company accounts for the fair value of its financial instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments". The carrying value of all financial instruments reflected in the accompanying balance sheets approximated fair value at March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, respectively, with the exception of the investment in unconsolidated affiliate which had a fair value of \$3,109 at March 28, 1999.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company complies with the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". This statement establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for stock-based employee compensation plans. The provisions of SFAS No. 123 encourage entities to adopt a fair value based method of accounting for stock compensation plans; however, these provisions also permit the Company to continue to measure compensation costs under pre-existing accounting pronouncements. If the fair value based method of accounting is not adopted, SFAS No. 123 requires pro forma disclosures of net income and net income per share in the notes to the financial statements (Note 12).

42 Comprehensive Income

During fiscal 1999, the Company adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income", which requires companies to report all changes in equity during a period, except those resulting from investment by owners and distributions to owners, for the period in which they are recognized. Comprehensive income is the total of net income and all other nonowner changes in equity (or other comprehensive income) such as unrealized gains or losses on securities classified as available-for-sale, foreign currency translation adjustments and minimum pension liability adjustments. Comprehensive income must be reported on the face of the consolidated statements of operations or the consolidated statements of stockholders' equity. The Company's operations did not give rise to items includable in comprehensive income, which were not already in net income for the three years in the period ended March 28, 1999. Accordingly, the Company's comprehensive income is the same as its net income for all years presented.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In April 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Position ("SOP") 98-5 "Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities". SOP 98-5 requires costs of start-up activities and organization costs to be expensed as incurred and is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1998. Earlier application is encouraged in fiscal years for which annual financial statements previously have not been issued. The Company early adopted this SOP during fiscal 1998 and the impact was not material to the results of operations.

Franchise and Area Development Fee Revenue Recognition

Franchisees are required to execute a separate franchise or license agreement for each restaurant. Under an area development agreement, the number of restaurants and the area designated for development are established and the franchisee is required to construct and open such restaurants within a defined timetable. For each restaurant under an area development agreement, a separate franchise or license agreement is executed.

Franchisees under a franchise agreement are generally required to pay an initial franchise fee and a monthly royalty of 4% - 4.5% of restaurant sales. Franchisees under a license agreement do not pay an initial fee and remit monthly royalty payments based on 10% of restaurant sales up to \$250, 8% of restaurant sales between \$250 and \$500 and 6% of restaurant sales in excess of \$500 per annum. Franchise fees are recognized as revenue when the Company performs substantially all initial services required by the franchise agreement, which is generally upon restaurant opening. Revenue under area development agreements is recognized ratably over the number of restaurants opened, as provided for in the respective agreements. Franchise royalties are accrued as earned. Franchise and area development fees received prior to completion of the revenue recognition process are recorded as deferred revenue. At March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, \$222 and \$125, respectively, of deferred franchise fees are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company's receivables consist principally of receivables from franchisees for royalties and advertising contributions and from sales under the Branded Product Program. At March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, two and one franchisees, respectively, represented an aggregate of approximately 12%, and 19%, respectively, of franchise royalties receivable.

43 Advertising

The Company administers the Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. Advertising Fund, a separate legal entity, to coordinate the marketing efforts for the Nathan's Famous System. Under this arrangement, the Company collects and disburses fees paid by franchisees and Company-owned stores for the national and regional advertising, promotional and public relations programs. Contributions are based on specified percentages of net sales, generally ranging up to 3%. Advertising contributions from Company-owned stores are included in restaurant operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Net Company-owned store advertising expense was \$436, \$424 and \$570 for the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes". Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

3. INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATE:

On November 25, 1998, Nathan's acquired 8,121,000 (2,030,250 after giving effect to a 4 for 1 reverse stock split) shares of MSC in a private purchase transaction from the former Chairman and CEO of MSC in consideration of the sum of \$4,200. The 2,030,250 shares represent approximately 30% of the then issued and outstanding shares of MSC.

Condensed summarized financial data for MSC as of and for the three months ended February 28, 1999, is as follows:

Condensed Balance Sheet Data

Current assets	\$ 6,196
Non-current assets	23,856
Current liabilities	5,684
Non-current liabilities	\$ 7,730
Condensed Statements of Income Data	
Revenue	\$5,707
Net income	\$83

The Company does not record in its investment balance the results of operations of MSC for the month ended March 31, 1999, and accordingly a one-month reporting lag exists in the investment in unconsolidated affiliate balance in the accompanying balance sheet at March 28, 1999.

The Company complies with the provisions of SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share". Under SFAS No. 128, Basic EPS is computed based on weighted average shares outstanding and excludes any potential dilution; Diluted EPS reflects potential dilution from the exercise or conversion of securities into common stock or from other contracts to issue common stock.

The following chart provides a reconciliation of information used in calculating the per share amounts for the periods ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively:

	Net In	come		Sh	ares		Net Inco	ome Per Sha	are
	1999	1998	1997	1999	1998	1997	1999	1998	1997
Basic EPS									
Basic calculation Effect of dilutive employee	\$2,728	\$1,528	\$ 788	4,722	4,722	\$4,722	\$.58	\$.32	\$.17
stock options and warrants				31	27	7	(.01)		
Diluted EPS									
Diluted calculation	\$2,728 ======	\$1,528 ======	\$ 788 ======	4,753 =====	4,749	\$4,729 ======	\$.57 =======	\$32 ======	\$.17 ======

5. FRANCHISE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES, net:

Franchise and other receivables, net, consists of the following:

	1999	1998
Franchise and license royalties	\$1,192	\$1,166
Branded product sales	460	
Other	393	353
	2,045	1,519
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	467	543
	\$1,578	\$ 976
	======	======

6. MARKETABLE INVESTMENT SECURITIES:

Marketable investment securities at March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998 consisted of trading securities with aggregate fair values of \$3,267 and \$8,514, respectively. Fair values of corporate and municipal bonds are based upon quoted market prices. The investment in trading limited partnerships is based upon the proportionate share of the underlying net assets of the partnerships.

The gross unrealized holding gains and fair values of trading securities by major security type at March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997 were as follows:

	1999			1998		1997
	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain	Fair Value of Investments	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain 	Fair Value of Investments	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain/(Loss)	Fair Value of Investments
Commercial paper Corporate bonds Municipal bonds Investment in trading	\$ 1 63	\$ 219 2,011	\$ 6 29	\$ 563 6,936	\$ (2) 54	\$2,275 2,451 2,111
limited partnerships *	23 \$ 87 ======	1,037 \$3,267 ======	212 \$ 247	1,015 \$8,514 ======	303 \$ 355 =======	803 \$7,640 ======

* The Company can sell its investment in the trading limited partnerships without penalty at any time.

45 7. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net:

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following:

	1999	1998
Construction in progress Land Building and improvements Machinery, equipment, furniture and fixtures Leasehold improvements	\$94 896 1,630 4,703 6,659	\$526 896 1,647 4,566 6,146
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	13,982 7,689 \$ 6,293	13,781 7,610 \$ 6,171

Related depreciation and amortization expense totalled \$1,065, \$1,035 and \$1,013 for the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively.

8. ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following:

	1999	1998
Accrued professional fees	\$ 492	\$ 495
Accrued legal costs	41	1,027
Accrued vacation	376	362
Accrued store closure costs		90
Accrued insurance	719	675
Accrual for untendered shares	83	273
Sales and payroll taxes payable	291	272
Deferred revenue	222	409
Other	1,210	1,105
	\$3,434	\$4,708
	======	======

9. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS:

The Company has a \$5,000 line of credit with its primary banking institution. Borrowings under the line of credit are intended to be used to meet the normal short-term working capital needs of the Company. The line of credit is not a commitment and, therefore, credit availability is subject to ongoing approval. The line of credit expires on October 1, 1999, and bears interest at the prime rate. There were no borrowings outstanding under this line of credit at March 28, 1999 and March 29, 1998, respectively.

10. OTHER INCOME, NET:

Included in other income, net, in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations is; (i) the reversal of a previous litigation accrual of \$349,000 (Note 13), and (ii) a \$302,000 impairment charge for four under-performing stores pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 121 (Note 2).

Income tax (benefit) expense consists of the following for the years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997:

	1999	1998	1997
Federal:			
Current Deferred	\$ 453 297	\$ 255 331	\$399 134
	750	586	533
State and local:			
Current Deferred	165 110	98 129	67 22
	275	227	89
Adjustment to valuation allowance relating to opening net deferred tax asset			
	(1,443)	(523)	
	\$ (418) =======	\$ 290 =====	\$622 ====

Total income tax (benefit) expense for fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997 differed from the amounts computed by applying the United States Federal income tax rate of 34% to income before income taxes as a result of the following:

	1999	1998 	1997
Computed "expected" tax expense	\$ 785	\$ 618	\$ 482
Nondeductible amortization	131	131	144
State and local income taxes, net of Federal income tax benefit	181	149	49
Tax-exempt investment earnings	(112)	(55)	(50)
Change in the valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets	(1,443)	(523)	
Other	40	(30)	(3)
	\$ (418)	\$ 290	\$ 622
	======	=====	=====

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented below:

	1999	1998
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses Allowance for doubtful accounts Deferred revenue Depreciation expense and impairment of long-lived assets Expenses not deductible until paid Other	\$ 591 196 43 1,292 11	\$ 564 209 118 1,532 639
Total gross deferred tax assets	2,133	3,062
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Involuntary conversion Unrealized gain on marketable investment securities Other	219	504 211 26
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	219	741
Net deferred tax asset	1,914	2,321
Less: Valuation allowance	(400)	(1,843)
	\$ 1,514 ======	\$ 478 =======

In both fiscal 1999 and 1998, management of the Company determined that, more likely than not, a significant portion of its previously-reserved deferred tax assets would be realized and, accordingly, reduced the related valuation allowance. The reduction in the valuation allowance is included in the income tax (benefit) provision in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for fiscal 1999 and 1998. The determination that the net deferred tax asset of \$1,514 at March 28, 1999 is realizable is based on the Company's profitability during the past three fiscal years , and the continued positive impact of the sales performance of its products.

12. STOCK PLANS AND OTHER EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS:

Stock Option Plans

On December 15, 1992, the Company adopted the 1992 Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") which provides for the issuance of incentive stock options (ISO's) to officers and key employees and non-qualified stock options to directors, officers and key employees. Up to 525,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Plan. The terms of the options are generally ten years, except for ISO's granted to any employee, whom prior to the granting of the option, owns stock representing more than 10% of the voting rights, for which the option term will be five years. The exercise price for non-qualified stock options outstanding under the Plan can be no less than the fair market value, as defined, of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. For ISO's, the exercise price can generally be no less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of any employee who prior to the granting of the option, owns stock at the date of grant. For ISO's, the voting rights, for which the exercise price can be no less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of any employee who prior to the granting of the option, owns stock representing more than 10% of the voting rights, for which the exercise price can be no less than 10% of fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant.

On May 24, 1994, the Company adopted the Outside Director Stock Option Plan (the "Directors' Plan") which provides for the issuance of non-qualified stock options to non-employee directors, as defined, of the Company. Under the Directors' Plan, 200,000 shares of common stock have been authorized and issued pursuant to the Directors' Plan. Options awarded to each non-employee director are fully vested, subject to forfeiture under certain conditions and shall be exercisable upon vesting. There were 0, 0 and 50,000 options granted under the provisions of the Directors Plan during the years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively.

In April 1998, the Company adopted the Nathan's Famous Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan (the "New Plan"), which provides for the issuance of non-qualified stock options to directors, officers and key employees. Up to 500,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the New Plan. In April 1998, the Company granted 120,000 ISO's under the 1992 Stock Option Plan and the Company also issued 30,000 stock options to its non-employee directors under the New Plan.

The Plan, the New Plan and the Directors' Plan expire on December 2, 2002, April 5, 2008 and December 31, 2004, respectively, unless terminated earlier by the Board of Directors under conditions specified in the Plan.

Warrants

In November 1996, the Company granted to a non-employee consultant a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$3.94 per share, which represented the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Upon the date of grant, one-third of the shares vested immediately, one-third on the first anniversary thereof, and the remaining one-third on the second anniversary thereof. The warrant expires on November 24, 2001.

F-15

47

48

⁴⁸ On July 17, 1997, the Company also granted an additional warrant to purchase 150,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, the actual market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, to its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Commencing on July 17, 1998, 37,500 shares vest annually and the warrant expires in July 2007.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plans and warrants at March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997 and changes during the years then ended is presented in the tables and narrative below:

	1999		1998		1997	
	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding - beginning of year Granted Exercised	600,167 150,000	\$5.03 4.83 -	601,167 - -	\$5.54 - -	511,167 110,000 -	\$5.99 3.75 -
Canceled	(42,500)	5.08	(1,000)	-	(20,000)	7.40
Options outstanding - end of year	707,667	5.08	600,167	5.03	601,167	5.54
Options exercisable - end of year	528,167		485,503		398,371	
Weighted average fair value of options granted		\$1.77 =====		-		\$1.99 =====
Warrants outstanding - beginning of year Granted	350,000	\$3.88 -	200,000 150,000	\$4.36 3.25	150,000 50,000	\$4.50 3.94
Warrants outstanding - end of year	350,000	3.88	350,000	3.88	200,000	4.36
Warrants exercisable - end of year	237,500		145,834		91,667	
Weighted average fair value of warrants granted		\$1.68 =====		\$1.56 =====		\$1.78 =====

At March 28, 1999, 517,333 common shares were reserved for future stock option issuance.

The following table summarizes information about stock options and warrants outstanding at March 28, 1999:

	Optio	ns and Warrants Outstan	ding	Optior Warrants Ex	
Range of Exercise Prices	Number	Weighted	Weighted	Number	Weighted
	Outstanding	Average	Average	Exercisable	Average
	at	Remaining	Exercise	at	Exercise
	3/28/99	Contractual Life	Price	3/28/99	Price
\$ 3.25 to \$ 4.88	752,500	8.50	\$ 3.99	460,500	\$ 4.17
4.89 to 7.34	246,167	6.80	6.55	246,167	6.55
7.35 to 9.25	59,000	6.25	8.53	59,000	8.53
\$ 3.25 to \$ 9.25	1,057,667 =========	7.98	\$ 4.84 ======	765,667 ======	\$ 5.16 =======

The fair value of each option and warrant grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	1999	1998
Expected life (years)	6.5	6.7
Interest rate	5.58%	6.20%
Volatility	32.77%	33.99%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

The Company has adopted the pro forma disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS No. 123), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized in the accompanying financial statements for the stock option plans. Had compensation cost for the Company's stock option plans been determined under SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income and earnings per share would approximate the pro forma amounts below:

		(in thousa	nds, except 1999 	per sha	re amounts) 1998
Net income:	As reported Pro forma	\$	2,728 2,247	\$	1,528 1,208
Net income per share:	Basic				
	As reported Pro forma	\$.58 .48	\$.32 .26
	Diluted				
	As reported Pro forma	\$.57 .47	\$.32 .25

Because the SFAS No. 123 method of accounting is not applied to options granted prior to January 1, 1995, the resulting pro forma compensation cost may not be representative of that to be expected in future years.

Common Stock Purchase Rights

49

On June 20, 1995, the Board of Directors declared a dividend distribution of one common stock purchase right (the "Rights") for each outstanding share of Common Stock of the Company. The distribution was paid on June 20, 1995 to the shareholders of record on June 20, 1995. The terms of the Rights were amended on April 6, 1998. Each Right, as amended, entitles the registered holder thereof to purchase from the Company one share of the Common Stock at a price of \$4.00 per share (the "Purchase Price"), subject to adjustment for anti-dilution. New Common Stock certificates issued after June 20, 1995 upon transfer or new issuance of the Common Stock will contain a notation incorporating the Rights Agreement by reference.

The Rights are not exercisable until the Distribution Date. The Distribution Date is the earlier to occur of (i) ten days following a public announcement that a person or group of affiliated or associated persons (an "Acquiring Person") acquired, or obtained the right to acquire, beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the outstanding shares of the Common Stock, as amended, or (ii) ten business days (or such later date as may be determined by action of the Board of Directors prior to such time as any person becomes an Acquiring Person) following the commencement, or announcement of an intention to make a tender offer or exchange offer by a person (other than the Company, any wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company or certain employee benefit plans) which, if consummated, would result in such person becoming an Acquiring Person. The Rights will expire on June 19, 2005, unless earlier redeemed by the Company.

At any time prior to the time at which a person or group or affiliated or associated persons has acquired beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the outstanding shares of the Common Stock of the Company, the Board of Directors of the Company may redeem the Rights in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$.001 per Right. In addition, the Rights Agreement, as amended, permits the Board of Directors, following the acquisition by a person or group of beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the Common Stock (but before an acquisition of 50% or more of Common Stock), to exchange the Rights (other than Rights owned by such 20% person or group), in whole or in part, for Common Stock, at an exchange ratio of one share of Common Stock per Right.

Until a Right is exercised, the holder thereof, as such, will have no rights as a shareholder of the Company, including, without limitation, the right to vote or to receive dividends. The Company has reserved, 6,297,216 shares of Common Stock for issuance upon exercise of the Rights.

Restricted Stock Grants

50

In December 1992, the Company awarded an aggregate of 50,016 shares of common stock to two executive officers. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the shares were subject to certain restrictions.

Compensation expense, based upon the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant, was determined by the Company to be \$7 per share. Aggregate compensation expense of \$280 has been recognized ratably over the six year period in which the restrictions lapse and has been included as deferred compensation as a component of stockholders' equity in the accompanying consolidated statement of stockholders' equity. Compensation expense was approximately \$34, \$47 and \$46 for the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively. The restrictions lapsed for all shares in December 1998.

Employment Agreements

The Company and its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer entered into an employment agreement in November 1993 for a period commencing on November 1, 1993 and ending on October 31, 1997. In July 1997 the employment agreement was extended through July 17, 2000 based on the original terms. This agreement provides for annual incentive compensation equal to 5% of the consolidated pre-tax earnings of the Company, as defined, and specified benefits. Pursuant to an amendment on January 26, 1996, the agreement also provides that upon a change in control, as defined, the officer shall have the right to terminate the agreement and receive a payment equal to approximately three times the average compensation received by him from the Company over the previous five years, and in no event shall such average compensation be deemed to be less than \$200.

The Company and its President and Chief Operating Officer entered into an employment agreement on December 28, 1992 for a period commencing on January 1, 1993 and ending on December 31, 1996. The employment agreement has been extended annually through December 31, 1999, based on the original terms, and no non-renewal notice has been given as of June 11, 1999. The agreement provides for annual compensation of \$250 plus certain other benefits. In November 1993, the Company amended this agreement to include a provision under which the officer shall have the right to terminate the agreement and receive payment equal to approximately three times annual compensation upon a change in control, as defined.

Each employment agreement terminates upon death or voluntary termination by the respective employee or may be terminated by the Company upon 30 days prior written notice by the Company in the event of disability or "cause", as defined in each agreement.

401(k) Plan

were \$13, \$12 and \$5, respectively.

In March 1992, the Company adopted a defined contribution retirement plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code covering all non-union employees over age 21 who have been employed by the Company for at least one year. Employees may contribute to the plan, on a tax-deferred basis, up to 15% of their total annual salary. Company contributions are discretionary. Beginning with the plan year ending February 28, 1994, the Company elected to match contributions at a rate of \$.25 per dollar contributed by the employee on up to a maximum of 3% of the employee's total annual salary. Employer contributions for the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997

The Company provides, on a contributory basis, medical benefits to active employees. The Company does not provide medical benefits to retirees.

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

Commitments

The Company's operations are principally conducted in leased premises. Remaining lease terms range from 1 to 18 years. Certain leases contain contingent rental provisions based upon a percentage of gross sales and/or provide rent deferral during the initial term of the lease. As of March 28, 1999, the Company has non-cancellable operating lease commitments, net of certain sublease rental income, as follows:

	Lease	Sublease	Net lease
	Commitments	Income	Commitments
2000	2,139	171	1,968
2001	1,925	167	1,758
2002	1,782	101	1,681
2003	1,716	61	1,655
2004	1,703	36	1,667
Thereafter	5,445	125	5,320

Contingent rental payments on building leases are typically made based on the percentage of gross sales on the individual restaurants that exceed predetermined levels. The percentage of gross sales to be paid and related gross sales level vary by unit. Contingent rental expense was approximately \$113, \$124 and \$163 for the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively.

Rent expense, including contingent rental payments, net of sublease income, was \$2,093, \$2,151 and \$2,186 for the fiscal years ended March 28, 1999, March 29, 1998 and March 30, 1997, respectively.

Contingencies

- -----

On February 28, 1995, an action entitled Textron Financial Corporation v. 1045 Rush Street Associates, Stephen Anfang, and Nathan's Famous, Inc. was instituted in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois County Department, Chancery Division. The compliant alleges that the Company conspired to perpetrate a fraud upon the plaintiff and alleges that the Company breached its lease with 1045 Rush Street associates and the estoppel agreement delivered to the plaintiff in connection therewith by subleasing these premises and thereafter assigning the lease with respect to the premises to a third party franchisee, and further by failing to pay rent under this lease on and after July 1990. This compliant seeks damages in the amount of at least \$1,500. The Company has filed its answer to this compliant denying the material allegations of the complaint and asserting several affirmative defenses to liability including, but not limited to, the absence initially or subsequent failure of consideration for the estoppel agreement, equitable estoppel, release, failure to mitigate and other equitable and legal defenses. The plaintiff has added as additional parties defendant, the attorney who represented the landlord in the financing transaction in connection with which the Estoppel Agreement was required. The Company and some of the named defendants entered into a Settlement with Textron whereby all of the plaintiff's claims against the Company and the other defendants were resolved under a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release that provide for payments to be made jointly by all of the defendants on or before December 30, 1998 and January 15, 1999, which payments were made.

In or about December 1996, Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. ("Systems") instituted an action in the Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County, against Phylli Foods, Inc. a franchisee, and Calvin Danzig as a guarantor of Foods' payment and performance obligations, to recover royalty fees and advertising contributions due to Systems in the aggregate amount of \$35 under a franchise agreement between Systems and Phylli Foods dated June 1, 1994. In their answer, the defendants essentially denied the material allegations of the complaint and interposed counterclaims against Systems in which they alleged essentially that Systems fraudulently induced the defendants to purchase the franchise from Systems or did so by means of negligent misrepresentation. Defendants also alleged that by reason of Systems' allegedly fraudulent and deceitful conduct, Systems violated the General Business Law of New York. As a consequence of the foregoing, the defendants are seeking damages in excess of five million dollars, as well as statutory relief under the General Business Law. Systems has moved to dismiss the counterclaims on the grounds that they are insufficiently pleaded and otherwise fail to state a sustainable claim against Systems upon which relief may be granted. During fiscal 1998, Systems' motion was granted except for the claim seeking statutory relief under the General Business Law.

The Company was named as one of three defendants in an action commenced in June 1997, in the Supreme Court of New York, Queens County. According to the compliant, the plaintiff, a dentist, is seeking injunctive relief and damages in an amount exceeding \$5,000 against the landlord, one of the Company's franchisees and the Company claiming that the operation of a restaurant in a building in Long Island City created noxious and offensive fumes and odors that allegedly were injurious to the health of the plaintiff and his employees and patients, and interfered with, and irreparably damaged his practice. Plaintiff also claims that the landlord fraudulently induced him to enter a lease extension by representing that the first floor of the building would be occupied by a non-food establishment. The Company believes that there is no merit to the plaintiff's claims against it inasmuch as it never was a party to the lease, and the restaurant, which closed in or about August 1995, was operated by a franchisee exclusively. The Company intends to defend the action vigorously.

On January 5, 1999, Miami Subs was served with a class action lawsuit entitled Robert J. Feeney, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated vs. Miami Subs Corporation, et al., in Broward County Circuit Court, which was filed against Miami Subs, its directors and Nathan's in a Florida state court by a shareholder of Miami Subs. Since that time, the Company and its designees to the Miami Subs board have also been served. The suit alleges that the proposed merger between Miami Subs and the Company, as contemplated by the companies' non-binding letter of intent, is unfair to Miami Subs' shareholders and constitutes a breach by the defendants of their fiduciary duties to the shareholders of Miami Subs. The plaintiff seeks among other things: (i) class action status; (ii) preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against consummation of the proposed merger; and (iii) unspecified damages to be awarded to the shareholders of Miami Subs. On March 19, 1999, the Court granted the plaintiff leave to amend his compliant. Thereafter, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint. Nathan's and its designees on the Miami Subs' Board moved to dismiss the First Amended Complaint. The Court held a hearing on the motion, but has not yet ruled on it. In the event the Court denies the pending motion, the Company intends to defend against this suit vigorously.

The Company is involved in various other litigation in the normal course of business, none of which, in the opinion of management, will have a significant adverse impact on its financial position or results of operations.

F-20

52

53

			(IN TH	DUSANDS, E	XCEPT S	SHARE DATA)		
	Q	FIRST JARTER	SECOND QUARTER		THIRD QUARTER		- FOURTH QUARTER	
FISCAL YEAR 1999 Revenues Gross profit(a) Net income	\$	7,821 2,560 574	\$	8,166 2,650 751	\$	7,215 2,181 412	\$	6,380 1,753 991 =======
Per share information: Net income per share:								
Basic	\$ ====	. 12	\$.16	\$. 09	\$.21
Diluted	\$ ====	.12	\$ ====	.16	\$ ====	. 09	\$ ===	.21
Shares used in computation of net income per share: Basic		4,722		4,722		4,722		4,722
Diluted		4,762		4,754		4,750		4,753
FISCAL YEAR 1998 Revenues Gross profit(a) Net income	\$	7,362 2,404 474	\$	8,098 2,699 609	\$	6,825 2,123 242	\$	6,592 1,836 203
Per share information: Net income per share:								
Basic	\$ ====	.10	\$.13	\$ ===:	.05	\$ ===	.04
Diluted	\$ ====	.10	\$ ====	.13	\$ ====	. 05	\$ ===	.04 ======
Shares used in computation of net income per share: Basic		4,722		4,722		4,722		4,722
Diluted		4,766		4,782		4,771		4,749

- -----

(a) Gross profit represents the difference between restaurant sales and the cost of food and paper products.

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
3.2	Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed December 15, 1992.(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
3.3	By-Laws of the Company, as amended.
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate.(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
4.2	Form of Warrant issued to Ladenburg, Thalmann & Co., Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
4.3	Form of Warrant issued to Howard M. Lorber. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
4.4	Amendment to Warrant issued to Howard M. Lorber (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996.)
4.5	Specimen Rights Certificate (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Current Report on form 8-K dated July 14, 1995.)
10.1	Employment Agreement between the Company and Wayne Norbitz, dated December 28, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
10.2	Leases for premises at Coney Island, New York, as follows: (Incorporated by reference Exhibit 10.3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
	a) Lease, dated November 22, 1967, between Nathan's Realty Associates and the Company.
	b) Lease, dated November 22, 1967, between Ida's Realty Associates and the Company.
	c) Lease, dated November 17, 1967, between Ida's Realty Associates and the Company.
10.3	Leases for the premises at Yonkers, New York, as follows: (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
	 Lease Modification of Land and Building Lease between the Yonkers Corp. and the Company, dated November 19, 1980;

b) Lease Modification of Land and Building Lease between 787 Central Park Avenue, Inc., and the Company dated May 1, 1980. 10.4 Lease between the Company and NWCM Corp. for premises at Oceanside, New York, dated March 14, 1975. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)

55

- 10.5 1992 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Registration Statement on Form S-8 No. 33-93396.)
- 10.6 Area Development Agreement between the Company and Marriott Corporation, dated February 19, 1993. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 1993.)
- 10.7 Area Development Agreement between the Company and Premiere Foods, dated September 11, 1990. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 10.8 Form of Standard Franchise Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 10.9 The Company's 401K Plan and Trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
- 10.10 Amendment dated November 8, 1993, to the Employment Agreement, dated December 28, 1992, between the Company and Wayne Norbitz. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
- 10.11 Employment Agreement between the Company and Howard M. Lorber dated November 8, 1993. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
- 10.12 Amendment dated January 26, 1996, to the Employment Agreement, dated November 8, 1993, between the Company and Howard M. Lorber. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996.)
- 10.13 License Agreement dated as of February 28, 1994, among Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. and SMG, Inc., including amendments and waivers thereto. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 1994.)
- 10.14 Outside Director Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to Registration Statement on Form S-8 No. 33-89442.)
- 10.15 Home Depot Food Service Lease Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 26, 1995.)
- 10.16 Modification Agreement to the Employment Agreement between the Company and Wayne Norbitz, dated December 28, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report filed on form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 29, 1996.)
- 10.17 Amendment to License Agreement dated as of February 28, 1994, among Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. and SMG, Inc. including waivers and amendments thereto. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Quarterly Report filed on form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 29, 1996.)
- 10.18 Warrant Agreement dated November 24, 1996 between the Company and Jerry Krevans. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Annual Report filed on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 30, 1997.)
- 10.19 Second Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated as of April 6, 1998 between the Company and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to Form 8-A/A dated April 6, 1998.)
- 10.20 1998 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Annual Report filed on form 10- K for the fiscal year ended March 29, 1998.)
- 10.21 North Fork Bank Promissory Note.
- 21 List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of Arthur Andersen LLP.

BY-LAWS

0F

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC.

(A Delaware Corporation originally organized under the name Nathan's Famous Holding Corporation)

ARTICLE 1

STOCKHOLDERS

1. CERTIFICATES REPRESENTING STOCK.

Every holder of stock in the corporation shall be entitled to have a certificate signed by, or in the name of, the corporation by the Chief Executive Officer, if any, or by the President or a Vice-President and by the Treasurer or an Assistant Treasurer or the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary of the corporation certifying the number of shares owned by him in the corporation. Any and all signatures on any such certificate may be facsimiles. In case any officer, transfer agent, or registrar who has signed or whose facsimile signature has been placed upon a certificate shall have ceased to be such officer, transfer agent, or registrar before such certificate is issued, it may be issued by the corporation with the same effect as if he were such officer, transfer agent, or registrar at the date of issue.

Whenever the corporation shall be authorized to issue more than one class of stock or more than one series of any class of stock, and whenever the corporation shall issue any shares of its stock as partly paid stock, the certificates representing shares of any such class or series or of any such partly paid stock shall set forth thereon the statements prescribed by the General Corporation Law. Any restrictions on the transfer or registration of transfer of any shares of stock of any class or series shall be noted conspicuously on the certificate representing such shares.

The corporation may issue a new certificate of stock in place of any certificate theretofore issued by it, alleged to have been lost, stolen or destroyed, and the Board of Directors may require the owner of any lost, stolen or destroyed certificate, or his legal representative, to give the corporation a bond sufficient to indemnify the corporation against any claim that may be made against it on account of the alleged loss, theft or destruction of any such certificate or the issuance of any such new certificate.

2

2. FRACTIONAL SHARE INTEREST.

The corporation may, but shall not be required to, issue fractions of a share. If the corporation does not issue fractions of a share, it shall (i) arrange for the disposition of fractional interest by those entitled thereto, (ii) pay in cash the fair value of fractions of a share as of the time when those entitled to receive such fractions are determined, or (iii) issue scrip or warrants in registered or bearer form which shall entitle the holder to receive a certificate for a full share upon the surrender of such scrip or warrants shall not unless otherwise provided therein, entitle the holder to exercise voting rights, to receive dividends thereon, and to participate in any of the assets of the corporation in the event of liquidation. The Board of Directors may cause scrip or warrants to be issued subject to the conditions that they shall become void if not exchanged for certificates representing full shares for which scrip or warrants are exchangeable may be sold by the corporation and the proceeds thereof distributed to the holders of scrip or warrants, or subject to any other conditions which the Board of Directors may impose.

3. STOCK TRANSFERS.

Upon compliance with provisions restricting the transfer or registration of transfer of shares of stock, if any, transfers or registration of transfers of shares of stock of the corporation shall be made only on the stock ledger of the corporation by the registered holder thereof, or by his attorney thereunto authorized by power of attorney duly executed and filed with the Secretary of the corporation or with a transfer agent or a registrar, if any, and on surrender of the certificate or certificates for such shares of stock properly endorsed and the payment of all taxes due thereon.

4. RECORD DATE FOR STOCKHOLDERS.

For the purpose of determining the stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at any meeting of stockholders or any adjournment thereof, or to express consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting, or entitled to receive payment of any dividend or other distribution or the allotment of any rights, or entitled to exercise any rights in respect of any change, conversion or exchange of stock or for the purpose of any other lawful action, the directors may fix, in advance, a record date, which shall not be more than sixty days nor less than ten days before the date of such meeting, nor more than sixty days prior to any other action. If no record date is fixed, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at a meeting of stockholders shall be at the close of business on the day next preceding the day on which notice is given, or, if notice is waived, at the close of business on the day next preceding the day on which the meeting is held, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to express consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting, when no prior action by the Board of Directors is necessary, shall be the day on which the first written consent is expressed; and the record date for determining stockholders for any other purpose shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts the resolution relating thereto. A determination of stockholders of record entitled to notice of or to vote at any meeting of stockholders shall apply to any adjournment of the meeting; provided, however, that the Board of Directors may fix a new record date for the adjourned meeting.

5. MEANING OF CERTAIN TERMS.

3

As used herein in respect of the right to notice of a meeting of stockholders or a waiver thereof or to participate or vote thereat or to consent or dissent in writing in lieu of a meeting, as the case may be, the term "share" or "shares" or "share of stock" or "shares of stock" or stockholder" or "stockholders" refers to an outstanding share or shares of stock and to a holder or holders of record of outstanding shares of stock when the corporation is authorized to issue only one class of shares of stock, and said reference is also intended to include any outstanding share or shares of stock and any holder or holders of record of outstanding shares of stock of any class upon which or upon whom the certificate of incorporation confers such rights where there are two or more classes or series of shares of stock or upon which or upon whom the General Corporation Law confers such rights notwithstanding that the certificate of incorporation may provide for more than one class or series of shares of stock, one or more of which are limited or denied such rights thereunder; provided, however, that no such right shall vest in the event of an increase or a decrease in the authorized number of shares of stock of any class or series which is otherwise denied voting rights under the provisions of the certificate of incorporation, except as any provision of law may otherwise require.

6. STOCKHOLDER MEETINGS.

TIME. The annual meeting shall be held on the date and at the time fixed, from time to time, by the directors, provided, that the first annual meeting and each successive annual meeting thereafter shall be held on a date within 10 months after the close of the preceding fiscal year. A special meeting shall be held on the date and at the time fixed by the directors.

PLACE. Annual meetings and special meetings shall be held at such place, within or without the State of Delaware, as the directors may, from time to time, fix. Whenever the directors shall fail to fix such place, the meeting shall be held at the registered office of the corporation in the State of Delaware.

CALL. Annual meetings and special meetings may be called by the directors or by any officer instructed by the directors to call the meeting.

NOTICE OR WAIVER OF NOTICE. Written notice of all meetings shall be given, stating the place, date and hour of the meeting and stating the place within the city or other

municipality or community at which the list of stockholders of the corporation may be examined. The notice of an annual meeting shall state that the meeting is called for the election of directors and for the transaction of other business which may properly come before the meeting, and shall, (if any other action which could be taken at a special meeting is to be taken at such annual meeting) state the purpose or purposes. The notice of a special meeting shall in all instances state the purpose or purposes for which the meeting is called. The notice of any meeting shall also include, or be accompanied by, any additional statements, information or documents prescribed by the General Corporation Law. Except as otherwise provided by the General Corporation Law, a copy of the notice of any meeting shall be given, personally or by mail, not less than 10 days nor more than 60 days before the date of the meeting, unless the lapse of the prescribed period of time shall have been waived, and directed to each stockholder at his record address or at such other address which may have furnished by request in writing to the Secretary of the Corporation. Notice by mail shall be deemed to be given when deposited, with postage thereon prepaid, in the United States mail. If a meeting is adjourned to another time, not more than 30 days hence, and/or to another place, and if an announcement of the adjourned time and/or place is made at the meeting, it shall not be necessary to give notice of the adjourned meeting unless the directors, after adjournment, fix a new record date for the adjourned meeting. Notice need not be given to any stockholder who submits a written waiver of notice signed by him before or after the time stated therein. Attendance of a stockholder at a meeting of stockholders shall constitute a waiver of notice of such meeting, except when the stockholder attends the meeting for the express purpose of objecting, at the beginning of the meeting, to the transaction of any business because the meeting is not lawfully called or convened. Neither the business to be transacted at, not the purpose of, any regular or special meeting of the stockholders need to be specified in any written waiver of notice.

STOCKHOLDER LIST. The officer who has charge of the stock ledger of the corporation shall prepare and make, at least ten days before every meeting of stockholders, a complete list of the stockholders, arranged in alphabetical order, and showing the address of each stockholder and the number of shares registered in the name of each stockholder. Such list shall be open to the examination of any stockholder, for any purpose germane to the meeting, during ordinary business hours, for a period of at least ten days prior to the meeting, either at a place within the city or other municipality or community where the meeting, or it not so specified, at the place where the meeting is to be held. The list shall also be produced and kept at the time and place of the meeting during the whole time thereof, and may be inspected by any stockholder who is present. The stock ledger shall be the only evidence as to who are the stockholders entitled to examine the stock ledger, the list required by this section or the books of the corporation, or to vote at any meeting of stockholders.

CONDUCT OF MEETING. Meetings of the stockholders shall be presided over by one of the following officers in the order of seniority and if present and acting - the Chief Executive Officer, if any, the President, a Vice-President, or, if none of the foregoing is in office and present and acting, by a chairman to be chosen by the stockholders. The Secretary of the corporation, or in his absence, an Assistant Secretary, shall act as secretary of every meeting, but if neither the Secretary nor an Assistant Secretary is present the Chairman of the meeting shall appoint a secretary of the meeting.

5

PROXY REPRESENTATION. Every stockholder may authorize another person or persons to act for him by proxy in all matters in which a stockholder is entitled to participate, whether by waiving notice of any meeting, voting or participating at a meeting, or expressing consent or dissent without a meeting. Every proxy must be signed by the stockholder or by his attorney-in-fact. No proxy shall be voted or acted upon after three years from its date unless such proxy provides for a longer period. A duly executed proxy shall be irrevocable if it states that it is irrevocable and, if, and only as long as, it is coupled with an interest sufficient in law to support an irrevocable power. A proxy may be made irrevocable regardless of whether the interest with which it is coupled is an interest in the stock itself or an interest in the corporation generally.

INSPECTORS. The directors, in advance of any meeting, may, but need not, appoint one or more inspectors of election to act at the meeting or any adjournment thereof. If an inspector or inspectors are not appointed, the person presiding at the meeting may, but need not, appoint one or more inspectors. In case any person who may be appointed as an inspector fails to appear or act, the vacancy may be filled by appointment made by the directors in advance of the meeting or at the meeting upon the discharge of his duties, shall take and sign an oath faithfully to execute the duties of inspector at such meeting with strict impartiality and according to the best of his ability. The inspectors, any, shall determine the number of shares of stock outstanding and the voting power of each, the shares of stock represented at the meeting, the existence of a quorum, the validity and effect of proxies, and shall receive votes, ballots or consents, hear and determine all challenges and questions arising in connection with the right to vote, count and tabulate all votes, ballots or consents, determine the result, and do such acts as are Proper to conduct the election or vote with fairness to all stockholders. On request of the person presiding at the meeting, the inspector or inspectors, if any, shall make a report in writing of any challenge, question or matter determine det physica.

QUORUM. The holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of stock shall constitute a quorum at a meeting of stockholders for the transaction of any business. The stockholders present may adjourn the meeting despite the absence of a quorum.

VOTING. Each share of stock shall entitle the holder thereof to one vote. In the action of directors, a plurality of the votes cast shall elect. Any other action shall be authorized by a majority of the votes cast except where the General Corporation Law prescribes a different percentage of votes and/or a different exercise of voting power, and except as may be otherwise prescribed by the provisions of the certificate of corporation and these By-Laws. In the election of directors, and for any other action, voting need not be by ballot.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS (A)(1) Nominations of persons for election to the board of directors of the corporation and the proposal of business to be considered by the stockholders may be made at an annual meeting of stockholders (a) pursuant to the corporation's notice of meeting, (b) by or at the direction of the board of directors or (c) by any stockholder of the corporation who was a stockholder of record at the time of giving of notice provided for in this By-law, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who complies with the notice procedures set forth in this By-law.

(2) For nominations or other business to be properly brought before an annual meeting by a stockholder pursuant to clause (c) of paragraph (A)(1) of this By-law the stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in writing to the Secretary of the corporation and such other business must otherwise be a proper matter for stockholder action. To be timely, a stockholder's notice shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation not later than the close of business on the 60th day nor earlier than the close of business on the 90th day prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting; provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the 90th day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to such annual meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made by the corporation. In no event shall the public announcement of an adjournment of an annual meeting commence a new time period for the giving of a stockholder's notice as described above. Such stockholder's notice shall set forth (a) as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election or reelection as a director all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors in an election contest, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 14a-11 thereunder (including such person's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected); (b) as to any other business that the stockholder proposes to bring before the meeting, a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting, the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting and any material interest in behalf the proposal is made; and (c) as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination or proposal is made (i) the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on the corporation's books, and of such beneficial owner and (ii) the class and number of shares of the corporation which are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner.

(3) Notwithstanding anything in the second sentence of paragraph (A)(2) of this By-law to the contrary, in the event that the number of directors to be elected to the board of directors of the corporation is increased and there is no public announcement by the corporation naming all of the nominees for director or specifying the size of the increased board of directors at least 70 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting, a stockholder's notice required by this By-law shall also be considered timely, but only with respect

6

to nominees for any new positions created by such increase, if it shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which such public announcement is first made by the corporation.

(B) Only such business shall be conducted at a special meeting of stockholders as shall have been brought before the meeting pursuant to the corporation's notice of meeting. Nominations of persons for election to the board of directors may be made at a special meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected pursuant to the corporation's notice of meeting (a) by or at the direction of the board of directors or (b) provided that the board of directors has determined that directors shall be elected at such meeting, by any stockholder of the corporation who is a stockholder of record at the time of giving of notice provided for in this By-law who shall be entitled to vote at the meeting and who complies with the notice procedures set forth in this By-law. In the event the corporation calls a special meeting of stockholders for the purpose of electing one or more directors to the board of directors, any such stockholder may nominate a person or persons (as the case may be), for election to such position(s) as specified in the corporation's notice of meeting if the stockholder can be care to be a specified by pergraph ($\Delta I/2$) of this meeting, if the stockholder's notice required by paragraph (A)(2) of this By-law shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation not earlier than the close of business on the 90th day prior to such special meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to such special meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement is first made of the date of the special meeting and of the nominees proposed by the board of directors to be elected at such meeting. In no event shall the public announcement of an adjournment of a special meeting commence a new time period for the giving of a stockholder's notice as described above.

(C)(1) Only such persons who are nominated in accordance with the procedures set forth in this By-law shall be eligible to serve as directors and only such business shall be conducted at a meeting of stockholders as shall have been brought before the meeting in accordance with the procedures set forth in this By-law. Except as otherwise provided by law, the certificate of incorporation or these By-laws, the Chairman of the meeting shall have the power and duty to determine whether a nomination or any business proposed to be brought before the meeting was made or proposed, as the case may be, in accordance with the procedures set forth in this By-law and, if any proposed nomination or business is not in compliance with this By-law, to declare that such defective proposal or nomination shall be disregarded.

(2) For purposes of this By-law, "public announcement" shall mean disclosure in a press release reported by the Dow Jones News Service, Associated Press or comparable national news service or in a document publicly filed by the corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 13, 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act .

(3) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this By-law, a stockholder shall also comply with all applicable requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder with respect to the matters set forth in this By-law. Nothing in this By-law shall be deemed to affect any rights (i) of stockholders to request inclusion of proposals in the

corporation's proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act or (ii) of the holders of any series of Preferred Stock to elect directors under specified circumstances.

8

7. STOCKHOLDER ACTION WITHOUT MEETINGS Any action required by the General Corporation Law to be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders, or any action which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders, may be taken without a meeting, without prior notice and without a vote, if a consent in writing, setting forth the action so taken, shall be signed by the holders of outstanding stock having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to authorize or take such action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote thereon were present and voted. Prompt notice of the taking of the corporate action without a meeting by less than unanimous written consent shall be given to those stockholders who have not consented in writing.

ARTICLE II

DIRECTORS

1. FUNCTIONS AND DEFINITION. The business and affairs of the corporation shall be managed by or under the direction of the Board of Directors of the corporation. The Board of Directors shall have the authority to fix the compensation of the members thereof. The use of the phrase "whole board" herein refers to the total number of directors which the corporation would have if there were no vacancies.

2. QUALIFICATIONS AND NUMBER. A director need not be a stockholder, a citizen of the United States, or a resident of the State of Delaware. The initial Board of Directors shall consist of three persons. Thereafter the number of directors constituting the whole board shall be fixed from time to time by action of the stockholders or of the directors, but shall be not less than five nor more than nine. The number of directors may be increased or decreased by action of the stockholders or of the directors.

3. ELECTION AND TERM. The first Board of Directors, unless the members thereof shall have been named in the certificate of incorporation, shall be elected by the incorporator or incorporators and shall hold office until the first annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier resignation or removal. Any director may resign at any time upon written notice to the corporation. Thereafter, directors who are elected at an annual meeting of stockholders, and directors who are elected in the interim to fill vacancies and newly created directorships, shall hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier resignation or removal. In the interim between annual meetings of stockholders or of special meetings of stockholders called for the election of directors and/or for the removal of one or more directors and for the filling of any vacancy in that connection, newly created directorships and any vacancies in the Board of Directors, including unfilled vacancies resulting from the removal of directors for cause or without cause may be filled by the vote of a majority of the remaining directors then in office, although less than a quorum, or by the sole remaining director.

4. MEETINGS.

9

TIME. Meetings shall be held at such time as the Board shall fix, except that the first meeting of a newly elected Board shall be held as soon after its election as the directors may conveniently assemble.

PLACE. Meetings shall be held at such place within or without the State of Delaware as shall be fixed by the Board.

CALL. No call shall be required for regular meetings for which the time and place have been fixed. Special meetings may be called by or at the direction of the Chairman of the Board, if any, the Vice-Chairman of the Board, if any, or the President, or of a majority of the directors in office.

NOTICE OR ACTUAL OR CONSTRUCTIVE WAIVER. No notice shall be required for regular meetings for which the time and place have been fixed. At least two days written, oral, or any other mode of notice of the time and place shall be given for special meetings. Notice need not be given to any director or to any member of a committee of directors who submits a written waiver of notice signed by him before or after the time stated therein. Attendance of any such person at a meeting shall constitute a waiver of notice of such meeting, except when he attends a meeting for the express purpose of objecting, at the beginning of the meeting, to the transaction of any business because the meeting is not lawfully called or convened. Neither the business to be transacted at, nor the purpose of, any regular or special meeting of the directors need be specified in any notice or written waiver of notice.

QUORUM AND ACTION. A majority of the whole Board shall constitute a quorum except when a vacancy or vacancies prevents such majority, whereupon a majority of the directors in office shall constitute a quorum, provided, that such majority shall constitute at least one-third of the whole Board. A majority of the directors present, whether or not a quorum is present, may adjourn a meeting to another time and place. Except as herein otherwise provided, and except as otherwise provided by the General Corporation Law, the vote of the majority of the directors present at a meeting at which a quorum is present shall be the act of the Board. The quorum and voting provisions herein stated shall not be construed as conflicting with any provisions of the General Corporation Law and these By-Laws which govern a meeting of directors held to fill vacancies and newly created directorships in the Board or action of disinterested directors.

Any member or members of the Board of Directors or of any committee designated by the Board, may participate in a meeting of the Board, or any such committee, as the case may be, by means of conference telephone or similar communications equipment by means of which all persons participating in the meeting can hear each other. CHAIRMAN OF THE MEETING. The Board of Directors may elect a Chairman of the Board who, if present and acting, shall preside at all meetings, otherwise, the Vice-Chairman of the Board, if any and if present and acting, or the President, if present and acting, or any other director chosen by the Board, shall preside. If a Chairman of the Board has been named, he shall not be an officer of the Corporation unless he has also been designated as the Chief Executive Officer.

REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS. Except as may otherwise be provided by the General Corporation Law, any director or the entire Board of Directors may be removed, with or without cause, by the holders of a majority of the shares then entitled to vote at election of directors.

6. COMMITTEES. The Board of Directors may, by resolution passed by a majority of the whole Board, designate one or more committees, each committee to consist of one or more of the directors of the corporation. The Board may designate one or more directors as alternate members of any committee, who may replace any absent or disqualified member at any meeting of the committee. In the absence or disqualification of any member of any such committee or committees, the member or members thereof present at any meeting and not disqualified from voting, whether or not he or they constitute a quorum, may unanimously appoint another member of disqualified member. Any such committee, to the extent provided in the resolution of the Board, shall have and may exercise the powers and authority of the Board of Directors in the management of the business and affairs of the corporation with the exception of any authority the delegation of which is prohibited by Section 141 of the General Corporation Law, and may require it.

7. WRITTEN ACTION. Any action required or permitted to be taken at any meeting of the Board of Directors or any committee thereof may be taken without a meeting if all members of the Board or committee, as the case may be, consent thereto in writing, and the writing or writings are filed with the minutes of proceedings of the Board or committee.

ARTICLE III

OFFICERS

The officers of the corporation shall consist of a President, a Secretary, a Treasurer, and, if deemed necessary, expedient, or desirable by the Board of Directors, a Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, a Chief Executive Officer, a Chief Operating Officer, one or more Vice-Chairmen of the Board, one or more Executive Vice-Presidents, one or more other Senior Vice-Presidents, Vice-Presidents, or Assistant Vice Presidents, one or more Assistant Secretaries, one or more Assistant Treasurers, and such other officers with such titles as the resolution of the Board of Directors choosing them shall designate. Except as may otherwise be provided in the resolution of the Board of Directors choosing him, no officer other than the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, or Vice-Chairman of the Board, if any, need be a director. Any number of offices may be held by the same person, as the directors may determine.

11

Unless otherwise provided in the resolution choosing him, each officer shall be chosen for a term which shall continue until the meeting of the Board of Directors following the next annual meeting of stockholders and until his successor shall have been chosen and qualified.

All officers of the corporation shall have such authority and perform such duties in the management and operation of the corporation as shall be prescribed in the resolutions of the Board of Directors designating and choosing such officers and prescribing their authority and duties, and shall have such additional authority and duties as are incident to their office except to the extent that such resolutions may be inconsistent therewith. The Secretary or an Assistant Secretary of the corporation shall record all of the proceedings of all meetings and actions in writing of stockholders, directors, and committees of directors, and shall exercise such additional authority and perform such additional duties as the Board shall assign to him. Any officer may be removed, with or without cause, by the Board of Directors. Any vacancy in any office may be filled by the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE IV

CORPORATE SEAL

The corporate seal shall be in such form as the Board of Directors shall prescribe.

ARTICLE V

FISCAL YEAR

The fiscal year of the corporation shall be fixed, and shall be subject to change, by the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE VI

CONTROL OVER BY-LAWS

Subject to the provisions of the certificate of incorporation and the provisions of the General Corporation Law, the power to amend, alter or repeal these By-Laws and to adopt new By-Laws may be exercised by the Board of Directors or by the stockholders.

NORTH FORK BANK PROMISSORY NOTE

BORROWER: Nathan's Famous Inc.

PRINCIPAL:	\$5,000,000.00	DATE:	March 25, 1999
------------	----------------	-------	----------------

PROMISE TO PAY: The undersigned, jointly and severally if more than one signer, does hereby promise to pay to the order of NORTH FORK Bank (the "Bank") at its offices at 245 Love Lane, Mattituck, New York, or at any of its branches, the sum of Five Million and no/100****** (\$5,000,000.00) DOLLARS or the aggregate unpaid principal amount of all advances made to the undersigned by the Bank, whichever is less, plus interest thereon, from the date hereof in the manner set below.

RATE AND PAYMENT: Interest only on the unpaid principal balance hereof at the rate of North Fork Bank's Prime Rate payable on March 1, 1999 and on the 1st day each month thereafter until October 1, 1999 when all unpaid principal and interest shall be due in full. All interest payments shall be made by automatic debit from an account maintained at the Bank in which borrower shall maintain balances sufficient to pay the monthly interest payments Account # 7024048048.

Payments shall be applied first to interest on unpaid principal balances to the date payment is received by the Bank and then to reduction of principal. If the interest rate is based on the Bank's announced Prime Rate, the interest rate shall change when the Prime Rate changes and nothing herein shall prevent the Bank from loaning money at less than Prime on such terms and conditions as it deems advisable. Interest shall be calculated on a 360 day year and actual number of days elapsed.

GRID NOTE: The Borrower may borrow, repay in whole or in part, and reborrow on a revolving basis amounts up to \$5,000,000.00. However, the Bank reserves the right to make or decline any request for an advance in its sole discretion and may condition the availability of an advance upon, among other things, the maintenance of a satisfactory financial condition. Borrower authorizes the Bank to keep a record of the amounts and dates of all advances and repayments hereunder, which record shall, in the absence of manifest error, be conclusive as to the outstanding principal amount due hereunder; however, provided, that the failure to record any advance or repayment shall not limit or otherwise affect the obligation of Borrower under this Note.

PREPAYMENT: Prepayment in whole or in part may be made at any time without penalty. Any prepayment will be applied in inverse order of maturity and will not defer the payment schedule.

DEFAULT INTEREST RATE: The unpaid principal sum due under this Note shall bear interest at a rate equal to five (5%) per centum above the Rate set forth above on and after the occurrence of any event of default and until the entire principal sum hereof has been fully paid, both before and after the entry of any judgement with respect to such event, but in no event shall the rate either before or after the occurrence of an event of default exceed the highest rate of interest, if any, permitted under applicable New York or Federal Law.

SECURITY: A security interest in and assignment and pledge of all monies, deposits, or other sums now or hereafter held by the bank on deposit, in safekeeping, transit or otherwise, at any time credited by or due from Bank to the undersigned, or in which the undersigned shall have an interest.

RIGHT OF OFFSET: If any payments is not made on time, or if the entire balance becomes due and payable and is not paid, all or part of the amount due may be offset out of any account or other property which the undersigned has at the Bank without prior notice or demand. This provision is in addition to and not in limitation of any right or common law or by statute.

LATE CHARGES: Undersigned will pay a charge of 4% of the amount of any payment which is not made within 10 days of when due, or, if applicable, which cannot be debited from its account due to insufficient balance on the debit date.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: Borrower and Guarantors shall furnish to the Bank the following: a) As soon as available, but in no event later than 120 days after the end of each fiscal year, with annual audited financial statements, including balance sheets as of the last day of the fiscal year, statements of income and retained earnings and statements of cash flows for such fiscal year each prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, consistently applied for the period and prior periods by an independent certified public accountant satisfactory to the Bank. B) As soon as available, but in no event later than 10 business days after the date of filing, with all information made available to the public.

FINANCIAL COVENANTS: The Borrower and or Guarantor (the "Parties") hereby agree that as long as the commitment remains in effect, the promissory note together with accrued interest thereon remains unpaid, and all other amounts, including but not limited to late charges and fees, are due and owing to the Bank, the Parties shall repay all principal and interest outstanding so that no amounts are outstanding hereunder for a period of not less than 30 consecutive days.

YEAR 2000 COMPLIANCE: For Purposes of this note, "Year 2000 compliant" means, with regard to any entity, that all software, embedded microchips, and other processing capabilities utilized by, and material to the business operations or financial condition of, such entity are able to interpret and manipulate data on and involving all calendar dates correctly and without causing any abnormal ending scenario, including in relation to dates in and after the Year 2000.

- 1. Borrower has (i) undertaken a detailed inventory, review and assessment of all areas within its business and operations that could be adversely affected by failure of Borrower to be Year 2000 compliant, (ii) developed a detailed plan and timeline for becoming Year 2000 compliant by December 31, 1999, and (iii) to date, implemented that plan (and will continue to implement that plan to completion) in accordance with that timetable in all material respects. Borrower represents that it will be Year 2000 compliant no later than December 31, 1999.
- 2. Borrower has made written inquiry of each of its key suppliers, vendors and customers as to

whether such persons will, by December 31, 1999, be Year 2000 compliant in all material respects and on the basis of such inquiry believes that all such persons will be so compliant. For purposes hereof, "key suppliers, vendors and customers" refers to those suppliers, vendors and customers of Borrower whose business failure would, with reasonable probability, result in a material adverse change in the business, properties, condition (financial or otherwise), or prospects of Borrower.

DEFAULT: The Bank may declare the entire unpaid balance of the Note due and payable on the happening of any of the following events:

3

(a) Failure to pay any amount required by this Note when due, or any other obligation owed to the Bank by undersigned or any Guarantor, or, if applicable, failure to have sufficient funds in its account for loan payments to be debited on the due date.

(b) Failure to perform or keep or abide by any term, covenant or condition contained in this Note, any Guaranty or any other document given to the Bank in connection with this loan.

(c) The filing of a bankruptcy proceeding, assignment for the benefit of creditors, issuance of a judgement execution, garnishment, or levy against, or the appointment of a representative of any kind for the commencement of any proceeding for relief from indebtedness by or against the undersigned or any Guarantor.

(d) The happening of any event which, in the judgement of the Bank, adversely affects Borrower's or Guarantor's ability to repay or the value of any collateral.

(e) If any written representation or statement made to the Bank by Borrower or Guarantors is untrue.

(f) If any written representation or warranty made to the Bank by Borrower or Guarantors is breached.

(g) The occurrence of a default under any Guaranty or any other document or instrument given to the Bank in connection with the loan.

(h) Death or inability to manage the affairs of any individual borrower or guarantor; dissolution or a change in composition of a partnership borrower; dissolution, merger, or consolidation of a corporate borrower.

(i) Failure to provide any financial information on request or permit an examination of books and records.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the balance of this Note shall become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of any of the events set forth in (c) above, and, if this is a demand note, the Bank may declare the balance of this Note due at any time.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ATTORNEYS}}$ FEES: In the event the Bank retains counsel with respect to enforcement of this Note

or any other document or instrument given to the Bank, the undersigned agrees to pay the Bank's reasonable attorneys fees (whether or not an action is commenced and whether or not in the court original jurisdiction, appellate court, bankruptcy court, or otherwise).

MISCELLANEOUS: Delay or failure of the Bank to exercise any of its rights under this Note shall not be deemed a waiver thereof. No waiver of any condition or requirement shall operate as a waiver of any other or subsequent condition or requirement. The Bank or any other holder of this Note does not have to present it before requiring payment. The undersigned waives trial by jury with respect to any action arising out of or relating to this Note. This Note may not be modified or terminated orally. This Note shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York without regard to its conflicts of laws rules. The undersigned irrevocably consents to the jurisdiction and venue of the New York State Supreme Court, Suffolk County in any action concerning this note. The Bank may accept partial payments marked "in full" without waiving any of its rights hereunder. Any payments made after maturity or acceleration will not reinstate the Note. This Note is binding upon the undersigned, its heirs, successors and assigns.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has signed this note on the 25th Day of March, 1999.

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC.

BY: /s/ RONALD G. DEVOS Ronald G. DeVos Vice President - Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) BY: /s/ WAYNE NORBITZ Wayne Norbitz President, Chief Operating Officer and Director

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF____

4

On this 25th Day of March, 1999, before me personally came Ronald DeVos. To me known, who being duly sworn, did depose and say that he resides at 1400 Old Country Road, Westbury That he is the Vice President of Finance of Nathan's Famous, Inc. The corporation described in and which executed the above instrument, by the order of the Board of Directors of said corporation, and that he signed his name thereto by like order.

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF_

On this 25th Day of March, 1999, before me personally came Wayne Norbitz. To me known, who being duly sworn, did depose and say that he resides at 1400 Old Country Road, Westbury. That he is the President and Chief Operating Officer of Nathan's Famous, Inc. The corporation described in and which executed the above instrument, by the order of the Board of Directors of said corporation, and that he signed his name thereto by like order.

/s/ MARY HYLAND Notary Public

Nathan's Famous, Inc.

SUBSIDIARIES

Company Name

Nathan's Famous, Inc. Nathan's Famous Operating Corp. Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. Nathan's Famous Services, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Times Square, Inc. Nathan's Famous of New Jersey, Inc. Nathan's Roadside Rest, Inc. Denek of Hicksville, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Yonkers, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Hicksville, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Hicksville, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Kings Plaza, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Farmingdale, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Silford, Inc. Nathan's Famous of 325 Fifth Avenue, Inc. Nathan's Famous of J25 Fifth Avenue, Inc. Nathan's Famous of H.D., Inc. Nathan's Famous of Crossgates, Inc. Nathan's Famous of Crossgates, Inc. Nathan's Famous, of Lynbrook, Inc. Miami Acquisition Corp. NF Roasters Corp. State of Incorporation

Delaware Delaware Delaware Delaware New York New Jersey New York New York New York New York New York New York Connecticut New York New York New York Delaware New York Delaware Delaware Delaware

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

As independent public accountants, we hereby consent to the incorporation of our report dated June 15, 1999 included in this Form 10-K, into the Company's previously filed Registration Statements (File Nos. 33-72066, 33-89442 and 33-93396).

Roseland, New Jersey June 16, 1999 5 1,000

1,000

5

9-M0S		6-MOS			3-MOS				
	MAR-28-1999		MAR-28-1999			MAR-28-1999			
	MAR-30-1998 DEC-27-1998			MAR-30-1998 SEP-27-1998			MAR-30-1998 JUN-28-1998		
2,011					490	1,105 8,228			
	4,101			9,261					
	2,041	2,344 546			2,185 558				
	492								
	492			328			352		
	8,690		12,669			11,878			
	'	19,186	12,003		14,698	11,070	14,118		
	12,451	19,100	0 1		14,050	7,866	14,110		
	31,078			8,136 30,501			29,497		
	5,523		5,383	101		5,147			
	5,525	Θ	5,303		0	5,147	Θ		
	0	0	o		0	0	0		
		Ð	0		0	0	0		
	,	47			47		47		
	25,310		24,887			24,124			
31,078					24,124				
51,070		30,501 9,190	-	1	3,357		6,568		
	23,202	,100	15,987		0,001	7,821	0,000		
	20,202	11,799	10,001		8,147	1,021	4,008		
	5,505	11,100	3	622	0,141	1,801			
	3,553			2,436			-		
3, 333 45 1			2,430 30 1			1,233 15			
						1			
	2,299			1,751			763		
562			426			189			
	1,737		1,325	420		574			
1,737 0			1,323			0			
	0			o			0		
0 1,737 0.37 0.37			0 1,325 0.28 0.28			0	Θ		
						57			
						574 0.12 0.12			
									0.57

1,000

5

12-MOS	9 - MC	S	6-M0S		3-MOS			
MAR-29	-1998	MAR-29-1998	MA	1AR-29-1998		MAR-29-1998		
MAR	-31-1997	MAR-31-1997		MAR-31-1997		MAR-31-1997		
	AR-29-1998	DEC-28-1997		SEP-28-1997		JUN-29-1997		
	1,306		744		577		241	
	8,514	8,460		8,099	0	7,85		
	1,519	1,931		2,017		1,947		
	543	625		711		596		
	356	285	5	223	3	217		
	11,906	11,580	5	10,878	0	10,332		
	13,781		13,379		13,214	10,002	12,736	
	7,610	7,331	10,010	7,082	10,214	6,820	12,700	
29,539		29,183		28,659		27,995		
5,80		5,534		5,405		5,371		
5,00	. 0	5,554	Θ	5,405	Θ	5,571	Θ	
	0	0	0	Θ	0	0	0	
	0		9		9	0	Θ	
	47	47			47	47		
	23,539	23,29		23,0		22,415		
29,539	29,1		28,659	27,995		5,907		
23,530 28,877			3,137	1	2,499			
		22,285	.,	15,460		7,362		
	14,46		10,911		7,396	.,	3,503	
7,830		5,796	10,011	4,004		1,961		
	4,675	3,314		2,223		1,088 15 1 794		
	80	45		30				
	6	4		4				
	1,818	2,215		1,803				
	290	_,		720		320		
1.	528	1,325		1,083		474		
-,	0	_, 0		_, 0		0		
0		Θ		Θ		Θ		
	0	-	Θ	-	0	-	Θ	
1,528		1,325		1,083		47		
	0.32	0.28	3	0.2	3		0.10	
	0.32	0.28		0.23		0.10		